

QUALITY REPORT

GS1247 VPA 130 Zoba Anseba Community Safe Water

GS-1054 · GS · Eritrea

Report ID: CM-2E2E0289 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

6.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	6.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some solid quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, FPIC and grievance mechanisms, and no material findings in the available verification record. However, key documentation gaps remain around the verifier identity, buffer pool treatment, baseline reassessment, and reversal handling, which limit confidence in permanence and overall documentation quality.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1054
Sector	industrial
Country	Eritrea
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption Version 1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	12 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record, and no buffer pool percentage is stated.
- The verifier name, total verified emissions reductions, and baseline reassessment timing are not found in the available documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, which supports the project's core crediting case.
- Reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool allocation is stated in the extracted documents.

The verification record supports additionality because it says the VVB confirmed the additionality test, and there were no material findings or corrective actions required. On the weaker side, reversal risk is not addressed, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and the baseline appears to be project-based with no reassessment date found, which reduces confidence in permanence and baseline robustness.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

- + The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the record includes a defined usage monitoring approach based on annual surveys.
- The verifier name and the claimed versus verified emission reduction totals are not found in the extracted record, limiting auditability.

The monitoring period is clearly identified as 2022-12-01 to 2023-11-30, and the project uses annual surveys to monitor usage. Transparency is weakened because the verifier name is not found, and the extracted record does not provide total claimed or verified emission reductions, making independent cross-checking harder.

Claim Safety — 6.1 / 10

- + Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, and the record says the leakage treatment is quantified.
- ~ The baseline is project-based rather than clearly standardized or recently reassessed, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated.

Claim safety is helped by the quantified leakage treatment, which shows a 0% leakage deduction with a stated justification. However, the baseline method is only described as project-based, the usage rate assumption of 90% differs from the verified 95%, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated, so over-crediting and market-claim risk remain moderate.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + The record includes two source documents, a dated monitoring entry, and no corrective actions were required.
- Extraction confidence is only medium, and several key fields such as the verifier name, buffer pool, and baseline reassessment are missing.

Documentation quality is mixed: the record includes two documents, a dated monitoring period, and no corrective actions, which is positive. But extraction confidence is only medium, and several important items are missing, including the verifier name, buffer pool percentage, and baseline reassessment timing, so the documentary trail is incomplete.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Quantified 0% leakage deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide the verifier name, full verification statement, and the claimed versus verified emission reduction totals for the monitoring period.
- Disclose buffer pool treatment, reversal provisions, and the date and outcome of the most recent baseline reassessment.

Documents Reviewed

- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_Anseba-BHs Annual-Report 2022(5).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP6-MP4_v5_CLEAN(12).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP5-MP3_v2_CLEAN(10).pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_Anseba-BHs Annual-Report 2023 (1)(6).pdf
- GS6042 VPA-DD_03.06.2018_v2.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1054
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1054
- GS1247_FVR Clean_Improved Kitchen Regimes_07 10 2024(13).pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5951-55-MP5-GS6041-42-MP3-POA-GS1247(1).pdf
- (1)GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP3-MP1_v6_CLEAN.pdf
- GS5951-55_GS6041-42_MR_MP5-MP3_v2_CLEAN(3).pdf
- Final-Verification-Report-GS5951-55-MP5-GS6041-42-MP3-POA-GS1247(8).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-2E2E0289 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy