

QUALITY REPORT

13.75 MW Grid connected ■ Wind Electricity generation Project by Tamilnadu Newsprints and Papers Limited■

CDM-5500 · CDM · India

Report ID: CM-8BF790D2 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.5

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.2
■ Documentation (15%)	4.9

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a CDM wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a project-specific baseline, which supports moderate integrity. However, the record shows substantial validation issues, with 23 corrective action requests and 25 clarification requests, and key MRV details such as leakage treatment and verified issuance figures are not stated in the extracted documents.

Project Details

Registry	UNFCCC CDM
Registry ID	CDM-5500
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM 0002 Version 12.2.0
Crediting Period	2012 — 2022
VVB	Bureau Veritas Certification
Monitoring Period	2012 — 2014
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- The validation report records 23 corrective action requests and 25 clarification requests, indicating material documentation and design issues.
- Leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, and verified emission reduction totals are not stated in the available record.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, Bureau Veritas Certification, using a combined test approach.
- The validation report lists 23 corrective action requests and 25 clarification requests, and leakage treatment is not addressed.

The validation report from Bureau Veritas Certification confirms additionality through a combined test, which supports the project's core environmental claim. At the same time, the report records 23 corrective action requests and 25 clarification requests, and the extracted record does not state any leakage treatment or buffer pool coverage, which weakens confidence in the robustness of the crediting setup.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2012-04-04 to 2014-01-13.
- Verified versus claimed emission reduction totals are not stated, and key monitoring details such as leakage and usage monitoring are missing.

The project has a named verifier and a clearly stated monitoring period, which helps traceability. But the extracted documents do not state claimed or verified emission reduction totals, and key MRV elements such as leakage justification and usage monitoring method are missing, limiting transparency.

Claim Safety — 5.2 / 10

- + The project uses ACM 0002 for a grid-connected wind activity, which is generally a lower-risk project type than many land-use categories.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, and leakage justification is not addressed.

Wind power under ACM 0002 is generally a comparatively conservative project type, and the VVB-confirmed additionality reduces over-crediting concern. Still, the baseline is project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, and leakage is not addressed, so claim safety remains only moderate.

Documentation — 4.9 / 10

- + The record includes a validation report, a named verifier, and a crediting period spanning 2012-04-04 to 2022-04-03.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and the available documents still leave several core fields unstated, including buffer pool, leakage deduction, and verified issuance totals.

The record includes a validation report, a named VVB, and a defined crediting period, which is a solid documentary base. However, extraction confidence is only medium, and several important fields are not stated in the available documents, including buffer pool coverage, leakage deduction, and verified emission reduction totals.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC noted, grievance mechanism not stated
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the monitoring verification figures, including claimed and verified emission reductions, along with the underlying calculation sheets.
- Document leakage treatment, buffer pool or reversal provisions, and a clearer safeguards package including grievance mechanism details.

Documents Reviewed

- 04 Apr 2012 - 13 Jan 2014
- approval
- Validation report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-8BF790D2 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy