

QUALITY REPORT

Sustainable End of Life Vehicle Scrapping Program

DV-032242 · DOVU dMRV · India

Report ID: CM-27C059E8 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.3

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has limited extractable evidence on core integrity elements (additionality, baseline approach, and quantified leakage), which increases over-crediting risk. While a monitoring period is stated and CORSIA eligibility is explicitly false, the absence of verified ER figures and VVB details materially weakens transparency and claim confidence.

Project Details

Registry	DOVU dMRV
Registry ID	DV-032242
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS-III.BA 6
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- Additionality approach and whether it was validated/verified by an independent VVB are not found in the extracted record.
- Baseline method and any baseline reassessment timing are not stated in available documents.
- Leakage is described as negligible but no leakage deduction percentage is provided for the monitoring period, and no quantified justification is available.
- No verified or claimed total emission reductions are available from the extracted documents, limiting confidence in issued credit quantities.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.2 / 10

- Additionality and baseline approach are not stated in available documents (monitoring/issuance record dated 2024-03-27).
- ~ Leakage is treated as negligible, but without a stated deduction percentage or supporting quantification (monitoring/issuance record dated 2024-03-27).

The extracted record identifies the methodology as AMS-III.BA version 6, but does not provide the baseline method or when the baseline was last reassessed (monitoring report/issuance record dated 2024-03-27). Additionality is not described and there is no indication that a VVB confirmed it, which materially weakens additionality robustness. Leakage is described as deemed negligible, but no leakage deduction percentage is stated and no quantified justification is available in the extracted record (monitoring report). No material findings or corrective actions are listed, but the absence of core integrity inputs limits how much weight that can carry.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + Monitoring period is clearly specified as 2024-01-01 to 2024-03-31 (monitoring report).
- Key MRV outputs (claimed and verified ER totals) and the verifier identity are not found in the extracted record (monitoring report/issuance).

A defined monitoring period (2024-01-01 to 2024-03-31) is provided, which is a basic transparency positive (monitoring report). However, neither total emission reductions claimed nor total emission reductions verified are available in the extracted record, preventing cross-checks between monitoring and issuance quantities (monitoring report/issuance). The verifier name is also not found, limiting the ability to assess third-party assurance. Overall, the public MRV picture is incomplete based on the extracted documents.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The project is explicitly marked as not CORSIA-eligible, reducing aviation-claim channel risk (issuance/registry record).
- Over-crediting risk is elevated because baseline method, additionality confirmation, and verified ER totals are not available in the extracted record (monitoring report/issuance).

The project is explicitly not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of higher-stakes aviation claims being made from these credits (issuance/registry record). Nonetheless, claim safety is constrained by missing baseline and additionality information and the lack of any extracted claimed-versus-verified ER totals, which increases perceived over-crediting and greenwashing risk (monitoring report/issuance). Leakage being treated as negligible without a stated deduction percentage further adds uncertainty around net climate impact (monitoring report). CCP status is not stated in available documents, so buyers cannot rely on that label as a quality signal.

Documentation — 4.3 / 10

- + Multiple document types are referenced (monitoring report and issuance) with a recent document date of 2024-03-27.
- Extraction confidence is only medium and key fields (VVB name, ER totals, baseline/additionality details) are missing from the extracted record.

The evidence set includes a monitoring report and an issuance record, and the document date is recent (2024-03-27), supporting basic recency (extracted record). At the same time, only three documents were used and extraction confidence is medium, with many key fields not captured (VVB identity, ER totals, baseline/additionality details). No grievance mechanism, FPIC, or safeguards documentation is evidenced in the extracted record, which also suggests incomplete social documentation coverage. Overall documentation is partial rather than comprehensive.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality assessment found
● Permanence	Reversal/buffer provisions not evidenced
● Leakage	Negligible claimed but not quantified
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	No FPIC/grievance/safeguards evidenced
● Double-claim	Not CORSIA-eligible

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish/attach the validation/verification documentation naming the VVB and explicitly documenting the additionality test and baseline determination used under AMS-III.BA v6.
- Provide a monitoring/verification summary table with claimed and verified ER totals for the stated monitoring period, including any leakage deduction percentage and the supporting justification/calculation.

Documents Reviewed

- IIT Bombay - Technical Opinion on ELV Carbon Credit issuance
- ELV LMV Stock Report: 1st Oct 2023 to 31st December 2023
- ELV Stock Report: 1st Jan 2024 to 31st March 2024

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-27C059E8 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

[carbonmeld.com](#) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](#) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](#)