QUALITY REPORT

2.5 MW BEL grid-connected wind power project at Davanagere district, Karnataka, India

CDM-1216 · CDM · India

Report ID: CM-41A329AE · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.3

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	6.1
Transparency (25%)	4.8
Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
Documentation (15%)	4.3

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a CDM wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported, which supports a moderate integrity score. However, key MRV details are missing or inconsistent, and the record shows low extraction confidence plus contradictions on additionality, leakage treatment, and crediting period, which weakens transparency and claim safety.

Project Details

Registry	UNFCCC CDM
Registry ID	CDM-1216
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 06
Crediting Period	2007 — 2017
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Monitoring Period	2009 — 2012
Confidence	Low
Documents Reviewed	13 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

■ Leakage treatment is inconsistent: one document says it was not addressed, while another later source indicates it was quantified.

■ The crediting period and additionality test differ across documents, creating reliability concerns in the project record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ The VVB, TÜV NORD CERT GmbH, confirmed additionality, and the validation record reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Leakage justification is not addressed in the validation record, and reversal events are not addressed.

The validation report by TÜV NORD CERT GmbH confirms additionality, and the extracted record shows no material findings or corrective actions required. That said, leakage justification is not addressed in the validation record, reversal events are not addressed, and the project is a wind power project where permanence risk is low but still not explicitly discussed in the available documents.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The project has named validation and monitoring documentation, with a defined monitoring period from 2009-04-01 to 2012-03-31.

- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not available in the extracted record, and key MRV items such as usage monitoring and FNRB inputs are not stated.

The project has a defined monitoring period and identifiable documentation, but the extracted record does not provide claimed versus verified emission reductions. Several MRV elements are not stated, including usage monitoring, FNRB inputs, and the year for the grid emission factor, which limits public traceability and auditability.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 for a grid-connected wind project, and the grid emission factor is stated as 0.9323.

- Leakage is inconsistently documented, and there is no evidence in the extracted record for CORSIA or CCP status to reduce dual-claim uncertainty.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is a grid-connected wind CDM activity using ACM0002 and a stated grid emission factor, which is generally straightforward. However, leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-claim and over-crediting risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Documentation — 4.3 / 10

+ Multiple official document types were used, including validation, PDD, and monitoring materials, and the VVB is identified.

- Extraction confidence is low, several fields are not stated, and the record contains contradictions on additionality, leakage, and the crediting period.

Documentation is weakened by low extraction confidence and by the presence of multiple missing fields in the extracted record. The evidence set includes validation, PDD, monitoring, and an additional appendix, but contradictions remain on the additionality test, leakage treatment, and crediting period, which reduces reliability.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
Permanence	Wind project, no reversal events reported
Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent
Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment not found
Safeguards	FPIC noted, grievance mechanism not stated
Double-claim	CORSIA and CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a complete, reconciled MRV package showing verified emissions, leakage treatment, and the basis for any deductions.

→ Resolve document contradictions by publishing the authoritative crediting period, additionality test basis, and a clear explanation of which source controls each value.

Documents Reviewed

- 1216 Appendix 1 jan09 JMR Details
- revised monitoring plan
- 1216 Appendix 1 jan09 CAI Transmission Losses
- 1216 Appendix 1 jan09 Form-B 66kV
- Appendix 1 - RSN CER sheet
- 1216 Appendix 1 jan09 Form-B 33kV
- 05 Nov 2007 - 31 Mar 2009
- 01 Apr 2009 - 31 Mar 2012
- registration request form
- approval
- project design document
- Validation report
- validation report of revised monitoring plan

Report ID: CM-41A329AE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy