

QUALITY REPORT

China River Hydro

CM-081266 · Gold Standard · China

Report ID: CM-E87E5906 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

2.7 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	1.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	3.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Based on the extracted record, this Gold Standard hydropower project has major evidence gaps on core integrity items (additionality, baseline approach, and leakage). Only a single validation report (2022) was available, with no monitoring/verification data captured, which limits confidence in crediting accuracy and public accountability.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	CM-081266
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	2022
VVB	GCC
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	1 document reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether it was confirmed by the VVB are not stated in the extracted record (validation report, 2022).
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in the extracted record (validation report, 2022).
- No leakage deduction or leakage justification is stated in the extracted record (validation report, 2022).
- No monitoring period or verified emission reductions are stated in the extracted record, preventing assessment of over-crediting risk (validation report, 2022).

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 1.8 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, and leakage treatment are not found in the extracted record (validation report, 2022).
- ~ No material findings or corrective actions are reported, but this is based on a single document and does not substitute for verification evidence (validation report, 2022).

The extracted record does not state the additionality test used or whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which is a core integrity requirement for renewable energy projects (validation report, 2022). The baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are also not stated, so baseline validity cannot be evaluated (validation report, 2022). Leakage treatment is not stated (no deduction and no justification captured), leaving a key accounting element unassessed (validation report, 2022).

Transparency — 3.2 / 10

- + The VVB is identified as GCC, supporting basic audit traceability (validation report, 2022).
- Monitoring period and verified ERs are not stated in the extracted record, limiting MRV transparency (validation report, 2022).

Transparency is limited because the monitoring period, claimed ERs, and verified ERs are not stated in the extracted record, so MRV performance cannot be checked (validation report, 2022). The VVB is identified as GCC, which helps traceability, but without monitoring/verification outputs the public record remains thin (validation report, 2022). Registry details are minimal beyond a non-specific registry label, and no other supporting documents are listed (validation report, 2022).

Claim Safety — 3.0 / 10

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, increasing uncertainty for downstream claims (validation report, 2022).
- No baseline method or grid emission factor information is stated in the extracted record, elevating over-crediting risk for an avoidance renewable project (validation report, 2022).

For an avoidance renewable project, over-crediting risk hinges on the baseline and grid emission factor inputs, but these are not stated in the extracted record (validation report, 2022). CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, increasing uncertainty for buyers about how credits can be marketed and whether additional screening applies (validation report, 2022). With no verified ER figure captured, there is no way to cross-check issuance quantities against audited results (validation report, 2022).

Documentation — 3.6 / 10

- + A dated validation report (2022-05-05) is present and the VVB is named (validation report, 2022).
- Only one document was available and extraction confidence is medium, leaving substantial completeness gaps (validation report, 2022).

Documentation is incomplete: only a single validation report dated 2022-05-05 is listed, with no monitoring report, verification report, or PDD captured in the extracted record (validation report, 2022). Extraction confidence is medium, suggesting some key fields may not have been reliably captured, which reduces confidence in completeness. No material findings or corrective actions are reported, but this alone does not demonstrate robust documentation coverage (validation report, 2022).

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality test not found in extracted record
● Permanence	Avoidance project; reversal risk not central
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not stated
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC/grievance/safeguards not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the PDD and the applied Gold Standard methodology (including baseline and additionality sections) and clearly document the additionality test and baseline parameters.

→ Provide monitoring and verification reports with the monitoring period, claimed vs verified ERs, grid emission factor inputs, and any leakage assessment/justification.

Documents Reviewed

- Validation / Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E87E5906 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy