

QUALITY REPORT

GS1247 VPA 123 Zoba Anseba Community Safe Water

GS-1040 · GS · Eritrea

Report ID: CM-20D19990 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

3.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	3.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has a verification report from SustainCERT and no material findings were reported, but key quality elements are missing from the extracted record. Additionality, baseline method, leakage treatment, and permanence safeguards are not clearly documented, which keeps confidence low despite the absence of explicit problems.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1040
Sector	industrial
Country	Eritrea
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption Version 1 Version 1
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2021
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	6 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Additionality is not confirmed in the available verification report, and no test type is stated.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no deduction or justification found.
- Permanence controls are unclear because buffer pool coverage and reversal handling are not stated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 3.2 / 10

- + The verification report by SustainCERT reports no material findings, which is a positive sign for implementation quality.
- Additionality is not evidenced in the extracted documents, and the baseline method is not stated.

The verification report from SustainCERT reports no material findings and no corrective actions required, which supports basic implementation integrity. However, the extracted record does not state the additionality test, baseline method, buffer pool percentage, or any leakage deduction, so the core carbon-accounting case is incomplete. Reversal events are also marked as not addressed, which weakens permanence confidence.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB and a defined monitoring period from 2020-12-01 to 2021-11-30.
- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not available in the extracted record, and the leakage treatment is not addressed.

Transparency is limited by missing quantitative disclosure. The monitoring period is stated and the VVB is named, but the record does not provide total emission reductions claimed or verified, nor does it state the baseline reassessment timing. The usage monitoring method is described as an annual survey, but usage rates themselves are not verified in the extracted facts.

Claim Safety — 3.0 / 10

- + The methodology is identified as a Gold Standard approach for displacing decentralized thermal energy consumption.
- No baseline method, leakage justification, or usage-rate verification is stated, which raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weak because several key safeguards against over-crediting are absent from the extracted record. The baseline method is not stated, leakage is explicitly not addressed, and both assumed and verified usage rates are missing. Without those elements, it is difficult to judge whether the credited reductions are conservative.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

- + The extraction confidence is high and the verification report is identified as the source document.
- Only one evidence document is listed, and several core fields such as crediting period and additionality evidence are missing.

Documentation quality is moderate but incomplete. The extraction confidence is high and the verification report is identified, yet only one evidence document is listed and several important fields are not found in the available documents. The crediting period is also missing, which makes it harder to assess recency and coverage.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	No additionality evidence found
● Permanence	Reversal handling not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Baseline method not stated
● Safeguards	Partial safeguards documented
● Double-claim	Eligibility status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full verification and monitoring evidence for additionality, baseline setting, leakage treatment, and any buffer or reversal provisions.

→ Disclose verified emission reductions, usage-rate evidence, and the project crediting period in a complete public documentation package.

Documents Reviewed

- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_Anseba-BHs Annual-Report 2022(1).pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1040
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1040
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5951-55-MP5-GS6041-42-MP3-POA-GS1247(3).pdf
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.12.2018 to 30.11.2019_v1.pdf
- GS1247_GS5951-GS6042_ver2_4 - Verification Report_Version02_FINAL.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-20D19990 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy