

QUALITY REPORT

1.65 MW Wind Power Project in Gujarat

CDM-5010 · CDM · India

Report ID: CM-3F514B68 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.5

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.1
■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a CDM wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a project-specific baseline, which supports moderate integrity. However, key MRV and risk details are missing or not addressed in the extracted record, and the contradictions around reversal events and leakage justification reduce confidence in the documentation and claim safety.

Project Details

Registry	UNFCCC CDM
Registry ID	CDM-5010
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS I D 16
Crediting Period	2011 — 2021
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Monitoring Period	2011 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the validation report, and the record also contains a contradiction with a later source suggesting leakage was deemed negligible.
- Reversal risk is not addressed in the validation report, with a contradiction against a later source indicating no reversals were reported.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

- + The validation report from TÜV NORD CERT GmbH confirms additionality through an investment test.
- Leakage justification is not addressed in the validation report, and buffer pool coverage is not stated in the available documents.

The validation report from TÜV NORD CERT GmbH confirms additionality using an investment test, which is a positive sign for project integrity. The baseline is project-specific under AMS I.D, but the extracted record does not state when the baseline was last reassessed, and buffer pool coverage is not found. Leakage justification is not addressed in the validation report, and reversal events are also not addressed, so permanence and leakage robustness remain only partially supported.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring and crediting period from 2011-08-16 to 2021-08-15.
- Verified and claimed emission reduction totals are not stated in the extracted record, and key MRV details such as usage monitoring and grid factor inputs are missing.

Transparency is limited by missing MRV outputs and methodological detail in the extracted record. The monitoring and crediting period are clearly stated, and the VVB is identified, but verified and claimed emission reduction totals are not available in the extracted facts. Usage monitoring, grid emission factor inputs, and other public-facing MRV specifics are also not found, which weakens auditability.

Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

- + The project is a wind CDM activity using AMS I.D, which generally has a straightforward baseline structure.
- Leakage and reversal treatment are unclear, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving residual over-claiming risk.

Claim safety is moderate but not strong because the project type is relatively simple while several risk controls are missing from the extracted record. The project uses a project baseline under AMS I.D, but leakage treatment is unclear and reversal handling is not documented. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out from the available evidence.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + The record includes a validation report, a named verifier, and a moderate extraction confidence level.
- Only one clearly identified official document is reflected in the extracted facts, and several important fields are not found in the available documents.

Documentation quality is mixed: the record includes a validation report, a named verifier, and eight extracted facts, but the extraction confidence is only medium. Several key fields are missing, including buffer pool, leakage deduction, verified emissions, and safeguard documentation. The contradictions suggest that later material may be more informative on leakage and reversals, but the available record does not provide enough detail to fully resolve them.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	leakage not addressed
● Baseline	project baseline stated, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	no safeguards evidence found
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the verification or monitoring documents that explicitly state leakage treatment, reversal status, and any buffer or risk mitigation provisions.

→ Publish verified emission reduction totals, monitoring methodology details, and clear statements on CORSIA eligibility and CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- Appendix 3 - Management Decision GGWL
- 16 Aug 2011 - 31 Dec 2020
- approval
- Appendix 1 - ER Calculation GGWL
- Appendix 2 - Financials Final GGWL
- registration request form
- 01 Jan 2021 - 15 Aug 2021
- Validation report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-3F514B68 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy