

QUALITY REPORT

GHG abatement through Solar Power Generation at Jaisal...

CNN-800b4c · Gold Standard · India

Report ID: CM-45812452 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	1.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	0.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	1.5

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This Gold Standard solar power project appears to be an avoidance renewable energy activity, but the extracted record contains almost no quantifiable MRV, baseline, or additionality information. With low document readability and only an unidentified source document, the reliability of any crediting claims cannot be substantiated from the available evidence.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	CNN-800b4c
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	2013
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	1 document reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Key MRV and crediting data (monitoring period, verified ERs, baseline and grid emission factor) were not found in the extracted record.
- Low extraction confidence and an unidentified document source materially reduce confidence in the project's documentation and transparency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 1.2 / 10

- + No material findings or corrective actions were reported in the extracted record (document type unknown).
- Additionality approach and baseline method were not found in the extracted record (document type unknown).

The extracted record does not include the additionality test type, whether additionality was confirmed by the VVB, the baseline method, or any baseline reassessment timing (document type unknown). Leakage treatment (deduction and justification) was also not found, which prevents evaluating displacement or indirect impacts for a grid-connected renewable project. No material findings or corrective actions are shown, but given the low extraction confidence this is weak evidence of robustness rather than a strong positive signal.

Transparency — 0.8 / 10

- VVB name, monitoring period, and verified emission reductions were not found in the extracted record (document type unknown).
- Low extraction confidence and only one unidentified document limit auditability (document type unknown).

Core transparency elements are missing from the extracted record: the VVB name, monitoring period, and verified emission reductions are not stated (document type unknown). There is also no grid emission factor value/year or methodology information to allow replication of calculations. With only one unidentified document and low extraction confidence, the public traceability and MRV audit trail are insufficient.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status were not found in the extracted record, increasing uncertainty around downstream claims (document type unknown).
- Over-crediting risk cannot be evaluated because the baseline and grid emission factor were not found in the extracted record (document type unknown).

Because the baseline approach and grid emission factor are not found in the extracted record, the risk of over-crediting cannot be screened (document type unknown). CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are also not stated, creating uncertainty for buyers about whether credits could be used in higher-claim channels. The absence of claimed vs verified ER figures further increases greenwashing risk because performance cannot be cross-checked.

Documentation — 1.5 / 10

- Only one evidence document is listed and it is unidentified, with low extraction confidence (document type unknown).
- ~ No corrective actions are listed, but the absence of findings may reflect missing/illegible content rather than strong performance (document type unknown).

Documentation quality is very weak: only one evidence document is listed and it is labeled as unknown, with low extraction confidence (document type unknown). The document date, project name, methodology/version, crediting period, and monitoring period are not found in the extracted record. This level of incompleteness warrants a low documentation score and would trigger the transparency cap under the gate rules (documentation below 3.0).

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Additionality not evidenced
● Permanence	Avoidance project but reversal provisions not documented
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not documented
● Baseline	Baseline method not evidenced
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the latest Gold Standard verification/monitoring report(s) with readable tables showing monitoring period, claimed vs verified ERs, VVB name, and any findings/corrective actions.
- Provide the applied methodology and baseline inputs (grid emission factor value and year, baseline approach, and any leakage assessment/justification) plus public registry links confirming issuance and eligibility statuses.

Documents Reviewed

- Gold Standard Registry — GS-7461

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-45812452 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy