

QUALITY REPORT

2014-P5

ACR-203 · ACR · ARKANSAS, US

Report ID: CM-29E7CF56 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	1.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
	■ Documentation (15%)	2.3

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This ACR ozone-depleting substances project has some integrity support because additionality was confirmed by the VVB and no material findings or corrective actions were reported. However, key baseline, leakage, permanence, and registry-eligibility details are not stated in the extracted record, which limits confidence and raises claim-safety concerns.

Project Details

Registry	American Carbon Registry (ACR)
Registry ID	ACR-203
Sector	other
Country	ARKANSAS, US
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	Compliance Offset Protocol Ozone Depleting Substances Projects October 20, 2011
Crediting Period	2014 — 2024
Monitoring Period	2014 — 2014
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Baseline method is not stated in the available documents, so the baseline validity cannot be checked.
- Leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, and reversal information are not stated, leaving permanence and over-crediting risk partly unresolved.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the verification record reports no material findings or corrective actions.
- Baseline method, leakage treatment, and buffer pool coverage are not stated in the available documents.

The verification record supports the project on additionality because it says additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions. That said, the baseline method is not stated, and leakage, buffer pool, and reversal information are also not found in the extracted record, so the integrity case is only moderate.

Transparency — 1.8 / 10

- + The monitoring period and crediting period are clearly stated, giving at least basic temporal traceability.
- The extracted record does not identify the VVB, and total ERR claimed versus verified is not available.

The monitoring period and crediting period are clearly identified, which helps traceability. But the VVB name is not found, and the record does not provide total ERR claimed versus verified, so public-facing MRV completeness is weak.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

- + The project uses an ACR ozone-depleting substances methodology, which is generally more structured than ad hoc crediting approaches.
- No leakage deduction, usage-rate evidence, or CORSIA/CCP status is stated, so over-crediting and market-claim risk remain unresolved.

Claim safety is constrained by missing evidence on leakage treatment, permanence protections, and usage-rate monitoring. The methodology is named, but without stated leakage deductions, CORSIA eligibility, or CCP status, the risk of over-crediting or overstated climate claims remains material.

Documentation — 2.3 / 10

- + Two documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- The evidence set is sparse, with the key source listed as unknown and several core fields not found in the extracted record.

Documentation quality is limited because the extracted evidence set is sparse and one source is listed as unknown. The extraction confidence is medium, which is better than low, but many core fields are still not found, reducing confidence in the completeness of the record.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal data stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards not documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the full verification and monitoring reports showing the baseline method, leakage treatment, and any buffer or reversal provisions.
- Disclose registry and market-eligibility status, including CORSIA and CCP information, plus the VVB name and any quantified ERR reconciliation.

Documents Reviewed

- Offset Project to Status Memo_ACR203.docx
- 2014-P5_Listing_v3.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-29E7CF56 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy