QUALITY REPORT

Selale HEPP

GS-1165 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-B927D861 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.2 Overall Score out of 10	
Integrity (35%)	6.2
Transparency (25%)	4.8
Claim Safety (25%)	5.1
Documentation (15%)	3.6

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, and no material findings reported in the verification report. However, documentation quality is weak, key monitoring details are missing, and several contradictions reduce confidence in the reported figures and project timeline.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1165
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 – “Grid connected electricity generation from renewable sources” 21.0
Crediting Period	2019 — 2026
VVB	Re Carbon Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The extracted record shows contradictions on additionality test type, leakage treatment, reversal reporting, and the crediting period, which weakens reliability.
- No verified total ER figure is available in the extracted record, and the monitoring method for end-use or usage is not stated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the verification report reports no material findings.

- Reversal events are not addressed, and the buffer pool percentage is not found in the available documents.

The verification report from Re Carbon Ltd. confirms additionality through an investment test and reports no material findings or corrective actions required. The project also shows a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, but permanence is weaker because reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available in the extracted record.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The verification report identifies the VVB, the monitoring period, and the project’s claimed avoided emissions of 17,567 tCO2e.

- Verified ER totals are not stated, and the usage monitoring method is not found in the extracted record.

The verification report provides the VVB name, monitoring period, and claimed avoided emissions of 17,567 tCO2e for the period 2023-03-18 to 2024-07-31. Transparency is limited because the verified ER total is not stated, the usage monitoring method is not found, and the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key document was difficult to read.

Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

+ The baseline is project-based and the leakage deduction is quantified at 0%, which supports a clearer accounting approach.

- The record contains contradictions on leakage justification and the crediting period, which raises over-crediting and claim-quality risk.

Claim safety is helped by the project-based baseline and the stated leakage deduction of 0%, both of which are clearer than an unquantified approach. That said, the record contains a contradiction on leakage justification, and the crediting period contradiction creates uncertainty about whether the project timeline was consistently reported across documents.

Documentation — 3.6 / 10

+ Sixteen documents were used, and the verification report is dated 2025-06-11, which is relatively recent.

- Extraction confidence is low, one evidence document is listed as unknown, and several key fields are not stated in available documents.

Documentation is only moderate because 16 documents were used and the verification report is recent, dated 2025-06-11. However, the evidence list is not specific, extraction confidence is low, and several important items such as buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, and verified ER totals are not stated in available documents.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No buffer evidence; reversals not addressed
Leakage	Quantified 0% deduction
Baseline	Project baseline; reassessment timing limited
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
Double-claim	CORSIA status not stated; CCP not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a complete monitoring package with verified ER totals, usage monitoring details, and a clear explanation of leakage and reversal treatment.

→ Resolve the document contradictions by issuing a reconciled project chronology and baseline/additionality note tied to the latest verification report.

Documents Reviewed

- GS729-T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-Selale HEPP.pdf
- GS729-T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form-Selale HEPP_25.12.2023.pdf
- GS729-T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report - Copy.pdf
- GS729-T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_update.pdf
- GS_Selale-HEPP_MR_11062025_cc .pdf
- GS729__430_V1.0_IQ_SDG-Impact-Tool_SELALE HEPP_04.08.2023_v2.xlsx
- GS Passport 07.31.2011_v3.pdf
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_1250 Selale hydro_v1_06-01-2025.xlsx
- Final_ER Calculations_1250 Selale hydro_v7_11062025.xls
- Final_Ver Report_1250 Selale hydro_■rem Ta■k■ran_v06_11-06-2025_cl.pdf
- GS729_Final_ER Calculations_Selale HEPP-v3-04.08.2023.xlsx
- GS729_T-PreReview_V1.2-Project-Design-Document_Selale HEPP-v9-19.02.2024-cc.pdf
- GS Passport 05.12.2011.pdf
- GS.VAL.23.11_DVR_RCP_clean.pdf
- PDD 05.12.2011_v3.pdf
- PDD May 2009.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1165
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1165

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B927D861 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy