QUALITY REPORT

Dongdongtan 9MWp Solar PV Project

GS-1332 · GS · China

Report ID: CM-E92CEDDD · Generated: 2026-04-24 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.1 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	5.2
	Transparency (25%)	5.5
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.5
	Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project shows basic procedural compliance: a VVB (CTI) confirmed additionality and an operational monitoring period and smart meter monitoring are documented. However, key gaps and contradictions remain (notably on leakage treatment, benefit sharing, and crediting period), and several corrective actions were raised and closed, reducing confidence in robustness.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1332
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	China
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	AMS I.D. “Grid connected renewable electricity generation” 3.0
Crediting Period	2013 — 2020
VVB	Shenzhen CTI International Certification Co., Ltd (CTI)
Monitoring Period	2024 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	19 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-24

Red Flags

- Leakage justification is not addressed in the latest documents despite a 0% leakage deduction.
- Contradictory records on additionality test type, benefit sharing, and crediting period across documents reduce data reliability.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.2 / 10

+ Additionality confirmed by the VVB (Shenzhen CTI) and recorded investment test (verification report, 2024-11-12).

- Leakage not adequately justified (documents state leakage justification is not addressed) and buffer pool percentage not stated.

The verification report by Shenzhen CTI (document dated 2024-11-12) confirms additionality via an investment test, which supports basic integrity. However, the project shows a 0% leakage deduction while the latest documents state leakage justification is not addressed, and the buffer pool percentage is not provided in the extracted record—these are material weaknesses. Several corrective actions and clarification requests were raised and closed (listed in the validation/verification materials), which indicates issues were found and remediated but reduces confidence in robustness.

Transparency — 5.5 / 10

+ VVB name and a recent monitoring period (2024) are provided; monitoring via smart meters is described.

- Key verification numbers are missing: total emissions reductions verified is not stated and evidence documents are labelled unknown.

Project transparency is mixed: the VVB is named and a current monitoring period (2024-01-01 to 2024-12-31) and smart meter monitoring are documented, which helps traceability. But total emissions reductions verified is not provided in the extracted record (total_err_verified missing), evidence documents are listed as 'unknown', and extraction confidence is only medium, limiting transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.5 / 10

+ Uses a recognised methodology (AMS I.D. v3.0) and grid emission factor is reported (0.856875).

- Leakage deduction of 0% lacks documented justification and national FNRB data not applicable or not provided, increasing over■crediting risk.

The project uses an established methodology (AMS I.D. v3.0) and reports a grid emission factor (0.856875), which supports claim defensibility. Conversely, leakage treatment is weak (0% deduction without documented justification in the latest documents) and national/regional factors (FNRB) are not applicable or absent, raising over■crediting risk. CORSIA/CCP status is not stated, so dual■claim risk cannot be ruled out.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ A recent validation/verification document date is present (2024-11-12) and 17 documents were used.

- Minimum extraction confidence is only medium and evidence documents are unspecified or labelled unknown.

Documentation breadth is moderate: 17 documents were used and the most recent document date is 2024-11-12 with a named VVB. However, evidence documents are not clearly identified (listed as 'unknown') and extraction confidence is medium, indicating some source reliability issues. Multiple corrective actions were required and closed; that is positive for follow-up but the original issues lower documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed
Permanence	no buffer info
Leakage	justification missing
Baseline	project-specific baseline
Safeguards	FPIC & grievance present
Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status unclear

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide explicit leakage justification or a quantified leakage assessment and support for the 0% deduction in project documents.

→ Publish or link clear evidence documents (full verification/monitoring reports) and the verified emissions figures so total reductions verified are transparent.

Documents Reviewed

- GS VR Renewal of the Crediting Period -Dongdongtan 9MWp Solar PV Project-GS2680-clean.pdf
- GS2680-Deviation-Request-Form-Dongdongtan 9MWp Solar PV Project.pdf
- GS2680-Deviation-Request-Form-Dongdongtan 9MWp Solar PV Project.docx
- GS2680_Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_21-07-2019 to 07-12-2020_V2.1 clean(1).pdf
- GS2680-Project-Annual-Report-2024.pdf
- GS2680_Project-Annual-Report_08-12-2020 to 31-12-2021 V1.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_08-12-13 to 31-03-15_v2.0.pdf
- GS2680_Project-Annual-Report_01-01-2022 to 31-12-2022 V1.pdf
- ER_DongdongtanSolar_GS2680 20220507.xlsx
- GS2680-Project-Annual-Report-2023.pdf
- GS2680_e mission reduction_2nd monitoring period_21-07-2019 to 07-12-2020_V21.xlsx
- Project-Design-Document-renew-GS2680_Dongdongtansolar_v2.1 20220507-clean.pdf
- GS Passport_08-05-14.pdf
- PDD_08-05-14.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1332
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1332
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_08-12-13 to 31-03-15_v1.1.pdf
- updated_Validation_Report-Dongdongtan_Solar_PV_GS-v04_track_change.pdf
- GS-VCR-GS 2680 VER-21072019 to 07122020-clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-E92CEDDD · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-24 · Generated: 2026-04-24

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy