QUALITY REPORT

AgroEcology_Italy

CT-730 · ICR Standard · Italy

Report ID: CM-A52CDEB0 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	**Integrity (35%)**	4.2
	Transparency (25%)	4.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	Documentation (15%)	5.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This soil carbon project has some credibility signals (a named VVB and no reported material findings), but key integrity-critical elements are missing from the extracted record. In particular, the baseline approach, leakage treatment, and quantified results for the monitoring period are not stated, which increases over-crediting and greenwashing risk.

Project Details

Registry	ICR Standard
Registry ID	CT-730
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Italy
Vintage	2023
Project Methodology	VM0042 v4.0
Crediting Period	2022 — 2036
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited (CCIPL)
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- ■ Baseline approach and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in the extracted record (PDD/validation/monitoring).
- ■ Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no leakage deduction reported for an agriculture/soil carbon activity.
- ■ No verified or claimed emission reduction/removal totals are provided for the 2022–2023 monitoring period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

+ The validation/verification chain indicates additionality was confirmed by the VVB (validation report).

- Baseline method and leakage treatment are not stated/are not addressed in the extracted record (PDD/monitoring report).

Additionality is indicated as confirmed by the VVB (validation report), which supports integrity. However, the baseline approach is not stated in the extracted record (PDD/validation/monitoring), and leakage is explicitly marked as not addressed (monitoring report), both of which are material weaknesses for an agriculture/soil carbon project. No buffer pool contribution or reversal monitoring outcomes are provided in the extracted record, leaving permanence risk management unclear.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ A specific monitoring period is disclosed (monitoring report, 2024-04-29).

- Quantified claimed vs verified results are not available in the extracted record, limiting MRV transparency (monitoring report).

The monitoring report clearly states the monitoring period (2022-01-01 to 2023-12-31) and identifies the VVB as Carbon Check (India) Private Limited. But the extracted record does not include total claimed or verified ER/ERR quantities for that period, preventing an external reader from checking whether issuance aligns with monitored outcomes. Registry completeness is also limited because key eligibility/status fields are not stated.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, increasing uncertainty for downstream claims (registry/standard records not found).

- Leakage is not addressed and the baseline approach is not stated, elevating over-crediting risk for soil carbon credits (PDD/monitoring report).

Because the baseline method is not stated and leakage is not addressed, there is elevated risk that credited soil carbon outcomes could be overstated relative to a credible counterfactual (PDD/monitoring report). CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated in the extracted record, so buyers cannot easily determine whether additional claim constraints apply. The absence of quantified verified totals in the extracted record further increases greenwashing risk for public claims tied to specific volumes.

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

+ Core document types are present (PDD, validation report, monitoring report).

~ Extraction confidence is only medium and several key fields are missing, suggesting incomplete/unclear source text or gaps in disclosed content.

Three core documents are listed (PDD, validation report, monitoring report), and the monitoring report is recent (2024-04-29). Still, many key decision-useful fields (baseline method, leakage deduction, reversals/buffer pool, and verified totals) are not present in the extracted record, reducing confidence in completeness. Medium extraction confidence also warrants caution that some details may be missing or unclear in the underlying files.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
Permanence	Reversal/buffer approach unclear
Leakage	Leakage not addressed
Baseline	Baseline method not stated
Safeguards	Safeguards mentioned but incomplete
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose the baseline approach used under VM0042 v4.0 and when it was last reassessed, with enough detail to reproduce baseline calculations (PDD/monitoring annexes).

→ Provide a clear leakage assessment (sources, quantification, and any deduction) appropriate to the agricultural practices and geography, and report verified net credited tonnes for 2022–2023.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report
- Project Design Document (PDD)
- Validation / Verification Report

Report ID: CM-A52CDEB0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy