QUALITY REPORT

Gunaydin WPP

GS-111 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-9E94B3FB · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

Overall Score	Category	Score
4.7 Overall Score out of 10	**Integrity (35%)**	5.2
	Transparency (25%)	4.6
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	Documentation (15%)	3.7

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This wind project has some positive signs, including VVB-confirmed additionality, no reported reversals, and a leakage deduction of 0%. However, the record shows many corrective actions and clarifications, low extraction confidence, and a major inconsistency in the credited period and verified emissions figures, which weakens confidence in the claims. Overall, the project looks plausible but not especially robust from a documentation and claim-safety perspective.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-111
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 version 20.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2026
VVB	Re Carbon Ltd.
Verified ERs	66,623 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	31 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

■ The credited period is inconsistent across documents, with the newer monitoring report showing 2019-12-01 to 2026-11-30 while an older record shows 2012-12-01 to 2019-11-30.

■ The verified emissions reduction figure also conflicts across documents, with 66,623 tCO_2e in the newer report versus 59,792 tCO_2e in the older record.

■ The verification record contains many corrective actions and clarifications, including issues with meter data, monitoring tables, and supporting evidence.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the verification record.

- The monitoring and verification history includes numerous corrective actions and clarifications, and the record shows a disputed credited period and emissions figure, which reduces confidence in the underlying accounting.

The project has a positive additionality signal because the monitoring record says an investment test was used and that additionality was confirmed by the VVB, Re Carbon Ltd. The baseline is project-based and the report states a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage deemed negligible, which is reasonable for wind. However, the verification history includes many corrective actions and clarifications, and the record shows a reversal-related stakeholder concern about grazing land, even though no actual reversal events are reported.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring report dated 2025-06-12 provides a recent verification trail, named VVB, and a quantified verified emissions result of 66,623 tCO2e.

- Extraction confidence is low and the evidence list is sparse, while several monitoring items were flagged as needing clarification or better supporting documents.

The monitoring report is recent and gives a quantified verified emissions reduction of 66,623 tCO2e for 2022-08-01 to 2024-10-15, which helps transparency. At the same time, low extraction confidence and the large number of unresolved or repeatedly corrected monitoring items weaken the clarity of the public record. Several monitoring elements were not fully documented in the extracted facts, including usage monitoring details and FNRB-related information.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

+ Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, which is favorable for a wind project.

- The project is not shown to be CORSIA-ineligible, and CCP status is only noted as not mentioned, so dual-claim risk is not fully excluded.

Claim safety is helped by the wind project type, the negligible leakage treatment, and the absence of reported reversals. Still, the record does not clearly state CORSIA eligibility, and CCP status is only noted as not mentioned, so the project cannot be treated as clearly excluded from dual-claim channels. The contradiction in verified emissions figures also raises over-crediting risk because the newer report's 66,623 tCO2e differs from the older 59,792 tCO2e figure.

Documentation — 3.7 / 10

+ The file set includes a recent monitoring report, a named VVB, and a large number of extracted facts from official documents.

- The extraction confidence is low, the evidence documents are not clearly enumerated, and the record contains many unresolved or repeatedly corrected monitoring issues.

Documentation quality is mixed: there is a recent monitoring report, a named verifier, and many extracted findings, but the extraction confidence is low. The report also contains a long list of corrective actions and clarifications, including meter calibration, supporting evidence, and monitoring-table issues. The crediting period is recent in the newer record, but the contradictory older period indicates that the documentation trail is not fully clean.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No reversals reported
Leakage	0% leakage deduction
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
Double-claim	CCP/CORSIA status not clearly stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clean, reconciled emissions and crediting-period history that explains the difference between the older and newer figures.

→ Provide complete supporting evidence for monitoring parameters, including meter calibration, usage monitoring, and any omitted annexes or calculations.

Documents Reviewed

- Final_Ver Report_919 Gunaydin Wind_An■l Söyler_v02_15-03-2023.pdf
- Annual-Report-Form-2022_Gunaydin.pdf
- T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report-Gunaydin WPP-2024.pdf
- (2)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.12.2012 to 30.04.2014_v3.pdf
- (2)Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01.08.2016 to 30.06.2018_v2.pdf
- Monitoring Report_5th monitoring period_01.07.2018 to 30.11.2019_v3.pdf
- Final_Monitoring Report_1278 Günayd■n wind_v7-12-06-2025 clean.pdf
- Annual Report_2021.pdf
- Annual Report_2020.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_919 Gunayd■n wind_v03_10-03-2023.xlsx
- (1)Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.08.2015 to 31.07.2016_v5.pdf
- Final_MR_919 Gunayd■n wind_v03_10-03-2023.docx
- (2)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.05.2014 to 31.07.2015_v5.pdf
- Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01.08.2016 to 30.06.2018_v1.pdf
- T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_Günayd■n WPP..pdf
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_1278 Gunaydin Wind_v03_03-06-2025.xlsx
- GS Passport 02.06.2014_v6.pdf
- Gunaydin 1178 SDG-Impact-tool_new.xlsx
- Final_Ver Report_1278 Gunaydin Wind_Beyda ALTUNTA■_v05_12-06-2025 clean.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_1278 Gunaydin Wind_v05_12-06-2025.xlsx
- (1)PDD 02.06.2014_v9.pdf
- GS1178_PDD Clean_Günayd■n_21 02 2025.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-111
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-111
- Verification Report_5th monitoring period_01.07.2018 to 30.11.2019_v1.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.08.2015 to 31.07.2016_v1.3Aa.pdf
- GS1178 Validation Report_15.04.2013_v1.1.pdf
- (2)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.05.2014 to 31.07.2015_v1.4Aa.pdf
- GS1178_FVR Clean_Günayd■n_21 02 2025.pdf
- (2)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01.12.2012 to 30.04.2014_v1.2Aa.pdf

---

Report ID: CM-9E94B3FB · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy