

QUALITY REPORT

GS1247 VPA 147 Manicaland Safe Water

GS-1129 · GS · Zimbabwe

Report ID: CM-C7D0E464 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.6 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.1
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.4
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.8
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive safeguards and the VVB confirmed additionality, but key risk areas are weakly documented or unresolved. Leakage and reversal treatment are not clearly addressed in the latest validation record, and several contradictions across documents reduce confidence in the claims. Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and missing public-facing details.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1129
Sector	industrial
Country	Zimbabwe
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC 4.0
Crediting Period	2025 — 2030
VVB	Earthood Services Limited (Formerly known as Earthood Services Private Limited)
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest validation record, despite an earlier document saying it was negligible.
- Reversal risk is not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available.
- The crediting period and several methodological inputs conflict across documents, reducing reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.1 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the validation report, which supports the project's core eligibility case.
- Leakage is not addressed in the latest validation report, and no buffer pool percentage or reversal treatment is stated.

The validation report confirms additionality through VVB review, which is a meaningful positive for project integrity. However, the latest record says leakage is not addressed, with no buffer pool percentage and no reversal treatment stated, so permanence and leakage controls remain weakly evidenced. The project also relies on a project-specific baseline, which is less robust than a recently standardized baseline approach.

Transparency — 4.4 / 10

- + The monitoring period, VVB name, and baseline reassessment year are stated in the validation report, giving some traceability.
- Total claimed and verified emission reductions are not found in the extracted record, and extraction confidence is low.

The record provides some basic MRV anchors, including the monitoring period, VVB name, usage monitoring by annual survey, and a 2024 baseline reassessment. But total claimed and verified emission reductions are not found in the extracted record, and the evidence document list is not identifiable beyond an unknown entry. Low extraction confidence further reduces transparency because at least one key source was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 4.8 / 10

- + The baseline was reassessed in 2024 and the usage rate was verified at 95%, which is better than the assumed 92.37%.
- The latest record leaves leakage and reversal issues unresolved, and the project is not clearly shown to be outside CORSIA or CCP channels.

Claim safety is mixed because the verified usage rate of 95% is close to, but slightly above, the assumed 92.37%, which suggests some conservatism on usage. At the same time, the latest validation record leaves leakage unresolved and does not clearly document reversal handling, increasing over-crediting risk. The project's CORSIA eligibility is not stated and CCP status is only noted as not mentioned, so dual-claim risk cannot be ruled out cleanly.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + Twenty-seven documents were used, and the validation report lists closed CLs and CARs rather than open major findings.
- The extraction confidence is low, the evidence documents are not identified, and the crediting-period history shows conflicting dates.

Documentation breadth is moderate, with 27 documents used and the validation report showing closed clarification and corrective items rather than open major issues. Still, the extraction confidence is low, which means at least one key document was difficult to read and lowers reliability. The crediting period also conflicts with an earlier date range in the contradictions list, showing that the record set is not fully consistent.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Latest record leaves leakage unresolved
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment noted
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not clearly stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear leakage assessment and, if applicable, the rationale for any zero deduction in the latest monitoring or validation documents.

→ Disclose reversal risk treatment, buffer pool allocation, and explicit registry status for CORSIA and CCP eligibility.

Documents Reviewed

- PoA GS1247 Annual-Report - signed.pdf
- Zimbabwe_MP4_ER_calcs_v5(1).xlsx
- GS6518-23_Manicaland_AR_2022_v1[Signed](1).pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_08.06.2019 to 31.08.2020_v6.pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_21.06.2018 to 07.06.2019_v1.pdf
- (1)Zimbabwe_MP2_ER_calcs_v5_CAPPED.xlsx
- Zimbabwe_MP4_MR_v7_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.2_TRACKED_R2_Earthhood.pdf
- CO2b User Numbers Deviation-Request-Form v1_Final decision.pdf
- GS1247_IKR_Micro_PoA-DD_CP2_v16_TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v15.3 TRACKED.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v11_CLEAN.pdf
- DEV_255 (1).pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v14_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v10_CLEAN.pdf
- GS1247 IKR Micro PoA-DD CP2 v17.3_CLEAN.pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_v2_CLEAN.pdf
- T-v1.1-Design-Change-Memo_GS1247_COL, HAITI, GUAT v2_CLEAN.pdf
- PDD_05.24.2013_v3.pdf
- PDD_11.26.2018_v4.pdf
- KAOD SW GS1359 VPA-DD v2 CLEAN.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1129
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1129
- GS1247_PoA-DD Clean_CP2_Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025.pdf
- GS1247_Internal_Validation_PoA_13062013_final.pdf
- CCIPL1664_Zimbabwe_FVR_2610_Final(1).pdf
- 1.xlsx
- GS 1247_FVR Clean _Improved Kitchen_19-02-2025[23].pdf
- FVR_GS1247_v3.0_PoA_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C7D0E464 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy