

QUALITY REPORT

Dongtai Onshore Windfarm

CT-794 · I-REC · China

Report ID: CM-E57BD530 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.9

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	2.2
■ Transparency (25%)	0.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
■ Documentation (15%)	2.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Based on the extracted record, this I-REC wind project has major evidence gaps on baseline setting, additionality, and monitoring/verification details. With only an issuance-type document referenced and most key MRV fields not found, the risk of over-crediting and weak substantiation is high from a quality-assessment perspective.

Project Details

Registry	I-REC
Registry ID	CT-794
Sector	other
Country	China
Vintage	2019, 2020, 2022, 2023, 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	1 document reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No baseline approach or grid emission factor information found in the extracted record, limiting confidence that emissions reductions are correctly quantified.
- No additionality assessment or third-party confirmation found in the extracted record for a grid-connected wind project.
- No monitoring period, verified energy/ERR figures, or VVB information found in the extracted record, undermining MRV transparency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.2 / 10

- No additionality test or confirmation by a verifier found in the extracted record (issuance document dated 2026-03-23).
- ~ No leakage, baseline, or permanence risk treatment found in the extracted record for this issuance-only evidence set.

The extracted record does not include any additionality test (such as investment or barrier analysis) or confirmation by a verifier; these are not found in the issuance document dated 2026-03-23. Baseline information (baseline approach, grid emission factor and year) is also not found in the extracted record, making it difficult to judge whether credited reductions are conservative. Leakage treatment and permanence-related provisions (e.g., buffer pool or reversal handling) are not found in the extracted record, leaving key integrity controls unsubstantiated.

Transparency — 0.8 / 10

- No monitoring period, verifier name, or verified ERR/energy quantity found in the extracted record (issuance document dated 2026-03-23).
- ~ Only one source document is referenced (listed as "unknown"), limiting traceability.

Key MRV transparency elements are not found in the extracted record: the monitoring period, the verifier name, and the verified ERR/energy quantity are all missing from the extracted fields for the issuance document dated 2026-03-23. The evidence list contains only a single item labeled "unknown", which limits the ability to trace claims back to specific monitoring/verification reports. While no material findings are listed, the absence of underlying MRV details materially reduces transparency.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- No claimed vs verified ERR values found in the extracted record, preventing checks for over-crediting.
- ~ CORSIA and CCP status not found in the extracted record, leaving double-claim/eligibility risk unresolved.

Because both claimed and verified ERR totals are not found in the extracted record, it is not possible to cross-check whether issuance aligns with monitored generation and an appropriate grid emission factor. Baseline and grid factor details are not found in the extracted record, increasing over-crediting risk for a grid-connected renewable project where these parameters drive credit volume. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not found in the extracted record, so potential double-claim/eligibility signaling cannot be evaluated.

Documentation — 2.6 / 10

- Documentation set appears incomplete: only one evidence document ("unknown") and most key fields not found.
- + No material findings or corrective actions are reported in the extracted record (issuance document dated 2026-03-23).

The documentation appears thin: only one document was used and it is labeled "unknown" in the evidence list, even though the document type is recorded as issuance with a date of 2026-03-23. Most critical fields typically present in monitoring/verification documentation (monitoring period, verifier identity, quantified results) are not found in the extracted record. The minimum extraction confidence is medium, which is better than low, but the breadth of missing fields still indicates a substantial completeness gap.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality assessment found
● Permanence	Permanence provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not evidenced
● Baseline	Baseline method not found
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the monitoring report and verification statement showing the monitoring period, metered generation, verifier identity, and the final verified issuance quantity.

→ Disclose the baseline approach and grid emission factor (value, year, and source) used to calculate avoided emissions, including any reassessment schedule or updates.

Documents Reviewed

- I-REC Device Register — DONGTAI1

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E57BD530 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy