

QUALITY REPORT

Canakkale WPP

GS-1252 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-FF97C344 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.6
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.0
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a wind project with a VVB-confirmed investment test and a recent validation report, but the documentation shows several reliability gaps and unresolved issues. The biggest concerns are the lack of a clear leakage explanation, no stated buffer pool, and multiple corrective actions and clarification requests, including a stakeholder noise complaint. Overall, the project looks moderately credible but not especially robust on documentation or claim safety.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1252
Sector	other
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 22.0
Crediting Period	2025 — 2032
VVB	RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)
Monitoring Period	2020 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	31 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the extracted validation record, even though a 0% deduction is shown.
- The record contains several contradictions on additionality, benefit sharing, leakage treatment, reversal status, and crediting period, which weakens confidence in the file set.
- No verified usage monitoring, no ERR totals, and no FNRB method are stated in the extracted documents.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + The validation report confirms additionality through an investment test and says the VVB accepted it.
- Leakage is not addressed in the validation record, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and reversal status is not clearly documented.

The project has a positive integrity signal because the validation report says additionality was confirmed through an investment test by RINA. However, leakage is marked as not addressed in the extracted validation record, buffer pool coverage is not stated, and reversal events are also not clearly documented, which weakens permanence and leakage confidence. The presence of many corrective actions and a stakeholder noise complaint adds some operational risk, even though the reported findings were closed out.

Transparency — 4.6 / 10

- + The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a recent validation report dated 2025-04-21.
- No verified emission reduction totals, no usage monitoring method, and no FNRB method are stated in the extracted record.

Transparency is mixed. The record identifies the verifier, the monitoring period from 2020-03-28 to 2023-02-28, and a recent validation report dated 2025-04-21, but it does not state verified ERR totals, a usage monitoring method, or an FNRB method. The low extraction confidence further reduces confidence that the documentation is complete.

Claim Safety — 5.0 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 under Gold Standard and shows a baseline reassessment in 2025, which helps with baseline currency.
- The file shows a 0% leakage deduction without a supporting explanation, and CORSIA eligibility is true while CCP status is not mentioned.

Claim safety is moderate rather than strong. The project uses a standard wind methodology and has a 2025 baseline reassessment, but the extracted record shows a 0% leakage deduction without a supporting justification and no verified usage-rate evidence. CORSIA eligibility is stated as true, while CCP status is not mentioned, so dual-claim risk is not fully resolved.

Documentation — 4.1 / 10

- + The record includes many extracted facts, a named verifier, and multiple validation/verification findings that were closed out.
- Extraction confidence is low, the evidence document list is effectively incomplete, and several corrective actions remain documented in the file set.

Documentation quality is limited by the low extraction confidence and the fact that the evidence document list is not informative. The file set does include many validation and verification findings, but it also shows numerous corrective actions and at least one forward action request, indicating that the documentation was not clean or fully settled. The crediting period is recent, but the contradictions and missing quantified fields reduce confidence in completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	0% deduction, weak justification
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessed in 2025
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

- Provide a clear leakage assessment with supporting justification and reconcile the 0% deduction with the methodology requirements.
- Publish verified ERR totals, usage monitoring details, and a complete, readable evidence pack that resolves the documented contradictions.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report_5th monitoring period_01-04-2016 to 10-02-2018_v4(1).doc
- Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01.09.2012 to 30.09.2013_v2.pdf
- Annual Report 2021_Çanakkale_WPP.pdf
- Canakkale-WPP-Annual-Report.pdf
- Final_MR_654 Canakkale Wind_v8_05.07.2024.pdf
- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_11.02.2011 to 31.08.2012_v3.pdf
- Monitoring Report_4th monitoring period_01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016_v5.pdf
- Final_Ver Report_654 Canakkale Wind_İrem Taşkınran_v05_24-07-2024_tc.pdf
- Final_ER Calculations_654 Canakkale Wind_v07_13-05-2024.xlsx
- Canakkale_WPP_Annual_Report.pdf
- Canakkale_WPP-Project-Annual-Report.pdf
- Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01.10.2013 to 31.03.2015_v3.pdf
- GS906 Emission Reductions Calculations.xls
- SDG Impact Tool_Canakkale_Wind_v01_30.01.2025.xlsx
- GS906 Local Stakeholder Consultation Report 16.08.2010.pdf
- GS Passport.pdf
- ER calculations_Canakkale_WPP_v03_19_04_2025.xls
- Final_ER calculations_657 Canakkale wind_v03_20-01-2021.xls
- Final_Val Report_657 Canakkale wind_Seda Atabek_v02_14-06-2021.pdf
- PDD-Canakkale WPP_v4_12.08.2021_06.09.2021.pdf
- PDD_Canakkale WPP_v03_clean_30_01_2025.pdf
- PDD_04-07-2012.doc
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1252
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1252
- Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01.09.2012 to 30.09.2013_v1.1.pdf
- GS906 Validation Report_05.06.2012_rev9.pdf
- Verification Report_4th monitoring period_01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016_v2.pdf
- FVR_GS_RCP REPORT_2025TQMD24_Çanakkale WPP_Rev 2.0Aa_21042025.pdf
- Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01.10.2013 to 31.03.2015_v1.pdf
- Verification Report_5th monitoring period_01-04-2016 to 10-02-2018_v3(1).pdf
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_11.02.2011 to 31.08.2012_v1.3.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-FF97C344 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy