QUALITY REPORT

Home on the Range

CAR-1261 · CAR · California

Report ID: CM-DE7C981A · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.2 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	6.6
	Transparency (25%)	6.0
	Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
	Documentation (15%)	6.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This CAR grassland project has a recent verification report with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reversals reported for the 2022 monitoring period. However, key quantitative elements that affect crediting risk—especially leakage deduction and any buffer/pooling approach—were not found in the extracted record, which limits confidence in the robustness of credited reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Climate Action Reserve (CAR)
Registry ID	CAR-1261
Sector	other
Country	California
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	U.S. Grassland Protocol 2.1
Crediting Period	2016 — 2066
VVB	Ruby Canyon Environmental, Inc.
Verified ERs	8,566 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as quantified, but the actual leakage deduction percentage was not found in the extracted record.
- No buffer pool or equivalent risk-management percentage was found in the extracted record despite a long crediting period (2016–2066).

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.6 / 10

+ The verification report (2023) states no avoidable or unavoidable reversals occurred during the reporting period.

- The extracted record does not provide a leakage deduction percentage or any buffer/pooling percentage, limiting assessment of over-crediting and permanence risk management.

The verification report (2023) confirms additionality and reports no avoidable or unavoidable reversals during the 2022 reporting period, which supports integrity for an avoided conversion project. The baseline is described as project-based (U.S. Grassland Protocol v2.1), but the extracted record does not show when the baseline was last reassessed, which weakens confidence over a long crediting period (2016–2066). Leakage is said to be quantified, yet the actual leakage deduction percentage was not found in the extracted record, creating uncertainty about net credited reductions. No buffer/pooling percentage was found in the extracted record, limiting visibility into how longer-term reversal risk is managed.

Transparency — 6.0 / 10

+ A named VVB (Ruby Canyon Environmental, Inc.) and a clearly defined monitoring period (2022) are provided in the verification report (2023).

- The extracted record lacks the claimed ERR total and other quantitative MRV details (e.g., leakage deduction value), reducing traceability of the verified 8,566 tCO2e.

The verification report (2023) provides a clear monitoring period (2022-01-01 to 2022-12-31) and identifies the VVB as Ruby Canyon Environmental, Inc., which supports transparency. However, the extracted record does not include the project's claimed ERR total for the period, making it harder to reconcile how the verified 8,566 tCO2e compares to what was originally reported. Key quantitative parameters that would allow independent checking—especially the leakage deduction percentage—were not found in the extracted record. Registry-level fields like CORSIA eligibility are also not present in the extracted record.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality is confirmed by the VVB in the verification report (2023), reducing non-additionality risk.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, and leakage quantification is not auditable without the stated deduction value.

Because additionality is confirmed by the VVB in the verification report (2023), the risk of non-additional crediting is reduced. Still, over-crediting risk cannot be fully evaluated because the extracted record does not provide the leakage deduction percentage even though leakage is described as quantified. The baseline is project-specific, and the extracted record does not show a baseline reassessment date, which can increase uncertainty over time. CCP status and CORSIA eligibility were not found in the extracted record, so downstream claim compatibility and double-claim signaling cannot be confidently assessed.

Documentation — 6.4 / 10

+ Documentation quality appears solid for what is available: a recent verification report (dated 2023-08-17) with no material findings or corrective actions.

- Only a verification report is listed as evidence; key supporting documents/parameters (e.g., leakage calculation outputs, baseline reassessment timing) were not found in the extracted record.

The extracted record includes a recent verification report (dated 2023-08-17) covering the 2022 monitoring period, with no material findings and no corrective actions required, which supports documentation quality. Minimum extraction confidence is high, suggesting the key document was readable. However, only “verification_report” is listed in the evidence documents, and several important quantitative fields (leakage deduction percentage, buffer/pooling percentage, baseline reassessment timing) were not found in the extracted record. This indicates incomplete document coverage in the available extraction set even if the single report is strong.

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	VVB-confirmed additionality
● **Permanence**	No reversals reported, but buffer/risk set-aside not evidenced
● **Leakage**	Leakage quantified but deduction value not evidenced
● **Baseline**	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing not evidenced
● **Safeguards**	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● **Double-claim**	CORSIA/CCP status not evidenced

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose the quantified leakage results in the public record (leakage deduction percentage and calculation basis) for the 2022 monitoring period.

→ Provide documentation of long-term reversal risk management under the protocol (e.g., any buffer/pooling or other set-aside approach) and the timing/approach for baseline reassessment over the 2016–2066 crediting period.

Documents Reviewed

• 2022 Verification Report
• 2022 Verification Statement

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DE7C981A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy