

QUALITY REPORT

Cataloluk HEPP

GS-1237 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-38973575 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.1 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a stated leakage deduction of 0%, and no reversal events reported in the monitoring record. However, the file shows many corrective actions, low extraction confidence, missing key MRV details, and several contradictions that reduce confidence in the reported claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1237
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D. version 18.
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)
Verified ERs	36,774 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	24 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The monitoring report records many corrective and clarification requests, including multiple CARs and FARs, which suggests material documentation or implementation issues.
- Several key items are inconsistent across documents, including additionality approach, benefit sharing, leakage treatment, reversal treatment, and crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the monitoring record states no extreme-event reversals were reported.
- The monitoring report lists numerous corrective and clarification requests, and the reversal treatment is not addressed consistently across documents.

The verification record supports additionality through an investment test and the VVB confirms it, which is a positive sign. The monitoring report also states no extreme-event reversal occurred, but reversal treatment is not consistently addressed elsewhere and the file contains many corrective and clarification requests, which weakens confidence in project integrity.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period, and a verified emissions total of 36,774 tCO₂e.
- Key MRV details are missing or not stated, including the usage monitoring method, buffer pool percentage, and FNRB method.

Transparency is mixed because the project has a named verifier, a defined monitoring period, and a verified total of 36,774 credits, but several core MRV fields are missing. The usage monitoring method, buffer pool percentage, and FNRB method are not stated in available documents, which limits independent assessment of the monitoring quality.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

- + The record shows a leakage deduction of 0% with leakage described as negligible, and the project is marked CORSIA-eligible.
- The baseline is project-specific rather than standardized, and contradictions around leakage and crediting period weaken confidence in the claimed volume.

Claim safety is moderate at best. The project shows a 0% leakage deduction with leakage described as negligible, but the baseline is project-specific rather than a more robust standardized approach, and the contradictions around leakage treatment and crediting period reduce confidence in the claimed issuance volume.

Documentation — 3.1 / 10

- + The file includes a substantial number of extracted facts and a recent monitoring report dated 2025-09-02.
- Extraction confidence is low, evidence documents are not clearly identified, and the report contains many unresolved corrective actions.

Documentation quality is weak because the extraction confidence is low and the evidence documents are not clearly identified in the record. The monitoring report dated 2025-09-02 is recent, but it also lists many corrective actions and clarifications, indicating incomplete or problematic documentation.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversals reported, but buffer not stated
● Leakage	0% deduction with conflicting treatment
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing limited
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not mentioned

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a complete, reconciled MRV package that resolves the contradictions on additionality, leakage, reversal treatment, benefit sharing, and crediting period.

→ Provide the missing monitoring details, including usage monitoring methodology, buffer pool treatment, and any jurisdictional or standardized baseline reassessment evidence.

Documents Reviewed

- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.06.2012 to 30.09.2015_v6.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report_Çataloluk HEPP_v9_02092025_cc.pdf
- GS872-T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report.pdf
- GS872_Annual Report_10.2015 to 12.2024.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01.04.2010 to 30.05.2012_v3.pdf
- GS872-T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_2022.pdf
- SDG-Impact-tool_Çataloluk HEPP_v03_02092025.xlsx
- GS872 Çataloluk HEPP_SDG Impact Tool_v2-05122024.xlsx.xlsx
- ER_Calculation_Sheet_Çataloluk_21082023.xlsx
- GS Passport_12-03-2012.pdf
- GS872_ER_Calculation_Sheet_Çataloluk_v2.0_19072024.xlsx
- GS872__430_V1.0_IQ_SDG-Impact-Tool_Cataloluk HEPP_01092023.xlsx
- ER_Calculations_Çataloluk HEPP_2nd CP 1st VER_v05_02092025.xlsx
- GS872-Cataloluk HEPP_GS PDD_Version 3.0_26.12.2024_clean.docx
- T-PreReview_PDD_Cataloluk_01092023_cc.pdf
- PDD_11-06-2010.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1237
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1237
- FVR_GS_VER_REP Cataloluk HEPP_25TQCE167_Rev 3.0Aa_02092025.pdf
- FVR_GS_RCP REPORT_2024TQMDCE273_Cataloluk HPP_Rev 2.0Aa_29122024.pdf
- CCIPL 1080 GS RCP FVR_cc(1).pdf
- GS Validation Report_08.07.2011_v2.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.06.2012 to 30.09.2015_rev1.3Aa.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01.04.2010 to 30.05.2012_rev2.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-38973575 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy