

QUALITY REPORT

CAMLICA II HEPP

GS-108 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-495D7768 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.8 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.4
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and documented FPIC and grievance mechanisms. However, key risk controls are weak or unclear: leakage is not consistently justified across documents, reversal treatment is not clearly addressed, and the record contains several contradictions that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-108
Sector	water
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 13.0
Crediting Period	2015-01-01 — null
VVB	Re Carbon Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	20 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Leakage is described as not addressed in the verification report, while a later monitoring report says it is negligible.
- The crediting period and additionality approach differ across documents, and the record shows many corrective and clarification requests during verification.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the validation report, which supports the project case.
- Leakage treatment is inconsistent: the verification report says it was not addressed, while the monitoring report later calls it negligible; reversal risk is also not clearly addressed.

The validation report confirms additionality through an investment test, which is a meaningful positive. On the other hand, the verification report says leakage was not addressed, while the monitoring report later describes it as negligible, so I privilege the more recent monitoring statement for operational practice but still discount the score because the inconsistency weakens reliability. Reversal risk is also not clearly treated, with no buffer pool information found and reversal events not clearly addressed in the extracted record.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined 2023 monitoring period, and Gold Standard registry identification.
- Verified and claimed emission reduction totals are not stated in the extracted record, and the documentation shows a large number of corrective and clarification requests.

The project has a named verifier, Re Carbon Ltd., and a clear 2023 monitoring period, which supports basic traceability. However, the extracted record does not state the verified or claimed emission reduction totals, and the number of corrective and clarification requests in the verification report suggests the MRV package required substantial cleanup. The documentation is therefore usable but not especially transparent.

Claim Safety — 4.4 / 10

- + The baseline was reassessed in 2022, which is better than an unrevised legacy baseline.
- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is not mentioned and leakage justification is inconsistent, increasing over-crediting and dual-claim risk.

The baseline was last reassessed in 2022, which is a positive sign, but the baseline method remains project-based rather than a more standardized approach. Claim safety is weakened by the leakage inconsistency and by the fact that the project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned, leaving some dual-claim uncertainty. I also note that the verification report predates the monitoring period, so I rely more on the later monitoring report for current operational details, but not enough to offset the overall risk.

Documentation — 5.1 / 10

- + Multiple official documents were available, including the PDD, validation report, verification report, and monitoring report.
- One extracted source is poorly identified as an unknown document, and the record contains contradictions on leakage, benefit sharing, additionality framing, and the crediting period.

The record draws on several official documents, including the PDD, validation report, verification report, and monitoring report, and extraction confidence is high. Still, the file set includes an unknown document and multiple contradictions, especially on leakage, benefit sharing, additionality framing, and the crediting period. The large number of corrective and clarification requests in verification also indicates that the documentation was not clean or fully settled at first pass.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	reversal treatment unclear
● Leakage	inconsistent leakage treatment
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a consistent, document-backed explanation for leakage and reversal treatment across the verification and monitoring records.

→ Provide the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, plus a clear statement on CCP status and any dual-claim restrictions.

Documents Reviewed

- CAMLICA-II-HEPP-T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report-22.02.2023-v05-cc.pdf
- GS1173-T-PerfCert_V3.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CAMLICA II HEPP_v.2_26.12.2025.pdf
- GS1173-T-PerfCert_V3.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CAMLICA II HEPP_30.12.2024_signed.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-03-2017 to 31-05-2019_v07.pdf
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form Camlica 1173 - MP_SC_FINAL.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.01.2015 to 28.02.2017_v6.pdf
- CAMLICA II HEPP_T PerfCrt_V.1.1_Monitoring Report_06.11.2024_CC.pdf
- GS1173-T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CAMLICA II HEPP_25.12.2023.pdf
- GS1173-T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-CAMLICA II HEPP.pdf
- Other Document 14 (Transition-Annex_Camlica HEPP_03022020_R3_Track change_GTE.docx).docx
- CAMLICA-II-HEPP-T-PreReview_V1.2-PDD_v15_04.01.2023-cc.pdf
- PDD 08.07.2015_v9.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-108
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-108
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-03-2017 to 31-05-2019_v06.pdf
- CCIPL 1009_FVR.clean.pdf
- CCIPL 1009 FVR_Clean(2).pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01.01.2015 to 28.02.2017_v2.pdf
- GS1173 Validation Report_16.04.2015_v1.4Aa.pdf
- FVR_GS_VER_REP Camlica II HEPP_24TQC10_Rev 2.0Aa_11112024.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-495D7768 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy