

QUALITY REPORT

25.70 MW Bundled Wind Power Project in Udumalpet, Tamilnadu

CDM-1015 · CDM · India

Report ID: CM-73EE6238 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a CDM wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a project-based baseline, which supports some integrity. However, the monitoring record shows many findings, leakage is not clearly justified in the monitoring report, and key quantitative MRV fields are missing, which weakens confidence in the claimed reductions.

Project Details

Registry	UNFCCC CDM
Registry ID	CDM-1015
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 06
Crediting Period	2005 — 2015
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Monitoring Period	2010 — 2013
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- The monitoring report lists many findings, including multiple corrective action requests and clarifications, which suggests material documentation or implementation issues.
- Leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, and the contradiction with a later source claiming it was negligible reduces reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses ACM0002 with a project-based baseline.
- The monitoring report records numerous findings, and leakage justification is not addressed in the extracted monitoring document.

The project benefits from VVB-confirmed additionality and a recognized CDM methodology, ACM0002 Version 06, with a project-based baseline. That said, the monitoring report dated 2007-02-15 lists many findings, and leakage justification is not addressed in that document, so the integrity case is only moderate.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The project has multiple official documents in the record, including validation, PDD, and monitoring materials, and the VVB is named as TÜV NORD CERT GmbH.
- Key MRV quantities such as verified emissions reductions, leakage deduction, and usage monitoring details are not stated in the extracted record.

Transparency is limited by missing quantified MRV information: verified emissions reductions, leakage deduction, usage monitoring method, and several grid and FNRB fields are not stated in the available documents. The presence of a named verifier, TÜV NORD CERT GmbH, and multiple source documents helps, but the record is still incomplete.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is a wind CDM activity with VVB-verified additionality, which is generally lower risk than many project types.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, and the leakage treatment is unclear because the available monitoring report does not address it.

Claim safety is mixed because the project is a wind CDM activity with VVB-confirmed additionality, which supports lower over-crediting risk. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and the contradiction on leakage treatment leaves uncertainty about whether emissions reductions were conservatively estimated.

Documentation — 4.6 / 10

- + The record includes 13 documents used, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- The monitoring report is dated early in the crediting period, while several important fields are missing or not stated in the available documents.

Documentation quality is moderate: 13 documents were used and extraction confidence is medium, which is better than a poor record. Still, the monitoring report contains many findings, and several important fields are missing or not stated in the available documents, limiting confidence in the completeness of the evidence base.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal evidence, but not explicitly addressed
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in monitoring record
● Baseline	Project-based baseline
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, reconciled MRV package with verified emissions reductions, leakage treatment, and usage monitoring details clearly stated.

→ Publish a contradiction resolution note explaining why the later source treated leakage as negligible and whether that conclusion was accepted by the verifier.

Documents Reviewed

- revised monitoring plan
- Udumalpet Revised MP track
- 24 Jun 2005 - 12 Nov 2007
- approval
- registration request form
- 13 Nov 2007 - 10 Nov 2010
- 11 Nov 2010 - 07 Mar 2013
- project design document
- Validation opinion on changes in PDD
- validation report of revised monitoring plan
- Validation report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-73EE6238 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy