

QUALITY REPORT

60 MW Bandirma Wind Power Plant Project

GS-1170 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-4B126E2A · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent verification report, but the evidence set shows several documentation gaps and unresolved issues around leakage, reversals, and monitoring completeness. The project appears operationally credible, yet the record quality is uneven and the contradictions reduce confidence in the claimed emissions reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1170
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 12.1.0
Crediting Period	2015 — 2022
VVB	Applus+ Certification
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	30 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the latest verification record, despite an earlier document saying it was negligible.
- The latest report lists many corrective actions and material findings, including missing monitoring records and SDG-related calculations.
- The crediting period and reversal status are inconsistent across documents, which weakens reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB under an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility.
- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the latest verification report, and reversal handling is also not addressed.

The project has a meaningful positive signal because the verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and names Applus+ Certification as the VVB. However, the latest report also says leakage is not addressed and reversal events are not addressed, while the material findings and corrective actions show multiple unresolved monitoring and reporting issues. I therefore score integrity below average despite the renewable-energy context.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The project has a named verifier, Applus+ Certification, and a defined monitoring period in the 2025 verification report.
- The extracted record does not show claimed versus verified ER totals, and key monitoring methods such as usage monitoring and FNRB are not stated.

Transparency is weakened by missing core MRV fields in the extracted record, including no stated usage monitoring method, no verified or claimed ER totals, and no FNRB information. The monitoring period is clear and the verifier is identified, but the report also contains many corrective actions for missing records and calculations. The low extraction confidence further reduces confidence in the completeness of the public record.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

- + The project is a wind project using ACM0002 with a project baseline, which is generally lower risk than more discretionary baseline approaches.
- The latest report contains unresolved leakage and reversal questions, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible, which keeps dual-claim risk elevated.

Claim safety is moderate at best because the project uses a standard wind methodology and a project baseline, which is generally a defensible setup. Still, the latest verification report leaves leakage unresolved, and the record is inconsistent on reversal handling and crediting-period timing. Because the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP status is not stated, dual-claim risk is not fully excluded.

Documentation — 3.2 / 10

- + The record includes a recent verification report, a named VVB, and a large number of extracted facts across official documents.
- Extraction confidence is low, and the verification report lists many CARs and CRs plus missing monitoring details, indicating incomplete documentation.

Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and by the fact that the latest verification report lists numerous CARs and CRs, including missing sustainability monitoring parameters, meter evidence, and SDG calculations. The project does have a recent verification report and a named VVB, but the record does not show a complete, clean evidence trail. The contradiction on the crediting period also suggests the documentation set is not fully harmonized.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal treatment stated
● Leakage	Latest report does not address leakage
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment timing unclear
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a complete, reconciled monitoring package with verified and claimed ER totals, meter evidence, and all sustainability parameters.
- Resolve the leakage, reversal, and crediting-period contradictions with a single authoritative statement from the latest registry and verification documents.

Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form_Bandirma WPP.pdf
- 430_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool_Bandirma WPP CP1.xlsx
- Final_MR_Bandirma WPP_v05_12-12-2024 clean.pdf
- Bandirma WPP_Transition-Annex_v3_20200224_clean.docx
- Final_Ver Report_Bandirma WPP_v01.2_09-01-2024.pdf
- GS744 Bandirma WPP_Annual Report_2020(1).doc
- Final_Ver Report_Bandirma WPP_v01.2_02-01-2025.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_Bandirma WPP_v04_15-11-2023.xlsx
- GS744 Bandirma WPP_Annual Report_2020.doc
- GS_VER_REP_60 MW Bandirma WPP_19TQMD29_Rev 3.0Aa_25052021.pdf
- Final_MR_Bandirma WPP_v05_30-12-2024 clean.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_Bandirma WPP_2nd CP_v01.2_18-12-2024.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_Bandirma WPP_v04_15-10-2024.xlsx
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_08.01.2015 to 07.31.2017_v5.doc
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_Bandirma WPP_v01_12-12-2024.xlsx
- GS744-Bandirma WPP-Project-Annual-Report 2023_v2.pdf
- GS744_BandirmaWPP_AnnualReport_2024.pdf
- (1)Bandirma WPP_MR_v7_clean_15042021.docx
- Bandirma WPP-Project-Annual-Report-Form_2021.pdf
- Final_MR_Bandirma WPP_v05_clean_08-01-2024.docx
- Final_ER Calculations_Bandirma WPP_v02_22-07-2022.xlsx
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_Bandirma WPP_v02_26-04-2023.xlsx
- GS Passport_11.26.2013.pdf
- Final_Val Report_Bandirma WPP_v02.1_02-10-2023.pdf
- PDD_Bandirma WPP_v13_14-09-2023_clean.docx
- PDD_09.04.2012_v8.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1170
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1170
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_08.01.2015 to 07.31.2017_v1.4.pdf
- Bandirma WPP_2nd Verification_ER_v6_20201228.xlsx

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-4B126E2A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy