

QUALITY REPORT

Setka Gosheva Farm

DV-f1ee01 · DOVU dMRV · Bulgaria

Report ID: CM-1820F851 · Generated: 2026-04-02 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

1.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	1.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	0.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	2.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	1.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

Based on the extracted record, core carbon-accounting elements (methodology, baseline, additionality, leakage, monitoring period, and verified results) are not found in the available documentation. With only a single, low-confidence 2021 document reference and no VVB/registry details, the project's credit integrity and claim reliability cannot be substantiated from the provided evidence.

Project Details

Registry	DOVU dMRV
Registry ID	DV-f1ee01
Sector	other
Country	Bulgaria
Vintage	Recent
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	1 document reviewed
Scored	2026-04-02

Red Flags

- No methodology, baseline approach, additionality assessment, leakage treatment, or monitoring period found in the extracted record
- No VVB name or confirmation of verification found; total claimed and verified emission reductions are not provided
- Low extraction confidence and only one document used, limiting reliability and completeness

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 1.8 / 10

- Baseline, additionality, leakage, permanence/buffer provisions, and reversals are not stated in available documents
- No material findings or corrective actions are listed, but this is not meaningful without a clear verification context

The extracted record does not include an additionality test, any confirmation by a VVB, or a stated baseline method, so additionality and baseline validity cannot be assessed from the available evidence. Leakage is not addressed (no deduction percentage and no justification found), and permanence controls such as a buffer pool or reversal reporting are also not stated in available documents. While the record lists no material findings or corrective actions, the absence of verification context limits the value of that signal.

Transparency — 0.6 / 10

- Registry information, monitoring period, MRV approach, and verified results are not found in the extracted record
- VVB identity is not stated, reducing auditability

The extracted record lacks basic MRV transparency items: no monitoring period, no usage monitoring method, and no total claimed or verified emission reductions are provided. The VVB name is not stated, and registry details are not found, making it difficult to trace issuance/retirement or confirm independent assurance. Only a single 2021 document reference is available, and it is categorized as an unknown document type.

Claim Safety — 2.0 / 10

- CORSIA/CCP status is not stated and there is no quantified claimed vs verified result to support marketing claims
- Key over-crediting controls (baseline method, leakage justification/deduction, monitoring approach) are not provided

Because the baseline method, leakage treatment, and monitoring approach are not found in the extracted record, the risk of over-crediting cannot be evaluated and claims are not well-supported. The record provides neither total claimed nor total verified emission reductions, preventing reconciliation of what is marketed versus what is assured. CCP status and CORSIA eligibility are not stated, increasing uncertainty around eligibility-related claims and potential double-claim risk management.

Documentation — 1.4 / 10

- Only one evidence document is referenced and it is labeled as an unknown document type
- Minimum extraction confidence is low, indicating at least one key document was poorly readable

Documentation completeness is very weak: only one evidence document is listed (an unknown document type) with a 2021 date and low extraction confidence. Key fields typically present in project documentation (methodology/version, crediting period, monitoring period, VVB identity, registry code linkage, and quantified results) are not found in the extracted record. The low extraction confidence materially reduces confidence that the available document set is readable and complete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality assessment found
● Permanence	Permanence controls not evidenced
● Leakage	Leakage treatment not found
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Safeguards/FPIC/grievance not evidenced
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the applicable DOVU dMRV methodology (and version), baseline approach, additionality demonstration, and the monitoring period with activity data and calculation steps

→ Publish independent assurance details (VVB name and verification statement) plus registry traceability (issuance/retirement records) and reconcile total claimed versus total verified results

Documents Reviewed

- Cool Farm Alliance assessment report 2021

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1820F851 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-02 · Generated: 2026-04-02

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy