

QUALITY REPORT

20 MW Solar Project in Sanwreej, Jodhpur, Rajasthan

GS-1373 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-DDD72FB9 · Generated: 2026-04-17 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a straightforward solar project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no reported reversal events in the latest verification period. However, several key items are missing or inconsistent across documents, including leakage treatment in older reporting, benefit-sharing, and the crediting-period dates, which reduces confidence in the record.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1373
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 17.0
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	VKU Certification Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2024
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-17

Red Flags

- The crediting period differs between the validation report and the later verification report, creating a reliability issue that needs reconciliation.
- Leakage was described as negligible in the verification report, but an older monitoring report said it was not addressed.
- The extraction confidence is low, so some documentation may be incomplete or hard to read.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the verification report.

- Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents: the verification report says it is deemed negligible, while the older monitoring report said it was not addressed.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test and names VKU Certification Private Limited as the verifier, which is a meaningful positive. The project is a solar installation, so permanence risk is inherently low, and the latest verification report says there were no post-registration reversal events in the monitoring period. Leakage is weaker: the verification report describes it as deemed negligible, but an older monitoring report said it was not addressed, so I privileged the newer verification report while discounting confidence because of the inconsistency.

Transparency — 5.2 / 10

+ The project has a named verifier, VKU Certification Private Limited, and a recent verification report dated 2024-12-02.

- Total claimed and verified emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the monitoring method for usage is not found.

Transparency is moderate rather than strong because the project has a recent verification report and a clear monitoring period, but key quantitative fields are missing from the extracted record. Total claimed and verified emission reductions are not available, and the usage monitoring method is also not stated. The low extraction confidence further reduces trust that the documentation set is fully legible and complete.

Claim Safety — 5.6 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 and the baseline was reassessed in 2023, which supports a more standardised renewable-energy baseline.

- CORSIA eligibility is true, but CCP status is not stated, and the crediting-period dates conflict between validation and verification documents.

Claim safety is helped by the use of ACM0002 and a baseline reassessment in 2023, which are consistent with a standard renewable-energy methodology. Still, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible and CCP status is not stated, so dual-market claim risk is not fully resolved. The conflicting crediting-period dates between the validation report and the later verification report also weaken confidence in the claim boundary and issuance timeline.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ The record draws on multiple official documents, including the PDD, validation report, monitoring report, and verification report.

- Extraction confidence is low, and the verification report lists several corrective actions and clarifications, indicating documentation quality issues.

The evidence base is fairly broad, with multiple official documents listed and 27 documents used in extraction. Even so, the extraction confidence is low, which suggests at least one key source was difficult to read. The verification report also records multiple corrective actions and clarifications, and benefit sharing is not described in the latest record, so the documentation is incomplete in important places.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	Solar project; no reversals reported
● Leakage	Negligible in latest report, but earlier report conflicted
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment timing known
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified and claimed emission reduction totals, along with the usage monitoring method and any grid emission factor inputs.

→ Reconcile the conflicting crediting-period and leakage statements across the validation, monitoring, and verification reports.

Documents Reviewed

- SDG_Impact_tool V1.3_GS5575.xlsx
- GS5575 Sanwreej Annual-Report_29_12_2022.pdf
- LNB_MR_ver._1(1).pdf
- T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report.Version 4.0 Clean_Janardhan.pdf
- GS.VER.20.17_GS5575_VCR.pdf
- LNB_Emission_Reduction_ver.1(1).xlsx
- MR_3rd_GS5575_ver06_17.10.24_Clean.pdf
- Project-Annual-Report_GS5575 for 2024.pdf
- Sanwreej (GS5575) Project-Annual-Report-Form.pdf
- ER sheet_GS5575_ver06.xlsx
- T-PerfCert_V1.1-Monitoring-Report.Version 4.0 Clean.pdf
- MR_GS5575_ver 03_28.1.25_Performance review 1_Clean Version.pdf
- DEV_774.pdf
- ER sheet_GS5575_ver 03.xlsx
- 01_2017_4616-GS_FCR_clean.pdf
- Transition_Document_v2.docx
- ER sheet_RCP_5575_ver03 (1).xlsx
- GS5575-Transition-request-form-project.pdf
- GS Passport_28-02-2018.pdf
- 01_2017_4616-GS_ER.xlsx
- SDG-Impact-tool_GS5575(2).xlsx
- GSRCP PDD 5575_ver05_Clean.pdf
- PDD_11-07-2017.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1373
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1373
- (1)GS.VER.20.17_GS5575_VCR Clean.pdf
- FVR_2024_GQ_CE_108_Performance review 01_18-02-2025_Rev 04_.pdf
- VKU.F26W.Validation Report_VKU.VAL.04.23_GS_5575_Clean.pdf
- VKU.F27W.Verification Report_VKU.VER.01.23_GS_5575_Clean(1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-DDD72FB9 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-17 · Generated: 2026-04-17

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy