

QUALITY REPORT

200 MW Wind Power Project in Tamil Nadu by Orange Sironj

GS-1096 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-10BDC864 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.4 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	5.0
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.4
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a renewable wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversals, which supports the core integrity case. However, several key items are missing or weakly documented, including leakage treatment, usage monitoring, and buffer pool information, and the extracted record contains multiple contradictions that reduce confidence.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1096
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 18.1
Crediting Period	2024 — 2029
VVB	Applus+ Certification
Verified ERs	650,601 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	23 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted record, despite an earlier document saying it was deemed negligible.
- The record contains contradictions on benefit sharing, FPIC, reversal treatment, and the crediting period, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

- + The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test, and the VVB is named as Applus+ Certification.
- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the monitoring record, and buffer pool coverage is not stated.

The project has a solid starting point because the verification report confirms additionality through an investment test, and the monitoring record shows no reported reversal events. Still, integrity is weakened by the absence of a stated buffer pool percentage, no leakage deduction, and leakage justification marked as not addressed in the extracted record. The project is a wind power activity under ACM0002, which is generally favorable, but the evidence is incomplete on permanence-related safeguards.

Transparency — 5.0 / 10

- + The monitoring period and verified emission reductions are stated in the 2024 monitoring report, with 650,601 verified credits.
- Public-facing completeness is weakened by missing usage monitoring details, no claimed-versus-verified comparison, and low extraction confidence.

Transparency is only moderate because the monitoring period is clearly stated and the verified emissions figure is available, but the claimed emissions figure is not found in the extracted record. Usage monitoring is not described, and no FNRB or grid-factor details are available in the extracted facts. The low extraction confidence also suggests at least one key source was difficult to read, reducing documentation clarity.

Claim Safety — 5.4 / 10

- + The project is a wind power activity under ACM0002 with a recently reassessed baseline in 2024, which is generally lower-risk than many project types.
- CORSIA eligibility is true while CCP status is not stated, and leakage justification is inconsistent across documents.

Claim safety is mixed. A wind project under ACM0002 with a 2024 baseline reassessment is generally less exposed to over-crediting than older or project-specific baselines, but the record does not provide the grid emission factor, usage monitoring, or leakage deduction needed to fully test the claim. CORSIA eligibility is true, while CCP status is not stated, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record alone.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + The record draws on many documents, and the monitoring report is dated 2024-12-12, which is reasonably recent.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the file contains several unresolved contradictions plus multiple CARs and CLs from verification.

Documentation quality is limited by the low extraction confidence and the presence of many verification findings, including multiple CARs and CLs. The monitoring report is recent, but the extracted record does not provide a complete set of supporting details such as buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, or a clear claimed-versus-verified comparison. The contradictions also indicate that the document set is not fully internally consistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversals reported, buffer not stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed consistently
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment timing known
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present, but inconsistencies re
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment, including whether any deduction applies and why.

→ Provide a complete public package with buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, and a reconciled explanation of all document contradictions.

Documents Reviewed

- ER sheet_GS 6290.xlsx
- Monitoring-Report 200 MW Version3_final.doc
- ER sheet _Orange Sironj_final.xlsx
- GS6290 Orange Sironj Annual-Report_22_12_2022.pdf
- Monitoring Report_monitoring period_07-03-2019 to 31-12-2019_v2.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report GS6290_Clean.pdf
- Project-Annual-Report_GS6290 for 2024.pdf
- Monitoring Report_monitoring period_07-03-2019 to 30-09-2019_v2.pdf
- Monitoring-Report_Sironj 200 MW_GS 6290_v4.0_CL.pdf
- ER sheet_RCP_GS-6290_V3.0_Clean.xlsx
- 6290_SDG-Impact-tool(1).xlsx
- GS-6290_PDD_V5.0_Clean.pdf
- GS PDD ver 05- Clean.pdf
- PDD_01.29.2018_v1.pdf
- PDD_04.25.2018_v2.docx
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1096
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1096
- FVeR_GS 6290.pdf
- VKU.F26W.Validation Report_VKU.VAL.07.23.GS_6290_V3.1_Clean.pdf
- FVR-5617-GS VER-CLEAN.pdf
- FVR verification_GS 6290_TQC 7122_final.docx
- GS4GG_Verification_report_CLEAN.pdf
- FVeR_GS 6290 TQC 9023_v3.0_CL.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-10BDC864 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy