

QUALITY REPORT

Jilin Huaneng Zhenlai Mali Wind Power Project

GS-1148 · GS · China

Report ID: CM-760D6FA0 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.7

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	6.4
■ Transparency (25%)	5.2
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.6
■ Documentation (15%)	4.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with a VVB-confirmed investment test and a project-specific baseline, which supports some integrity. However, leakage is not addressed, reversal risk is not addressed, and the record contains multiple corrective actions, so the quality is only moderate overall.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1148
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 ver.09
Crediting Period	2010 — 2017
VVB	Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH
Monitoring Period	2011 — 2012
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is not addressed in the available monitoring record.
- Multiple corrective actions were required, and the crediting period differs across documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH using an investment test.
- Leakage is not addressed, and reversal risk is also not addressed in the monitoring report.

The monitoring report dated 2013-02-17 states that additionality was confirmed by Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH through an investment test, which is a positive sign. The project also uses ACM0002 with a project baseline, but leakage is not addressed and reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record, which weakens permanence and net climate benefit confidence. Multiple corrective actions were required, indicating implementation or documentation issues that reduce integrity.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

- + The monitoring period and VVB identity are stated in the monitoring report dated 2013-02-17.
- Verified and claimed emission reductions are not available in the extracted record, limiting public MRV clarity.

The record identifies the VVB, the monitoring period from 2011-04-26 to 2012-12-31, and the methodology version, which helps traceability. However, verified and claimed emission reduction totals are not found in the extracted record, and the usage monitoring method is also not stated. The absence of these core MRV details limits transparency.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 with a project baseline, which is standard for grid-connected wind power.
- Leakage justification is listed as not addressed, and CORSIA eligibility is not stated, leaving residual over-crediting and claim-risk uncertainty.

The project is a wind project under ACM0002, which generally lowers baseline manipulation risk compared with more complex sectors. Still, leakage is explicitly not addressed, and there is no stated leakage deduction, so over-crediting risk is not fully controlled. CORSIA eligibility is not stated and CCP status is only noted as not mentioned, so claim safety remains moderate rather than strong.

Documentation — 4.8 / 10

- + Six documents were used and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- The monitoring report lists CAR 1 through CAR 4, plus a FAR, and the crediting period conflicts with an earlier document.

Six documents were used, and extraction confidence is medium, so the record is usable but not ideal. The monitoring report lists four CARs, one CL, and one FAR, showing that the VVB found several issues requiring follow-up. Documentation quality is further weakened by the contradiction in the crediting period between the later monitoring report and the earlier document; I privileged the later monitoring report dated 2013-02-17 because it is more recent and likely reflects the updated project record.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	no leakage treatment stated
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a quantified leakage assessment and, if applicable, a documented leakage deduction with justification.

→ Publish the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, and resolve the crediting-period discrepancy with a single authoritative registry record.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_07-19-10 to 31-12-12_v3.0.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_26-04-2011 to 31-12-2012_v2.0.pdf
- GS Passport_20-10-10.pdf
- PDD_11-12-09.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1148
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1148
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_19-07-10 to 25-04-11_v1.0.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_26-04-11 to 31-12-12_v5.0.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-760D6FA0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy