

QUALITY REPORT

ARALIK HEPP

GS-1139 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-F6E46174 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.1
■ Transparency (25%)	4.4
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a recent baseline reassessment, and no material findings reported in the available PDD. However, reliability is weakened by low extraction confidence, missing verification totals, and contradictions across documents on additionality, benefit sharing, leakage treatment, and the crediting period. Overall claim safety is moderate because leakage is treated as negligible and the project is not clearly shown to have major double-claim risks, but CORSIA eligibility raises channeling concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1139
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	2021
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D. Version 18.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2017 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Low extraction confidence and only one evidence document clearly identified, which limits confidence in the record.
- Contradictions on additionality, leakage justification, and crediting period indicate inconsistent documentation across versions.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.1 / 10

+ The PDD dated 2024-07-16 says additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2024.

- Reversal risk is not addressed: reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool share is stated.

The PDD dated 2024-07-16 reports additionality confirmed by the VVB through an investment test, which is a meaningful positive signal. The baseline was last reassessed in 2024, and no material findings or corrective actions were reported in the extracted record. That said, reversal events are not addressed, no buffer pool percentage is stated, and the contradiction log shows disagreement on additionality, leakage treatment, and the crediting period, which reduces confidence in the integrity case.

Transparency — 4.4 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2017-05-01 to 2024-04-30, and the VVB is named as Earthood Services Private Limited.

- Verified and claimed emission reduction totals are not found in the extracted record, and the extraction confidence is low.

The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2017-05-01 to 2024-04-30, and the VVB is identified as Earthood Services Private Limited. However, the extracted record does not provide verified or claimed emission reduction totals, and key MRV details such as usage monitoring method and FNRB method are not found. Low extraction confidence further weakens transparency because at least one source document was poorly readable.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, which supports lower over-crediting risk.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, and the record does not provide enough public quantitative MRV detail to fully test claim robustness.

Claim safety is helped by the project's 0% leakage deduction and the statement that leakage is deemed negligible. The project uses a project baseline rather than a more standardized jurisdictional baseline, and the record does not provide enough quantitative detail on emissions accounting to fully assess over-crediting risk. CORSIA eligibility is true, which means the project may still face channeling or market-claim scrutiny even though no direct double-registration evidence is shown.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

+ The PDD is recent, dated 2024-07-16, and includes safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism.

- Only one evidence document is clearly identified, and low extraction confidence suggests at least one key source was poorly readable.

Documentation quality is mixed: the PDD is recent and includes safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. On the other hand, only one evidence document is clearly identified in the extracted record, the extraction confidence is low, and the record lacks several key quantitative fields. The contradiction history also suggests that older and newer versions do not fully align on benefit sharing, additionality test type, leakage, and the crediting period.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated; reversals not addressed
● Leakage	Leakage deemed negligible with 0% deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline with recent reassessment
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verification report and monitoring report with verified and claimed emission reduction totals, leakage calculations, and any corrective action details.

→ Provide a clear reconciliation of the conflicting documents on additionality, leakage treatment, benefit sharing, and the crediting period.

Documents Reviewed

- Aralnik HEPP Annual Report 2022.pdf
- Aralik HEPP Annual Report 2023.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01-05-10 to 30-09-13_v5.0.pdf
- Baseline_EF_calculation_2007_GTE.xls
- GS.VAL.22.23_Aralik_v2.0_Clean.pdf
- ER_Aralnik HEPP_1.xlsx
- Aralik HEPP_SDG Impact Tool_v1.xlsx
- Aralik_GS_Passport_150809.pdf
- Aralik HEPP_GS4GG PDD_v5_clean.pdf
- PDD_13-08-2019.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1139
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1139
- GS Validation report_CCP Vol0673_Aralik_II final.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01-05-10 to 30-09-13_v1.0.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-F6E46174 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy