

QUALITY REPORT

40 MW Orange Charanka Solar Power Project in Gujarat, India

GS-1377 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-EEECBD7A · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.3 Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	6.2
	■ Transparency (25%)	4.8
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	5.1
	■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a utility-scale solar project with VVB-confirmed additionality and no material findings reported, which supports a moderate integrity score. However, several key risk controls are not stated in the extracted record, including leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage, monitoring period, and baseline reassessment, which limits confidence in the claim quality.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1377
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Recent
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 17.0
VVB	Applus+ LGAI
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	3 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

Red Flags

- Leakage is marked as not addressed, with no quantified deduction or justification found in the extracted record.
- No buffer pool percentage, monitoring period, or baseline reassessment date was found, leaving permanence and MRV completeness only partially documented.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 6.2 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test in the validation report dated 2018-04-20.
- Leakage treatment is not addressed, and no buffer pool or reversal management detail was found in the available record.

The validation report confirms additionality through an investment test and shows no material findings, which is a meaningful positive signal. At the same time, leakage is explicitly not addressed, and the extracted record does not show any buffer pool percentage or reversal-event treatment, so permanence and leakage controls remain incomplete in the available evidence.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

- + The project is identified under Gold Standard with a named VVB, Applus+ LGAI, and the record shows no material findings or corrective actions required.
- The monitoring period, claimed versus verified emission reductions, and usage monitoring details are not stated in the extracted documents.

Transparency is helped by the presence of a named verifier, Applus+ LGAI, and a clearly identified Gold Standard project record. But the extracted documents do not state the monitoring period, total emission reductions claimed or verified, or the usage monitoring method, so MRV completeness is limited.

Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

- + The project uses ACM0002 Version 17.0, a standard methodology for grid-connected renewable power, which supports baseline credibility.
- Leakage is not addressed and no FNRB value or usage-rate evidence is available, which leaves some over-crediting risk unresolved.

Claim safety is moderately supported by the use of ACM0002 Version 17.0 for a grid-connected solar project, which is a recognized baseline framework. Still, leakage is not addressed and no FNRB value, usage-rate evidence, or quantified emission reduction reconciliation is available, so over-crediting risk cannot be ruled out confidently.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + The extracted record comes from an official validation report with high extraction confidence and a named independent verifier.
- Only one document was used, and several core fields such as crediting period, monitoring period, and buffer pool coverage were not found in the available documents.

Documentation quality is limited by the fact that only one official document was used, even though extraction confidence is high. The report is recent enough to support validation-level review, but key fields such as crediting period, monitoring period, and buffer pool coverage were not found in the available record, reducing completeness.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	No buffer pool or reversal detail stated
● Leakage	Leakage not addressed
● Baseline	Project baseline stated, reassessment timing missing
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide the monitoring report and registry extracts showing verified emission reductions, monitoring period, and any reconciliation between claimed and verified credits.

→ Document leakage treatment, buffer pool coverage or other reversal safeguards, and any baseline reassessment or methodology application details.

Documents Reviewed

- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1377
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1377
- Verification Report_23.09.2017_v2(1).pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-EEECBD7A · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy