

QUALITY REPORT

Sah Wind Power Plant

GS-1251 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-BEDC8BF4 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
	■ Documentation (15%)	3.8

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with a VVB-confirmed investment additionality test, a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, and no reported significant operational reversals during the monitoring period. However, the record has several reliability issues: multiple unresolved corrective actions, low extraction confidence, and contradictions on additionality type, leakage treatment, credited volume, reversal status, and crediting period that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1251
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 18.1
Crediting Period	2018 — 2025
VVB	KBS Certification Services Ltd.
Verified ERs	290,808 tCO2e
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2025
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	34 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification record shows multiple open or recently raised corrective items, including CARs and FARs, which weakens documentation quality.
- The extracted record contains contradictions on key crediting inputs, including the additionality test type, leakage justification, verified/claimed issuance volumes, reversal status, and crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test, and the VVB is named as KBS Certification Services Ltd.

- Reversal events are marked as not addressed in the extracted record, and the project has no buffer pool percentage stated.

The verification report supports a reasonably strong integrity case because additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, and the project applies ACM0002 with a project baseline. Leakage is treated with a quantified deduction of 0%, but the record does not state a buffer pool percentage and marks reversal events as not addressed, which weakens permanence confidence.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

+ The monitoring period, registry, methodology version, and verified versus claimed ER totals are all stated in the verification report.

- The extraction confidence is low, and several key MRV details such as usage monitoring method and usage rate verification are not found in the available documents.

Transparency is mixed: the verification report states the monitoring period, registry, methodology version, and that claimed and verified ERs are both 290,808. However, key MRV details such as the usage monitoring method and verified usage rate are not found in the extracted record, and the low extraction confidence suggests at least one source was difficult to read.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 with a project baseline and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%, which supports a cleaner crediting case for a wind project.

- The record shows major contradictions on credited volume and leakage treatment, including a prior higher issuance figure and an earlier leakage view described as negligible.

Claim safety is moderate but not strong. The project is a wind project under ACM0002 with a project baseline and a quantified leakage deduction, which is generally supportive, but the record contains contradictions on leakage justification and credited volumes, including earlier figures of 488,920 claimed and 529,365 verified ERs versus the current 290,808 figure.

Documentation — 3.8 / 10

+ The record includes a large document set with 32 documents used, a named VVB, and a recent verification report dated 2026-02-20.

- Low extraction confidence and multiple unresolved findings, including open CARs and FARs, indicate incomplete or difficult-to-read documentation.

Documentation quality is limited by low extraction confidence and several unresolved findings in the verification report, including CARs and FARs that were not fully closed in the extracted record. The project does have a recent verification report and a large document set, but the contradictory crediting-period information and open items reduce confidence in completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer stated; reversals not addressed
● Leakage	Quantified 0% leakage deduction
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2025
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clean, reconciled issuance history that explains the differences between the older and newer ER totals and confirms the final credited amount.
- Close out all outstanding CARs/FARs and publish clearer MRV details, including usage monitoring, reversal treatment, and any buffer or permanence safeguards.

Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Sah WPP_2022_final.pdf
- Sah Wind Power Project 5th Monitoring Period ER Calculations_v01.xlsx
- GS_VER_REP_Sah WPP_24TQCE144_Rev 2.0Aa_31072024.pdf
- Sah Wind Power Project 4th Monitoring Period ER Calculations_v02_clean.xlsx
- Sah Wind Power Project 3rd Monitoring Period ER Calculations_v0.2.xls
- T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report_Sah WPP_2024.pdf
- DEV_398.pdf
- GS_VER_REP_Sah WPP_21XTMD8_Rev 3.0Aa_11112021.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.06.2015 to 31.05.2018_v0.3.pdf
- GS905_MR_■ah WPP_v3.0.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_15.11.2011 to 31.10.2013_v3.pdf
- GS_VER_REP_Sah WPP_22TQMD7_Rev 3.0Aa_27042023.pdf
- GS Passport.pdf
- DEV_398 (1).pdf
- GS905_Val Report_S■ah WPP_Rev 1.1.pdf
- GS905_ER sheet_■ah WPP_v2.0.xlsx
- Sah WPP_430_V1.3_IQ_SDG-Impact-tool_v0.1.xlsx
- GS905_Baseline Study Sah Wind Power Plant_v0.2.xlsx
- GS905 Local Stakeholder Consultation Report_July2010.pdf
- GS905 Emission Reduction Calculations 16.01.2014.xlsx
- GS905_SDG-Impact-tool_Sah Wind Power Plant_v0.1.xlsx
- PDD_Sah_Wind_Power_Plant__06.11.2018_v.05.1_wtc_public(1).pdf
- GS905_PDD_Sah Wind Power Plant_v0.3.pdf
- PDD_18-03-2013.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1251
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1251
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.06.2015 to 31.05.2018.pdf
- Monitoring-Report of 4th verification Sah WPP_v03_wotc.pdf
- GS905 Validation Report 26.09.2013_v5.pdf
- GS905_FVR_■ah WPP_Rev 1.1.pdf
- GS905 Validation Report 24.10.2018_v4(1).pdf
- Monitoring-Report of 5th verification Sah WPP_v04_wotc.pdf
- Monitoring-Report of 3rd verification Sah WPP_v05_wtc.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_15.11.2011 to 31.10.2013_v1.1Aa.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-BEDC8BF4 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy