

QUALITY REPORT

30 MW Solar PV Project by Nirosha Solar Power Private Limited

GS-1375 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-A9D060A3 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.5 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	4.6
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.1
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.3
	■ Documentation (15%)	5.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a real renewable project with VVB-confirmed additionality and a recent baseline reassessment, but the evidence package has several gaps and inconsistencies. Leakage is not properly justified in the extracted record, reversal treatment is not clearly addressed, and the verification report shows multiple corrective actions, which weakens confidence in the claimed credits.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1375
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 17.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2021
VVB	LGAI Technological Center, S.A.
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2024
Confidence	High
Documents Reviewed	16 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed in the verification record, while another document reportedly called it negligible.
- The documents contain a crediting-period mismatch between the monitoring report and the PDD, and the verification report lists multiple corrective actions.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 4.6 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core environmental claim.
- Leakage is not addressed in the verification record, reversal treatment is not clearly documented, and the report lists six corrective actions.

The verification report confirms additionality through an investment test, and the baseline was last reassessed in 2021, which supports the project's core accounting framework. However, leakage is marked as not addressed in the verification record, reversal events are not clearly addressed, and the report lists six corrective actions, all of which reduce confidence in the robustness of the crediting claim.

Transparency — 4.1 / 10

- + The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named, and the project uses Gold Standard documentation with a defined methodology version.
- Claimed versus verified emission reductions are not available in the extracted record, and key MRV items such as usage monitoring and usage rates are not stated.

The extracted record identifies the VVB as LGAI Technological Center, S.A. and provides a monitoring period, but it does not include claimed or verified emission reduction totals. Several MRV elements are missing or unstated, including usage monitoring method and usage rates, which limits public traceability and makes the documentation less complete.

Claim Safety — 4.3 / 10

- + The baseline was last reassessed in 2021, which is a positive sign for baseline freshness in a solar project.
- Leakage justification is inconsistent across documents, and CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, leaving residual claim-risk uncertainty.

The project benefits from a recent baseline reassessment and VVB-confirmed additionality, but the leakage treatment is inconsistent: one document reportedly deemed it negligible while the verification record says it was not addressed. CORSIA eligibility and CCP status are not stated, so the record does not clearly eliminate dual-claim or over-crediting concerns.

Documentation — 5.0 / 10

- + Fourteen documents were used, including the PDD, verification report, and monitoring report, and the extraction confidence is medium rather than low.
- The verification report records several corrective actions, and the crediting-period dates conflict between the monitoring report and the PDD.

The evidence set is reasonably broad, with the PDD, verification report, and monitoring report all present, and extraction confidence is medium rather than low. Still, the verification report lists multiple corrective actions, and there is a direct contradiction on the crediting period between the monitoring report and the PDD, which lowers documentation quality.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No reversal treatment clearly documented
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed in verification record
● Baseline	Project baseline with reassessment in 2021
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA and CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, document-backed leakage assessment and reconcile the conflicting leakage statements across reports.

→ Publish verified emission reduction totals, clarify the crediting-period inconsistency, and close out all corrective actions with final evidence.

Documents Reviewed

- MR_Nirosha_MP03_V02_18 02 2022_Clean Copy.pdf
- GS 5699-Project-Annual-Report.pdf
- MR_Nirosha_MP02_V02_14072021_Clean Copy.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_20-09-2016 to 31-03-2018_v02.pdf
- MR_GS 5699_v7.0_track.pdf
- GS 5699 Annual report.pdf
- GS Passport_02.17.2018_v3.pdf
- PDD_02.17.2018_v3.pdf
- GS4GG_Nirosha Solar_PDD_RCP_V04.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1375
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1375
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_20-09-2016 to 31-03-2018_v1.0.pdf
- VCR -GS VER.pdf
- FVR-GS VER-Nirosha-GS 5699 VER_TQC8921.pdf
- FVR GS VAL-GS RCP-Nirosha_GS 5699 TQC 8921.pdf
- FVR_GS 5699_v3.0_TC.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A9D060A3 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy