

QUALITY REPORT

Orb Energy Solar Project India

GS-1152 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-5B6BD392 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.2
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
■ Documentation (15%)	4.3

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a renewable electricity project with a project baseline and a combined additionality test, but the extracted record does not show VVB confirmation of additionality and the reversal treatment is not addressed. The verification report also records 3 CARs and 3 CLs, which weakens confidence in the documentation quality and claim robustness.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1152
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS IA Version 14 & AMS IC Version 19 Version 14 & Version 19
Crediting Period	2012-09-12 — null
VVB	Earthood Services Private Limited
Verified ERs	26,516 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2013 — 2014
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	8 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Additionality is described as a combined test, but VVB confirmation is not stated in the extracted record.
- The project has 3 CARs and 3 CLs in the verification report, and reversal risk is not addressed.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline and the verification report cites a combined additionality test, which is better than having no additionality evidence.

- Reversal risk is not addressed, buffer pool coverage is not found, and the verification report records 3 CARs and 3 CLs.

The verification report from Earthood Services Private Limited shows a combined additionality test and a project baseline under AMS IA Version 14 and AMS IC Version 19, which supports the project concept. However, additionality is not explicitly confirmed by the VVB in the extracted record, reversal events are marked as not addressed, and there is no buffer pool information. The report also notes 3 CARs and 3 CLs, which reduces confidence in the strength of the underlying controls.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

+ A named VVB is provided, and the monitoring period is clearly stated in the verification report.

- Total claimed ERs are not found in the extracted record, and key MRV fields such as grid emission factor and FNRB are not stated.

The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2013-01-01 to 2014-12-31, and the VVB is named in the verification report. At the same time, total claimed emission reductions are not found in the extracted record, and important MRV fields such as the grid emission factor and FNRB are not stated. That leaves the public-facing accounting trail incomplete.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

+ Leakage is shown as quantified with a leakage deduction of 0%, which is better than an unaddressed leakage claim.

- The project-specific baseline and missing FNRB/usage-rate evidence leave some over-crediting risk unresolved.

The record shows a quantified leakage treatment with a leakage deduction of 0%, which is preferable to no leakage treatment. Still, the baseline remains project-specific rather than a recently reassessed standardized baseline, and the extracted record does not provide FNRB or verified usage-rate evidence. Those gaps leave some residual over-crediting risk.

Documentation — 4.3 / 10

+ The record includes a verification report, a named VVB, and multiple safeguard indicators such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report lists 3 CARs and 3 CLs, indicating documentation issues.

The documentation set appears to include at least a verification report, with safeguards such as FPIC, a grievance mechanism, and benefit sharing described. However, extraction confidence is only medium, and the verification report identifies 3 CARs and 3 CLs, suggesting the file set is not fully clean. The crediting period start is present, but the end date is not found in the extracted record, which further limits completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	Combined test present, VVB confirmation not stated
● Permanence	Reversal risk not addressed
● Leakage	Quantified leakage with 0% deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA and CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the full verification and monitoring package showing explicit VVB confirmation of additionality, the final credited emission reductions, and the complete crediting-period dates.

→ Document reversal treatment, buffer pool coverage or equivalent permanence safeguards, and clearer evidence for baseline, FNRB, and usage-rate assumptions.

Documents Reviewed

- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-01-13 to 31-12-14_v2.1.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_12-09-10 to 31-12-12_v4.0.pdf
- GS Passport_15-01-13.pdf
- PDD_19-02-13.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1152
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1152
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_12-09-10 to 31-12-12_v1.0.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-01-13 to 31-12-14_v1.1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-5B6BD392 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy