

QUALITY REPORT

GS1247 VPA 125 Zoba Anseba Community Safe Water

GS-1042 · GS · Eritrea

Report ID: CM-BB748E83 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

7.2 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	7.4
	■ Transparency (25%)	6.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	7.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	7.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably well-documented Gold Standard safe water project with VVB-confirmed additionality, quantified leakage treatment, and no material findings in the verification report. The main weaknesses are that reversal risk is not addressed, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and some registry/claim fields are not fully stated in the extracted record.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1042
Sector	industrial
Country	Eritrea
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC Version 1 1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	SustainCERT
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2022
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool information was found.
- The project uses a project baseline and the extracted record does not show a recent baseline reassessment.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 7.4 / 10

+ The verification report from SustainCERT confirms additionality, and the report records no material findings or corrective actions.

- Reversal risk is not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage was found in the available documents.

The verification report from SustainCERT confirms additionality, and the project reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports a solid integrity score. Leakage is quantified at 0%, and the usage rate was verified at 95% against a 90% assumption, which is favorable. However, reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool information was found, so permanence is weaker than ideal.

Transparency — 6.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the verification report identifies the VVB and the monitoring approach using annual surveys.

~ The extracted record does not include claimed or verified ERR totals, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated.

The documentation identifies the Gold Standard registry, the monitoring period from 2021-12-01 to 2022-11-30, and the VVB, which helps transparency. The monitoring method is described as annual surveys, but the extracted record does not provide claimed or verified ERR totals, limiting public accounting clarity. CORSIA eligibility is not stated in the available documents, so claim visibility is incomplete.

Claim Safety — 7.0 / 10

+ Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, and the usage rate was verified at 95% versus a 90% assumption.

- The baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, which leaves more room for over-crediting risk than a jurisdictional baseline would.

Claim safety is supported by VVB-confirmed additionality, quantified leakage treatment, and a verified usage rate that is higher than the assumed rate. The project uses a project baseline, and the extracted record does not show a recent baseline reassessment, which leaves some over-crediting risk. The national default FNRB value is 0.97, but the record does not provide a comparison against an alternative benchmark or a detailed justification for why the chosen baseline is conservative enough.

Documentation — 7.8 / 10

+ The record draws on four evidence documents, including the PDD, monitoring report, and verification report, with high extraction confidence.

+ The crediting period and monitoring period are both stated, and the verification report is dated 2023-07-28.

Documentation quality is fairly strong because the record draws on four evidence documents and the extraction confidence is high. The crediting period and monitoring period are both available, and the verification report is dated 2023-07-28, which is reasonably recent relative to the monitoring period. Some claim-related fields remain unstated in the extracted record, including CORSIA eligibility and CCP status, which prevents a perfect documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Leakage quantified at 0%
● Baseline	Project baseline without reassessment date
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not fully stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide explicit reversal-risk treatment, including buffer pool coverage or a clear justification that reversals are not relevant.

→ Disclose claimed and verified emission reductions, plus a clearer baseline reassessment history and registry/claim status.

Documents Reviewed

- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP6-MP4_v5_CLEAN(9).pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.12.2018 to 30.11.2019_v2.pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_Anseba-BHs Annual-Report 2023 (1)(3).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_Anseba-BHs Annual-Report 2022(3).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP5-MP3_v2_CLEAN(7).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP4-MP2_v4_CLEAN(1).pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_31.10.2017 to 30.11.2018_v4.pdf
- PDD_06.03.2018_v2.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1042
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1042
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5951-55-MP5-GS6041-42-MP3-POA-GS1247(5).pdf
- GS1247_FVR Clean_Improved Kitchen Regimes_07 10 2024(10).pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_31.10.2017 to 30.11.2018.pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP5-MP3_v2_CLEAN(2).pdf
- GS1247_GS5951-GS6042_ver2_4 - Verification Report_Version02_FINAL.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.12.2018 to 30.11.2019.pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5951-55-MP5-GS6041-42-MP3-POA-GS1247.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-BB748E83 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy