QUALITY REPORT

Kuyucak 25.6 MW Wind Farm Project, Turkey

GS-1009 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-9DCBD2F7 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0

Overall Score

out of 10

Integrity (35%)	6.1
Transparency (25%)	4.8
Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
Documentation (15%)	3.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with a VVB-confirmed investment additionality test, no leakage deduction, and no reported reversal events in the extracted record. However, the evidence set has reliability issues: the extraction confidence is low, several corrective actions were raised, and key figures such as verified emissions reductions and the crediting period conflict across documents.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1009
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	KBS Certification Services Ltd.
Verified ERs	63,654 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	37 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

■ The verified emissions reductions conflict across documents, with one report showing 63,654 and an earlier document showing 142,169.

■ The crediting period also conflicts, and the monitoring period was flagged as inconsistent with the ER calculation spreadsheet.

■ Leakage treatment changed across documents, with one source describing leakage as quantified and the later verification report treating it as negligible.

■ The project is CORSIA-eligible, which keeps dual-claim risk relevant even though CCP approval is not mentioned.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the verification report.

- The verification report lists multiple corrective actions, and the monitoring period was noted as inconsistent with the ER calculation spreadsheet.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB closed out the reported corrective actions before issuance. The project also shows a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage described as negligible, and no reversal events are reported in the extracted record. That said, the report also notes that the monitoring period was not consistent with the ER calculation spreadsheet, which weakens confidence in the underlying accounting.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The monitoring report uses smart meters and the verification report names KBS Certification Services Ltd. as the VVB.

- Low extraction confidence, conflicting verified emissions figures, and a disputed crediting period reduce confidence in the public record.

The monitoring report covers 2024-03-01 to 2024-11-10 and the verification report identifies KBS Certification Services Ltd. as the VVB, with smart-meter monitoring described. Still, the extraction confidence is low, and the record contains a major discrepancy in verified emissions reductions between 63,654 and 142,169. The crediting period also conflicts across documents, which reduces transparency and auditability.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project is a wind farm with a project baseline and no leakage deduction, which is generally straightforward for this sector.

- The verified emissions reductions differ sharply across documents, and the project is CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned.

For a wind project, the project baseline and negligible leakage treatment are broadly supportive of claim safety. However, the large gap between claimed and verified emissions reductions, together with the conflicting verified totals across documents, raises over-crediting risk. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not mentioned, so dual-claim exposure cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Documentation — 3.7 / 10

+ A relatively large document set was used, and the verification report is recent.

- Extraction confidence is low, and the record contains several unresolved contradictions plus multiple corrective actions.

The record draws on many documents, and the verification report is dated 2025-07-25, which is reasonably recent. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, and several material findings and corrective actions were raised. The contradictions around emissions reductions, leakage treatment, benefit sharing, and the crediting period indicate incomplete or inconsistent documentation.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No reversal events reported, but not fully addressed
Leakage	Negligible leakage claimed, but prior quantified treatment c
Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing not fully robus
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a reconciled emissions-reduction schedule that explains the difference between the 63,654 and 142,169 verified figures.

→ Publish a document-controlled reconciliation of the crediting period, leakage treatment, and monitoring-period spreadsheet so the latest verification report can be independently traced.

Documents Reviewed

- Final_ER calculations_1049 Kuyucak Wind-VER_v0.1_18-03-2024.xlsx
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_11.11.2010 to 31.12.2011_v1.3.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_1049 Kuyucak Wind-VER_Khalid Mahmood_v04_24-07-2024_cl.pdf
- Kuyucak WPP_T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_2024.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.01.2012 to 31.12.2012_v1.1.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Kuyucak WPP_2022.pdf
- Kuyucak SDG Impact Tool_v0.1(1).xlsx
- Final_ER calculations_ 1049 Kuyucak Wind-VER_v0.3.xlsx
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form_Demirer 3_Kuyucak[33].pdf
- Final_MR_ 1049 Kuyucak Wind-VER_v0.4.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_1049 Kuyucak Wind-VER_Khalid Mahmood_v04_13-12-2023.pdf
- Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2013 to 31.03.2014_v1.1.pdf
- Kuyucak SDG Impact Tool_v0.1.xlsx
- Kuyucak 25.6 MW Wind Farm Project Monitoring Report_v03_wotc.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Kuyucak WPP_2022_final.pdf
- GS576_MR_Kuyucak WPP_clean_18062025.pdf
- GS_RCP REPORT_2024TQCE161_Kuyucak 25.6 MW WPP_Rev 3.0Aa_10092024.pdf
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_Kuyucak Wind_v0.3_26-09-2022.xlsx
- Kuyucak SDG Impact Tool_v0.3.xlsx
- Final_Val Report_Kuyucak Wind_Seda Atabek_v02_07-10-2022.pdf
- Baseline Study_Kuyucak_v0.4(1).xlsx
- ER calculations_Kuyucak WPP_v0.3.xlsx
- Final_ER calculations_Kuyucak Wind_v0.3_26-09-2022.xlsx
- PDD 23.09.2010_v9.pdf
- PDD 13.09.2010_v8.pdf
- Kuyucak 25.6 Wind Farm Project_PDD_v04_wotc.pdf
- Final_PDD_Kuyucak Wind_v0.4_26-09-2022.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1009
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1009
- GS Validation Report 20.10.2010.pdf
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.01.2012 to 31.12.2012_v2.1.pdf
- GS Validation Report 06.05.2009.pdf
- Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.01.2013 to 31.03.2014_v1.1.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_11.11.2010 to 31.12.2011_v3.pdf
- GS576_FVR_Kuyucak WPP_clean_public 06 08 2025.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9DCBD2F7 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy