

QUALITY REPORT

Substitution of fossil fuels for the use of sustainable biofuels manufactured by Linares Biodiesel Technology S.L.U.

CT-626 · ICR Standard · Spain

Report ID: CM-A8F16CD6 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
■ Documentation (15%)	6.3

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has a documented additionality case (investment test) and was validated by a VVB, which supports basic integrity. However, key quantifications needed to judge over-crediting risk (verified ERs, monitoring period, and leakage deduction value) are not present in the extracted record, limiting confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	ICR Standard
Registry ID	CT-626
Sector	biomass
Country	Spain
Vintage	2021, 2022
Project Methodology	ACM0017 3.1
Crediting Period	2021 — 2030
VVB	Certificadora Gallega del Noroeste S.L.
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	2 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No verified emission reductions or monitoring period found in the extracted record, so performance and MRV quality cannot be assessed.
- Leakage is described as quantified, but the actual leakage deduction percentage is not found, creating over-crediting risk.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

- + The validation report confirms additionality using an investment test and VVB validation is indicated.
- Baseline is project-specific and the timing of any baseline reassessment is not stated in available documents.

The validation record indicates additionality was assessed via an investment test and confirmed by the VVB (validation report, 2022). The baseline approach is project-specific (PDD/validation record), and no baseline reassessment date was found, which weakens confidence that baseline assumptions remain current. Leakage is described as quantified (PDD/validation record), but the actual deduction value is not present in the extracted record, limiting the ability to judge conservativeness.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The VVB is identified (Certificadora Gallega del Noroeste S.L.) and core project identifiers/methodology are stated in the validation record.
- No monitoring period or verified/claimed ER figures were found in the extracted record, limiting transparency on outcomes.

Transparency is constrained because no monitoring period and no claimed or verified emission reduction totals were found in the extracted record (only PDD and validation report are available). While the VVB name and methodology (ACM0017 v3.1) are clearly stated in the validation record, the absence of monitoring/verification outputs prevents assessment of MRV performance and any discrepancies between claimed and verified results.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

- + Leakage is at least treated as a quantified item in the project documentation (PDD/validation record).
- CORSIA/CCP status and key quantitative parameters (e.g., leakage deduction value, verified ERs) are not stated in available documents, increasing greenwashing/over-crediting risk.

Over-crediting/greenwashing risk cannot be well bounded because the extracted record lacks verified ERs, monitoring details, and the numeric leakage deduction. The project's eligibility/quality labels relevant to claims (CORSIA eligibility and CCP status) are not stated in available documents, so buyers cannot easily determine whether additional claim constraints apply. The baseline is project-specific, which typically requires stronger ongoing evidence to support conservative crediting.

Documentation — 6.3 / 10

- + Two core documents are available (PDD and validation report dated 2022-03-15) with high extraction confidence.
- No monitoring/verification report was included in the extracted record, leaving key operational evidence gaps.

Documentation coverage is moderate: the extracted record includes a PDD and a validation report dated 2022-03-15, and readability/extraction confidence is high. However, the absence of monitoring and verification reports in the extracted record leaves major gaps on achieved results, data collection methods, and any verification findings beyond validation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Investment test validated by VVB
● Permanence	Avoidance type, but reversal/buffer not documented
● Leakage	Leakage treated but deduction not stated
● Baseline	Project-specific baseline; reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned; FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the monitoring report(s) and verification report(s) showing the monitoring period, data sources, and claimed vs verified emission reductions.

→ Disclose the quantified leakage deduction percentage and the underlying calculation/assumptions, and state CORSIA eligibility and CCP status explicitly.

Documents Reviewed

- Project Design Document (PDD)
- Validation / Verification Report

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A8F16CD6 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy