QUALITY REPORT

Ketrawe Foundation 2013-2017

DV-8cb633 · DOVU dMRV · Bolivia

Report ID: CM-4B5FC54D · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.7 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	2.8
	Transparency (25%)	4.2
	Claim Safety (25%)	3.4
	Documentation (15%)	5.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The extracted record provides a recent monitoring report (2024-11) with a named VVB (Control Union) and no reported material findings, but it lacks core quantification needed to judge soil-carbon credit integrity. Key elements such as baseline approach, additionality testing, leakage treatment, and permanence/buffer provisions are not stated in the available extracted documents, increasing over-crediting risk.

Project Details

Registry	DOVU dMRV
Registry ID	DV-8cb633
Sector	soil_carbon
Country	Bolivia
Vintage	Aging
VVB	Control Union
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

■ Baseline method and any reassessment timing are not stated in the extracted record, making it hard to evaluate whether credited removals are additional and conservatively estimated.

■ No leakage deduction or leakage justification is provided, despite soil-carbon projects often having potential activity-shifting and market leakage pathways.

■ Permanence provisions (buffer pool percentage) and any reversal monitoring/events are not stated, which is a major gap for soil carbon.

■ Safeguards documentation is inconsistent across documents (one source indicates safeguards were mentioned while the 2024 monitoring report indicates they were not).

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 2.8 / 10

- Additionality, baseline approach, leakage treatment, and permanence provisions are not stated in the extracted record (monitoring report 2024-11; validation report referenced but key fields not captured).

~ No material findings or corrective actions are reported, but this does not substitute for missing quantification of core integrity parameters (monitoring report 2024-11).

For a soil-carbon project, core integrity elements are not stated in the extracted record: the baseline method, any additionality test, leakage deduction and justification, and permanence provisions such as a buffer pool percentage (monitoring report 2024-11). Reversal monitoring and any reversal events are also not stated, which is a major gap given the non-permanent nature of soil carbon. While the monitoring report indicates no material findings and no corrective actions, the absence of quantified MRV and risk controls materially weakens confidence in credited outcomes (monitoring report 2024-11).

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ A VVB is identified (Control Union) and a monitoring period is stated (2013-01-01 to 2023-12-31) (monitoring report 2024-11).

- Total emissions reductions/removals claimed vs verified are not stated, limiting auditability of outcomes (monitoring report 2024-11).

Transparency is mixed: the monitoring period is clearly stated as 2013-01-01 to 2023-12-31 and the VVB is named as Control Union (monitoring report 2024-11). However, the extracted record does not include total removals claimed or verified, nor the monitoring/measurement approach used to quantify soil carbon changes, limiting independent scrutiny (monitoring report 2024-11). The registry field is not stated in the extracted record, which also reduces traceability of issuance and retirements.

Claim Safety — 3.4 / 10

+ The project is stated as not CORSIA-eligible, reducing one pathway for double-claiming concerns (monitoring report 2024-11).

- Over-crediting risk is elevated because baseline, leakage, and permanence controls are not stated in the extracted record (monitoring report 2024-11).

Claim safety is constrained by missing information that typically drives over-crediting risk in soil-carbon projects: baseline definition, leakage treatment, and permanence controls are not stated in the extracted record (monitoring report 2024-11). The project is stated as not CORSIA-eligible, which reduces the risk of CORSIA-related double-claiming, but CCP status is not stated, leaving uncertainty about alignment with higher-integrity labels (monitoring report 2024-11).

Documentation — 5.6 / 10

+ Multiple document types are referenced (monitoring report, validation report, and an additional document) with high extraction confidence (document set; monitoring report 2024-11).

- Safeguards-related information is contradictory across documents, reducing confidence in completeness and consistency (monitoring report 2024-11 vs document dated 2023-08).

Documentation coverage is moderate: three documents were used (including a monitoring report and a validation report) and extraction confidence is high, suggesting the documents were readable (document set; monitoring report 2024-11). Still, many key fields are not captured or not stated in the extracted record (baseline, additionality, leakage, permanence), indicating incomplete disclosure or incomplete extraction of critical sections. The safeguards information is inconsistent across documents, which reduces confidence in the reliability of the narrative record.

Risk Indicators

● **Additionality**	No additionality assessment found in extracted record
● **Permanence**	Permanence controls and reversals not stated
● **Leakage**	Leakage deduction/justification not stated
● **Baseline**	Baseline method not stated
● **Safeguards**	No FPIC/grievance mechanism; safeguards inconsistently documented
● **Double-claim**	Not CORSIA-eligible, but CCP/registry status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Disclose and/or extract the baseline approach, additionality test, and quantified ERR/soil-carbon accounting method (including uncertainty and verification results) from the validation/verification materials.

→ Provide explicit permanence risk management: buffer pool contribution (if any), reversal monitoring protocol, and any reversal events/adjustments over the monitoring period.

→ Publish leakage assessment with a quantified deduction or a clear, project-specific justification for negligible leakage.

Documents Reviewed

- CarbonSpace Ketrawe Foundation HDS1 Report 2013-2023
- Ketrawe Foundation About HDS1 Forest to add Bolivia
- CarbonSpace Verification Report 20-05-2024

Report ID: CM-4B5FC54D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy