


QUALITY REPORT

Everbright Zhenjiang Bundled Solar PV Power Generation Project

GS-1310 · GS · China

Report ID: CM-80540192 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a reasonably documented Gold Standard solar project with VVB confirmation of additionality, no material findings, and strong safeguard signals such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism. However, key MRV elements are incomplete or inconsistent, especially leakage justification, and several fields needed to assess over-crediting and double-claim risk are not stated in the available documents.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1310
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	AMS-I.D 17.0
Crediting Period	2012 — 2019
VVB	TÜV NORD CERT GmbH
Monitoring Period	2012 — 2013
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	6 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent: the verification report says leakage was not addressed, while the monitoring report is said to quantify it.
- No verified figures are available for claimed versus verified emission reductions, and CORSIA/CCP status is not stated.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

+ The verification report from TÜV NORD CERT GmbH confirms additionality and reports no material findings or corrective actions.

- Leakage justification is inconsistent across documents, and reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record.

The verification report by TÜV NORD CERT GmbH confirms additionality and reports no material findings or corrective actions, which supports the project's core integrity. The baseline is project-based under AMS-I.D, but baseline reassessment timing is not stated, and reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record. Leakage is a weakness because the verification report says it was not addressed, even though the monitoring report is said to quantify it.

Transparency — 5.4 / 10

+ The project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period, and a clear Gold Standard registry context.

- Claimed and verified emission reduction totals are not stated, and usage monitoring details are missing.

Transparency is moderate because the project has a named VVB, a defined monitoring period from 2012-04-01 to 2013-03-31, and a clear Gold Standard registry context. However, the extracted record does not state claimed or verified emission reduction totals, and usage monitoring method and verified usage rate are missing. That limits the ability to independently check the MRV chain.

Claim Safety — 5.8 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline under AMS-I.D, and the monitoring report indicates a leakage deduction of 0%.

- The record does not state whether the project is CORSIA-eligible or CCP-approved, and the leakage explanation is disputed.

Claim safety is weakened by missing data on claimed versus verified emission reductions and by the unresolved leakage discrepancy. The project appears to use a project baseline under AMS-I.D, which is acceptable, but the record does not provide CORSIA eligibility or CCP status, so dual-market claim risk cannot be ruled out. A leakage deduction of 0% is noted, but the justification is not consistent across documents.

Documentation — 7.0 / 10

+ Four document types were used, including a verification report dated 2013-11-06, and extraction confidence is high.

- Some key fields are not stated in the available documents, including buffer pool coverage, baseline reassessment timing, and verified usage data.

Documentation quality is fairly good because four document types were used, including a verification report, and extraction confidence is high. The report date is reasonably close to the monitoring period, and no corrective actions were required. Still, several important fields are not stated in the available documents, including buffer pool coverage, baseline reassessment, and verified usage data.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer or reversal detail stated
● Leakage	Leakage treatment inconsistent
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the claimed and verified emission reduction figures, along with the full leakage calculation and reconciliation between the monitoring and verification reports.

→ Disclose buffer pool treatment, baseline reassessment history, and explicit CORSIA/CCP status to reduce permanence and double-claim uncertainty.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_01-04-12 to 31-03-13_v2.0.pdf
- GS Passport_27-08-13.pdf
- PDD_10-05-13.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1310
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1310
- Verification Report_1st monitoring period_01-04-12 to 31-03-13_v1.0.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-80540192 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14
carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy