

QUALITY REPORT

GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-13 - tiipaalga F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo

GS-1143 · GS · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-C050040C · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some strong elements, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction, and documented safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, the record shows multiple material inconsistencies, low extraction confidence, and unresolved questions around usage monitoring, crediting-period history, and the treatment of some verification findings, which weakens confidence in the claimed emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1143
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves Version 01, February 2013
Crediting Period	2022 — 2027
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Verified ERs	169,085 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	17 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification report lists several material findings and corrective actions, including inconsistencies in dates, GWP application, and duplicated GPS locations, which raises reliability concerns.
- The extracted record shows contradictions on leakage treatment, usage monitoring method, total verified emission reductions, and crediting period, indicating the evidence base is not fully stable.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses a combined additionality test under the Gold Standard cookstove methodology.

- The verification report records multiple CARs and CLs, including duplicated GPS locations and unresolved crediting-period/date issues, which weakens confidence in baseline and monitoring integrity.

The project's integrity is supported by VVB-confirmed additionality and a combined additionality test, plus a quantified leakage deduction of 0.95%. At the same time, the verification report includes several CARs and CLs, including inconsistent design-certification dates, duplicated GPS locations, and crediting-period/date issues, so the underlying MRV quality is only moderate.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The monitoring period is clearly stated for 2022, and the report identifies the VVB as Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.

- Low extraction confidence, a single evidence document in the extracted record, and contradictions in verified emissions and usage monitoring reduce transparency.

The monitoring period for 2022 is clearly stated, and the VVB is identified as Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. However, the extracted record has low extraction confidence and only one evidence document listed, while contradictions appear on verified emissions, leakage treatment, and usage monitoring method, which limits transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

+ Leakage is presented as quantified, and the project uses a recent baseline reassessment in 2022.

- Usage monitoring relies on an annual survey, but the record also shows a contradiction with earlier self-reporting and a large gap between assumed and verified stove usage.

Claim safety is weakened by the gap between the assumed stove usage rate of 0.95 and the verified rate of 0.699, which suggests meaningful over-crediting risk if not fully explained. The project's baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and the record does not show CORSIA eligibility or CCP approval, so dual-claim risk is not fully resolved but also not evidenced as a direct conflict.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

+ FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit-sharing are mentioned, and corrective actions were documented in the verification report.

- The extracted record has low extraction confidence, only one evidence document listed, and several unresolved or previously inconsistent items across versions.

Documentation quality is mixed: the record includes FPIC, a grievance mechanism, benefit-sharing, and a list of corrective actions, which is positive. But min extraction confidence is low, the evidence set is thin, and the verification report itself notes several unresolved or corrected issues, so the documentation package is incomplete in practice.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed test
● Permanence	No reversal risk addressed
● Leakage	Quantified deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing limited
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the contradictions on verified emissions, leakage treatment, usage monitoring, and crediting-period dates.

→ Publish clearer monitoring evidence for stove usage and household sampling, including how the verified usage rate was derived and how duplicate GPS records were handled.

Documents Reviewed

- GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_clean(4).pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 13 - ER_MP1_v2.0(1)(1).xlsx
- GS 1340 - VPA 13 - ER_MP5_v3.0.xlsx
- GS1340_VPA11-12-13_MR Vintage 2021_v3.0_clean(2).docx
- GS1340_VPA11-12-13_MR5_v5.0_Clean.docx
- GS 1340 - VPA 13 - ER_MP2_v2.0(1).xlsx
- GS1340 - VPA-11-12-13-Vintage 2022 - Consolidated ER calculation v3.0.xlsx
- GS6420 Local Stakeholder Consultation Report_15.10.18_v2.pdf
- GS1340_POA-DD_CP2_v8.0_clean.pdf
- PDD_01.04.19_v3.pdf
- PDD_09.12.2014_v3.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1143
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1143
- CCIPL1777_FVR_v1.3_12022024_clean(1).pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- GS1340_GS6152_GS6419_GS6420_Verification_Report_SC_Approved.pdf
- CCIPL_2221_FVR_Final_R1_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-C050040C · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy