

QUALITY REPORT

Cataltepe 16MW Wind Farm Project, Turkey

GS-1007 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-5C341D3A · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.1
■ Transparency (25%)	4.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.2
■ Documentation (15%)	3.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a stated leakage deduction of 0%, but the record also shows unresolved permanence and documentation weaknesses. Transparency and documentation are limited by low extraction confidence, missing verified issuance figures, and multiple corrective actions in the validation report. There is also a material contradiction on the crediting period, which reduces confidence in the reliability of the file.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1007
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 22.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2025
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	20 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The validation report lists many corrective actions and clarifications, including missing stakeholder consultation evidence, logbook evidence, and meter information.
- The project is CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not mentioned, creating some dual-claim uncertainty.
- A contradiction exists on the crediting period, with different documents indicating different end dates.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.1 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test in the validation report.
- Permanence is weakly documented: reversal events are not addressed in the latest validation record, and no buffer pool percentage is stated.

The validation report confirms additionality through a combined test and says the VVB, RINA Services S.p.A., verified it. The project also states a leakage deduction of 0%, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2021, which is a positive sign. However, permanence is not well supported because reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is provided.

Transparency — 4.0 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2024, and the project has a named VVB, RINA Services S.p.A.
- The record does not provide verified or claimed issuance totals, and low extraction confidence suggests at least one key document was hard to read.

The monitoring period is clearly identified as 2024-03-01 to 2024-12-31, and the VVB is named in the extracted record. Still, the file does not provide verified or claimed issuance totals, and usage monitoring is not stated. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key source was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 4.2 / 10

- + The project is a wind farm with a project baseline and a stated leakage deduction of 0%, which supports lower over-crediting risk than many land-use projects.
- The file contains a crediting-period contradiction and no verified usage-rate evidence, which weakens confidence in the claimed climate benefit.

Claim safety is helped by the fact that this is a wind project with a project baseline and a stated leakage deduction of 0%. Even so, the record does not show verified usage-rate evidence, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned, which leaves some dual-claim uncertainty. The contradiction on the crediting period also weakens confidence in the scale and timing of the claim.

Documentation — 3.1 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were used, including a recent validation report dated 2025-06-03.
- The validation report lists numerous corrective actions and the extraction confidence is low, indicating incomplete or difficult-to-read documentation.

Documentation quality is mixed: the record shows 18 documents used and includes a recent validation report dated 2025-06-03. But the validation report lists many corrective actions, including missing stakeholder consultation evidence, logbook evidence, and meter information, which indicates gaps in the file. The low extraction confidence also suggests that at least one key document was difficult to interpret.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed test
● Permanence	No buffer stated; reversals unclear
● Leakage	0% deduction with negligible justification
● Baseline	Project baseline; reassessment timing limited
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the crediting-period and leakage/additionality contradictions.

→ Publish verified issuance totals, usage monitoring details, and complete evidence for stakeholder consultation, logbooks, and meter changes.

Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_Cataltepe WPP_2024.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_1051 Cataltepe Wind-VER_Khalid Mahmood_v04_23-07-2024_clean.pdf
- Final_MR_1051 Cataltepe Wind-VER_v03_27-05-2024_wotc.pdf
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_1051 Cataltepe Wind-VER_v02_27-05-2024.xlsx
- Final_ER calculations_1051 Cataltepe Wind-VER_v0.2_16-04-2024.xlsx
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_19.04.2011 to 31.07.2012_v1.2.pdf
- Baseline Study_Cataltepe WPP_v0.2.xlsx
- SDG Impact Tool_Cataltepe Wind_v03.xlsx
- Final_Val Report_878 Cataltepe Wind_Seda Atabek_v06_wotc(2).pdf
- Final_ER calculations_878 Cataltepe Wind_v02_05-01-2023.xlsx
- Baseline ER calculations_Cataltepe Wind_v03.xlsx
- 430_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool_Cataltepe WPP_v0.3_final.xlsx
- PDD 13.09.2010_v8.pdf
- C■ataltepe 16 Wind Farm Project PDD_v04_wotc.docx
- PDD 23.09.2010_v9.pdf
- C■ataltepe 16 Wind Farm Project PDD_v06_wotc(2).pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1007
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1007
- FVR_GS_RCP REPORT_2024TQCE365_Çataltepe WPP_Rev 4.0Aa_03062025.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_19.04.2011 to 31.07.2012_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5C341D3A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy