

## QUALITY REPORT

# Campion II Mini Hydro Power project

GS-1036 · GS · Sri Lanka

Report ID: CM-5EBCEA9B · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

# 5.5

Overall Score  
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	6.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

This is a small hydro project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events, which supports the core project case. However, leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and several key quantitative fields are missing, which limits confidence in the claimed climate benefit.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1036
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Sri Lanka
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D. Grid Connected renewable electricity generation Version 18
Crediting Period	2017-05-12 — null
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2017 — 2019
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	7 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

## Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the monitoring report, despite the PDD previously treating it as negligible.
- No verified emission reduction totals, leakage deduction, or buffer pool information were found in the extracted record.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity.
- Leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, and no leakage deduction or buffer pool percentage was found.

The monitoring report confirms additionality through an investment test and states that no reversal events were reported, which supports the project's core environmental case. At the same time, leakage is explicitly not addressed in the monitoring report, and no leakage deduction or buffer pool percentage was found, so permanence and leakage controls are only moderately supported. The baseline is described as project-based, but the record does not show a reassessment date, which weakens robustness.

### Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + The monitoring report is recent enough to cover the 2017-05-12 to 2019-02-28 period and names the VVB, Carbon Check (India) Private Limited.
- Key MRV quantities such as verified emission reductions, claimed emission reductions, grid factors, and usage monitoring details were not found in the extracted record.

The monitoring report identifies the VVB, Carbon Check (India) Private Limited, and covers a defined monitoring period from 2017-05-12 to 2019-02-28. However, the extracted record does not include verified or claimed emission totals, grid emission factors, or usage monitoring details, so the public MRV trail is incomplete. The extraction confidence is high, but the underlying documentation still lacks several key quantitative disclosures.

### Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

- + The project is in Gold Standard and the record shows no reported reversal events, which helps reduce some claim risk.
- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not stated, and the contradiction on leakage treatment weakens confidence in over-crediting risk controls.

Claim safety is moderate because the project is in the Gold Standard registry and no reversal events were reported, but the record does not provide verified emission totals to test for over-crediting. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, while CCP status is not stated, so dual-market claim risk cannot be fully ruled out from the extracted facts. The contradiction on leakage is important: I privileged the monitoring report's later statement that leakage is not addressed over the earlier PDD's claim that it is negligible, because the monitoring report is more recent and should reflect the latest verified status.

### Documentation — 6.0 / 10

- + Multiple document types were used, including the PDD and monitoring report, and extraction confidence is high.
- Several important fields remain missing or unspecified, including the crediting end date, verified emission totals, and baseline reassessment timing.

Documentation quality is fair because multiple source types were used, including the PDD and monitoring report, and the extraction confidence is high. Still, several important items are missing or not stated in available documents, including verified emission totals, buffer pool coverage, and the crediting period end date. The contradiction on benefit sharing was resolved in favor of the PDD because it is the more specific project design document, but that issue is not central to the scoring dimensions.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversals reported, but buffer not stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage not addressed in monitoring report
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline with reassessment timing missing
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the verified emission reduction totals, leakage treatment, and any buffer pool or reversal protection details in the monitoring documentation.

→ Disclose CCP status, baseline reassessment timing, and a complete MRV package including usage monitoring and grid factor inputs.

## Documents Reviewed

- 20210616\_Updated Bogawanthalawa 1st monitoring report v4\_Clean\_ClimateSI.pdf
- GS Passport\_30.05.18\_v2.1.pdf
- 20210317\_Campion Hydro\_GS\_Updated Transition Annex\_v3\_ClimateSI\_clean.docx
- PDD\_04.01.19\_v2.3.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1036
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1036
- CCIPL 560 FVR\_revised\_Clean\_16062021.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5EBCEA9B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)