

QUALITY REPORT

Balikesir Susurluk 45 MW Wind Farm Project

GS-1225 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-59515724 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a real, registered wind project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent baseline reassessment, but the record has meaningful reliability gaps and several unresolved contradictions. Leakage is treated as negligible, yet permanence and monitoring transparency are weaker because reversal handling, usage monitoring, and key registry fields are not clearly documented.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1225
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 22.0
Crediting Period	2025 — 2032
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	25 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The record contains contradictions on additionality test type, leakage treatment, reversal status, crediting period, and grid emission factor, which reduces confidence in the extracted facts.
- No buffer pool percentage, usage monitoring method, or verified issuance totals were found, limiting confidence in permanence and transparency.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses an investment test under ACM0002.

- Reversal handling is not addressed in the extracted record, and the project has a design-change related FAR tied to adding 11 turbines.

The project has some solid integrity signals: the validation report identifies an investment test and says additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the baseline was reassessed in 2025. However, permanence is weaker because reversal events are not addressed in the extracted record, buffer pool coverage is not found, and the FAR about adding 11 turbines suggests design-change management issues that needed follow-up. Leakage is presented as negligible with a 0% deduction, but the contradiction with an earlier quantified leakage treatment lowers confidence.

Transparency — 4.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated for 2023, and the VVB is identified as RINA Services S.p.A.

- Verified versus claimed issuance totals are not found, and the usage monitoring method is not stated in available documents.

Transparency is only moderate because the monitoring period is stated and the VVB is named, but the extracted record does not provide verified issuance totals, claimed issuance totals, or a usage monitoring method. The registry and methodology are identified, yet the absence of public-facing performance details limits MRV clarity. The low extraction confidence also suggests at least one key source was difficult to read, which further weakens documentation quality.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ Leakage is treated as negligible with a 0% deduction, which supports lower over-crediting risk for a wind project.

- The project is not shown to be CORSIA-ineligible or CCP-approved, so dual-claim risk is not fully excluded.

Claim safety is mixed. The project is a wind farm under a standardized methodology, leakage is treated as negligible, and the baseline is project-based, which is not unusual for this sector. Still, the record does not clearly show CORSIA ineligibility or CCP approval status, so dual-claim risk is not fully resolved, and the contradictions around leakage and the crediting period reduce confidence in the claim boundary.

Documentation — 3.1 / 10

+ A relatively large document set was used, and the baseline was reassessed in 2025.

- Extraction confidence is low, and several key fields are missing or only partially documented.

Documentation is below average because, although 23 documents were used and the project has a recent validation report, the extraction confidence is low. Several important items are missing or not stated in available documents, including buffer pool coverage, usage monitoring, and verified issuance totals. The presence of multiple closed CARs and CRs shows active review, but it also indicates the project required substantial corrective work before issuance.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	buffer pool and reversal handling unclear
● Leakage	0% deduction but contradictory treatment
● Baseline	project baseline with reassessment timing only partly clear
● Safeguards	FPIC, grievance mechanism, and safeguards documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not fully stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled issuance and monitoring package showing verified versus claimed ERs, usage monitoring, and the exact leakage and reversal treatment used in the latest issuance decision.

→ Publish a document crosswalk that resolves the contradictions on additionality test type, crediting period, leakage, reversal status, and grid emission factor, with clear source precedence.

Documents Reviewed

- 854 Susurluk Wind -VER - GS - VER to PDFinal_Ver Report_854 Susurluk Wind_Öykü Yakupo■lu_v02_06-06-2023.pdf
- (1)GS854 Emission Reduction Calculation 2011_v4.xls
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_13.02.2011 to 31.05.2013_v4.pdf
- 854 Susurluk Wind -VER - GS - VER to PDFinal_ER calculations_854 Susurluk Wind_v4_30-05-2023.xlsx
- Emission Reduction Calculation Excel File (Final_ER calculations_560 Susurluk wind_v03_06-02-2020.xlsx).xlsx
- Annual Report 2021_Susurluk_WPP.pdf
- 854 Susurluk Wind -VER - GS - VER to PDFinal_MR_854 Susurluk Wind_v4_30-05-2023.pdf
- Susurluk-WPP-Annual-Report.pdf
- Bal■kesir_Susurluk_WPP_Annual_Report.pdf
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_26-09-2016 to 12-02-2018_v3.pdf
- GS_RCP REPORT_2025TQMD45_Susurluk WPP_Rev 3.0_13082025.docx
- Final_ER calculations_659 Susurluk wind_v03_22-11-2022(1).xlsx
- GS854 Local Stakeholder Consultation Review 28.05.2010.pdf
- GS Passport_30-12-2010.pdf
- ER calculations_Susurluk_WPP_v01_03_02_2025.xls
- Final_Val Report_659 Susurluk wind_Seda Atabek_v03_12-12-2022.pdf
- SDG Impact Tool_Susurluk_ Wind_v02_11.06.2025.xlsx
- PDD 05.05.2011_v4.pdf
- Final_PDD_659 Susurluk wind_v04_22-11-2022_clean version.pdf
- PDD_Susurluk_ WPP_v06_12_08_2025_tc.docx
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1225
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1225
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_13.02.2011 to 31.05.2013_v1.1Aa.pdf
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_26-09-2016 to 12-02-2018_v2.1.pdf
- GS Validation Report 08.07.2011_v1.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-59515724 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy