

## QUALITY REPORT

# Chacayes Hydroelectric Project, Chile

CNN-2605df · Gold Standard · Chile

Report ID: CM-2CE3502D · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 1.3

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	1.2
■ Transparency (25%)	0.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
■ Documentation (15%)	1.5

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The extracted record contains almost no project-specific MRV, baseline, or additionality information for the Chacayes Hydroelectric Project. With low document readability and only an unidentified source document, the crediting basis and quantified climate impact cannot be validated from the available evidence.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	CNN-2605df
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Chile
Vintage	2013
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	1 document reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

## Red Flags

- No baseline approach, grid emission factor, or methodology information found in the extracted record, making over-crediting risk impossible to assess
- Additionality evidence is not found in the extracted record and is not confirmed by a VVB
- No monitoring period or verified emission reductions are available in the extracted record
- Low extraction confidence and only an unidentified document source materially weaken reliability

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 1.2 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, and leakage treatment are not found in the extracted record (source document listed as unknown)

- No material findings or corrective actions are reported, but this is not meaningful given the lack of identifiable verification content (unknown document)

No additionality test, baseline method, or leakage approach is found in the extracted record, so the core integrity case for renewable electricity displacement cannot be evaluated. Buffering and reversal concepts are not applicable in the same way as for removals, but permanence-related information (e.g., any reversal events) is also not found. While the extracted record shows no material findings and no corrective actions, the only evidence document is listed as unknown, so this absence cannot be relied upon.

### Transparency — 0.8 / 10

- Monitoring period and verified ERs are not found in the extracted record (unknown document)

- VVB name and key MRV parameters (e.g., grid emission factor) are not found in the extracted record

Key transparency elements are missing from the extracted record: the VVB name, monitoring period, and verified emission reductions are not provided. MRV-critical parameters for a grid-connected hydro project (e.g., grid emission factor and its vintage) are also not found. With only an unidentified document source, the project's public traceability and registry completeness cannot be confirmed from the available evidence.

### Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not found in the extracted record, increasing buyer claim uncertainty

- Baseline and leakage justification are not found in the extracted record, elevating over-crediting/greenwashing risk

Over-crediting risk cannot be screened because the baseline approach and grid emission factor are not found in the extracted record, and there is no leakage justification or deduction stated. Buyer-facing eligibility signals are also absent: CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not found. As a result, downstream claims would carry elevated uncertainty based on the available documentation.

### Documentation — 1.5 / 10

- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable

- Only one evidence document is listed and it is unidentified ("unknown"), limiting auditability

Documentation quality is weak because only one evidence document is listed and it is labeled unknown, with no date or identifiable document type in the extracted record. The minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating poor readability for at least one key document and reducing confidence in completeness. The lack of any stated crediting period or monitoring period further suggests major gaps in the extracted documentation set.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	Additionality evidence not found
● <b>Permanence</b>	Avoidance project but reversal info not found
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment not found
● <b>Baseline</b>	Baseline method not found
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not found
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not found

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the PDD and the latest monitoring/verification reports with readable text, including the applied methodology, baseline/grid emission factor details, and the monitoring period with verified ER totals

→ Disclose VVB identity and assurance statements (including any findings/corrective actions) and publish safeguards evidence (FPIC, grievance mechanism, stakeholder consultation outcomes)

## Documents Reviewed

- Gold Standard Registry — GS-6848

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-2CE3502D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)