QUALITY REPORT

Cup of The Amazon – Alto Mayo

CE-alto-mayo-protected- · Verra · Peru

Report ID: CM-05511614 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.6 Overall Score out of 10	**Integrity (35%)**	6.6
	Transparency (25%)	6.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	6.0
	Documentation (15%)	7.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a VCS ARR avoidance project using a project-specific baseline and a 10% buffer contribution, with additionality confirmed by the VVB and no material findings reported. However, leakage is reported as quantified yet results in a 0% deduction, and baseline reassessment timing is not stated in the extracted record, which increases over-crediting risk for claims.

Project Details

Registry	Verra
Registry ID	CE-alto-mayo-protected-
Sector	arr
Country	Peru
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	VM0015 1.0
Crediting Period	2008 — 2028
VVB	AENOR
Verified ERs	1,364,191 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2014 — 2016
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	7 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- ■ Leakage is described as quantified but the leakage deduction is 0%, which can indicate optimistic assumptions if not clearly substantiated in the monitoring documentation.
- ■ Baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in the extracted record, raising uncertainty about baseline validity over time.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB and no material findings or corrective actions were reported in the monitoring/verification documentation.

- Project-specific baseline with baseline reassessment timing not stated in the extracted record; leakage is treated as 0% despite being described as quantified.

The monitoring/verification record shows additionality was confirmed by the VVB (AENOR) using a combined test, and the monitoring report (2016) reports no material findings and no corrective actions. Permanence risk management is partly addressed via a 10% buffer contribution and no reversals reported. Integrity is reduced by reliance on a project-specific baseline (VM0015) with baseline reassessment timing not stated in the extracted record, and by leakage being described as quantified while still resulting in a 0% deduction in the monitoring report (2016).

Transparency — 6.8 / 10

+ Claimed and verified emission reductions match (1,364,191), and the monitoring period is clearly stated (2014-06-15 to 2016-06-14) in the monitoring report (2016).

- Key risk parameters are not fully evidenced in the extracted record (e.g., baseline reassessment date; CORSIA/CCP status not stated).

The monitoring report (2016) clearly states the monitoring period (2014-06-15 to 2016-06-14) and reports verified ERs equal to claimed ERs (1,364,191), which supports MRV consistency. The VVB is identified as AENOR, and the project is on the VCS registry. Transparency is limited by missing extracted details on baseline reassessment timing and by eligibility/status fields (e.g., CORSIA, CCP) not being stated in the extracted record.

Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ Buffer pool contribution of 10% and no reversals reported reduces permanence-related claim risk for an avoidance ARR project.

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, and the project-specific baseline plus 0% leakage deduction increases perceived over-crediting/marketing risk.

Because this is an avoidance ARR project with a buffer contribution (10%) and no reversals reported, permanence-related claim risk is moderated. However, the baseline is project-specific and the extracted record does not state when (or whether) the baseline was reassessed during the long crediting period (2008–2028), which can elevate over-crediting risk. In addition, leakage is treated as 0% despite being described as quantified in the monitoring report (2016), and CORSIA eligibility/CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, increasing uncertainty for downstream claims.

Documentation — 7.4 / 10

+ Multiple core documents are present (PDD, monitoring report, validation report) with high extraction confidence and an identified VVB (AENOR).

- Some important fields are missing or not evidenced in the extracted record (e.g., baseline reassessment timing; detailed reversal discussion in earlier validation materials).

The extracted record indicates a solid document set (PDD, monitoring report, validation report) and a relatively recent monitoring report date (2016-08-12), with high extraction confidence and no reported corrective actions. Documentation quality is weakened by missing extracted specifics for some key risk controls (notably baseline reassessment timing and detailed reversal treatment in earlier validation materials). Overall, the documentation is adequate but not fully complete on the highest-risk parameters for credit quality assessment.

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	VVB-confirmed combined additionality test
● **Permanence**	10% buffer; no reversals reported
● **Leakage**	0% deduction despite quantified leakage claim
● **Baseline**	Project-specific baseline; reassessment timing unclear
● **Safeguards**	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● **Double-claim**	CORSIA/CCP status not stated in extracted record

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide clear evidence of baseline reassessment (date, rationale, and any parameter updates) during the crediting period, ideally in a verification/monitoring report annex.

→ Publish or extract a transparent leakage quantification summary showing activity data, assumptions, and why the resulting leakage deduction is 0%.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring and Implementation Report
- Monitoring Report
- Project Description
- SCS Validation Report
- Project Validation and Verification Report
- Verification Statement
- Verification Report

Report ID: CM-05511614 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy