

QUALITY REPORT

GS1247 VPA 143 Manicaland Safe Water

GS-1125 · GS · Zimbabwe

Report ID: CM-ADD2106F · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive safeguards and the VVB confirmed additionality, but key integrity elements are weakly documented or not addressed, especially leakage and reversal treatment. The record also contains several contradictions across documents, and the extraction confidence is low, which reduces trust in the reported claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1125
Sector	industrial
Country	Zimbabwe
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC 4.0
Crediting Period	2025 — 2030
VVB	Earthood Services Limited (Formerly known as Earthood Services Private Limited)
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	28 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest validation record, despite an earlier document describing it as negligible.
- The monitoring and crediting-period records conflict across documents, and the extracted record has low extraction confidence.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by Earthood Services Limited in the validation report, which is a meaningful positive signal.
- Leakage is not addressed in the latest validation record, and reversal treatment is also not addressed; the project uses a project baseline rather than a more robust standardized baseline.

The validation report from Earthood Services Limited confirms additionality, which supports the project's core environmental case. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, leakage is not addressed in the latest record, and reversal events are also not addressed, which weakens permanence and accounting robustness. The report notes several closed CLs and CARs, so there were issues during validation even if they were resolved.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated as 2023-01-01 to 2024-12-31, and the validation report names the VVB and methodology version.
- Total verified emissions reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the low extraction confidence suggests at least one key document was hard to read.

The monitoring period is clearly stated, and the validation report identifies the VVB and methodology version 4.0 under TPDDTEC. But the extracted record does not state total verified or claimed emission reductions, and the evidence list is sparse. The low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the public documentation is complete and easy to audit.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

- + The baseline was reassessed in 2024, and the reported leakage deduction is 0%, which at least shows an explicit treatment in the record.
- The project relies on a project-specific baseline, the FNRB value is based on a national default, and the record contains contradictions on leakage, usage monitoring, and crediting period.

Claim safety is mixed because the baseline was reassessed in 2024 and the record includes a leakage deduction of 0%, but the latest validation text says leakage is not addressed, creating uncertainty about over-crediting controls. The FNRB value is based on a national default, and the project-specific baseline plus contradictory usage and crediting-period records increase the risk of overstated claims. No clear CORSIA or CCP status is provided, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out from the extracted record.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + The record includes a validation report date, a named VVB, a monitoring period, and multiple safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism.
- The extracted evidence list is effectively incomplete, the extraction confidence is low, and several material findings were raised and closed, indicating documentation friction.

Documentation quality is limited by the low extraction confidence and the fact that the evidence_docs list is not informative beyond an unknown entry. Positively, the record includes a named VVB, a monitoring period, FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing language. Still, the presence of multiple closed findings and several contradictory fields suggests the documentation set is not fully clean or consistently reported.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	reversal treatment not addressed
● Leakage	leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessed in 2024
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Publish a clean, reconciled monitoring package with verified emission reductions, clear leakage treatment, and a single consistent crediting-period record.
- Provide explicit statements on CORSIA eligibility, CCP status, reversal monitoring, and the basis for the FNRB and usage-rate assumptions.

Documents Reviewed

- PoA GS1247 Annual-Report - signed.pdf
- Zimbabwe_MP4_ER_calcs_v5(1).xlsx
- GS6518-23_Manicaland_AR_2022_v1[Signed](1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_08.06.2019 to 31.08.2020_v6.pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_10.06.2018 to 07.06.2019_v1.pdf
- Zimbabwe_MP4_MR_v7_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.2_TRACKED_R2_Earthhood.pdf
- CO2b User Numbers Deviation-Request-Form v1_Final decision.pdf
- GS1247_IKR_Micro_PoA-DD_CP2_v16_TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v15.3 TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v11_CLEAN.pdf
- DEV_255 (1).pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v14_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v10_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.3_CLEAN.pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_v2_CLEAN.pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_COL, HAITI, GUAT v2_CLEAN.pdf
- PDD_05.24.2013_v3.pdf
- PDD_11.26.2018_v4.pdf
- KAOD SW GS1359 VPA-DD v2_CLEAN.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1125
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1125
- GS1247_PoA-DD Clean_CP2_Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025.pdf
- GS1247_Internal_Validation_PoA_13062013_final.pdf
- CCIPL1664_Zimbabwe_FVR_2610_Final(1).pdf
- 1.xlsx
- GS 1247_FVR Clean _Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025[23].pdf
- FVR_GS1247_v3.0_PoA_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-ADD2106F · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy