

QUALITY REPORT

AY-YILDIZ 15 MW WPP

GS-1100 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-885D9167 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.2
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.4

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage treatment, and no reversal events reported in the latest validation record. However, the file contains several contradictions across documents, a low extraction-confidence warning, and multiple corrective actions, which reduce confidence in the robustness of the claim set.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1100
Sector	water
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 22.0
Crediting Period	2024 — 2031
VVB	Carbon Check India (Private) Limited.
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Several key items conflict across documents, including the additionality test type, leakage treatment, safeguards, reversal status, and crediting period.
- The verification record shows many corrective actions and clarifications, while public-facing evidence is thin and extraction confidence is low.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.1 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses the ACM0002 methodology with a project baseline.
- The record contains contradictions on additionality, leakage, reversal status, and crediting period, and the latest validation report notes many corrective actions and clarifications.

The latest validation report confirms additionality through an investment test and shows a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, which supports the project's core accounting. At the same time, the record flags many corrective actions and clarifications, and the contradictions indicate that earlier documents described a different additionality test, different leakage treatment, and even a reported reversal status. I privileged the most recent validation report for the main values because it is the latest document, but the conflicting history still weakens confidence in the integrity assessment.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated for 2024, and the project has a named VVB and registry information.
- Total verified versus claimed emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the usage monitoring method is not found.

The project has a named VVB, a stated monitoring period for 2024, and a recent validation date, which helps traceability. But the extracted record does not state total claimed or verified emission reductions, and the usage monitoring method is not found. The low extraction-confidence flag also suggests at least one key document was difficult to read, which limits transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

- + Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional, and contradictions around leakage and additionality increase over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is helped by the quantified leakage deduction and the absence of reversal events in the latest validation report. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than a more conservative standardized or jurisdictional approach, and the contradictions on leakage and additionality create over-crediting risk. The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, which does not by itself reduce risk and keeps the claim channel open.

Documentation — 3.4 / 10

- + The file includes a substantial set of extracted documents and a recent validation report dated 2025-04-17.
- Extraction confidence is low, the evidence-doc list is not informative, and the verification process involved many CARs and CLs before closure.

Documentation is only moderate because the record includes many extracted facts and a recent validation report, but the evidence list is not informative and the extraction confidence is low. The verification process also generated numerous CARs and CLs, even though they were closed before issuance. The crediting period appears to have been updated in the latest validation report, but the contradictory earlier period shows the file history is not clean.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed but contradictory history
● Permanence	No reversals reported, buffer not stated
● Leakage	0% deduction with conflicting prior treatment
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clean, reconciled document pack that resolves the contradictions on additionality, leakage, reversal status, safeguards, and crediting period.
- Publish the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, the usage monitoring method, and the full VVB evidence trail for the latest monitoring period.

Documents Reviewed

- GS634_Project Annual Report Form(1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01-09-2013 to 31-08-2016.pdf
- GS 634-ER_V05.xlsx
- Annual Report _2023.pdf
- GS634-MR_V05(1).docx
- Annual Report.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-10-2010 to 29-02-2012_v3.pdf
- Monitoring Report_6th monitoring period_09.05.2009 to 09.30.2010_v2.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01-03-2012 to 31-08-2013_v05.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01-03-2012 to 31-08-2013_v06.pdf
- GS634_Project Annual Report Form.pdf
- GS 634 -TA_final_clean.pdf
- Ay-Yildiz_SDG Impact Tool_v3.xlsx
- GS_Passport_05.31.2010.pdf
- ER_Ay-YildizWPP_v2.xlsx
- GS_Passport_05.10.2018.pdf
- PDD_11-10-2017.pdf
- PDD_30-05-2018.pdf
- PDD_05.31.2010_v5.1.pdf
- PDD_04-01-2018.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1100
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1100
- Verification Report_monitoring period_01-03-2012 to 31-08-2013_v03.pdf
- GS.VER.22.27_FVR (GS634)_Clean.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_monitoring period_01-03-2012 to 31-08-2013_v02.pdf
- CCIPL 2443_GS634_RCP_FVR-CC.pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_11.04.2010 to 11.11.2010_v2.pdf
- Ay-Yildiz 15 MW_Revalidation_v4_CL.docx

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-885D9167 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy