

## QUALITY REPORT

# GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso - VPA-01 - tiipaalga F3PA cookstoves in Bam and Loroum

GS-1398 · GS · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-1C7323CC · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

<b>5.0</b> Overall Score out of 10	■ Integrity (35%)	<b>5.6</b>
	■ Transparency (25%)	<b>4.8</b>
	■ Claim Safety (25%)	<b>5.1</b>
	■ Documentation (15%)	<b>4.0</b>

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, a quantified leakage deduction, and no material findings reported in the verification report. However, reliability is weakened by low extraction confidence, missing key details such as the additionality test type and baseline reassessment timing, and several contradictions across documents. Overall, this looks like a moderately documented cookstove project with meaningful but not severe evidence gaps.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1398
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves Version 1
Crediting Period	2019 — 2024
VVB	Earthood Services Limited (Formerly known as Earthood Services Private Limited)
Monitoring Period	2023 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	29 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

## Red Flags

- The record contains multiple contradictions, including leakage deduction, usage monitoring method, reversal status, FNRB value, and crediting period.
- Key items are not stated in the available documents, including the additionality test type, baseline reassessment date, and verified ERR totals.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, and the report states no material findings.

- The additionality test type is not stated, the baseline reassessment timing is missing, and reversal handling is not addressed clearly.

The verification report confirms additionality was checked by the VVB, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions required. Leakage is treated with a quantified 5% deduction, which is a positive sign, but the additionality test type is not stated and the baseline was set as project-based without a reassessment date. Reversal handling is also weakly documented because reversal events are marked as not addressed in the extracted record.

### Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The monitoring report covers a defined period from 2023-01-01 to 2023-12-31, and the verification report names Earthood Services Limited as the VVB.

- Verified and claimed ERR totals are not stated, and low extraction confidence reduces trust in the completeness of the record.

The monitoring period is clearly stated as 2023-01-01 to 2023-12-31, and the VVB is identified as Earthood Services Limited. Still, the record does not state verified or claimed ERR totals, and the low extraction confidence suggests at least one key source was difficult to read. That combination limits confidence in the completeness of the public-facing MRV trail.

### Claim Safety — 5.1 / 10

+ Leakage is quantified at 5%, and the verified usage rate is very close to the assumed 95% level.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned, and several contradictions raise over-crediting and dual-claim concerns.

The project uses a quantified leakage deduction of 5%, and the verified usage rate is essentially the same as the assumed 95%, which reduces concern about usage overstatement. On the other hand, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not mentioned, so dual-channel claim risk is not fully resolved. The contradictions around leakage, usage monitoring, and FNRB also weaken confidence in the claim quality.

### Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ Twenty-seven documents were used, and the verification report is dated 2026-02-11, which is relatively recent.

- Extraction confidence is low, evidence documents are not identified clearly, and several core fields are missing or only partially specified.

The record indicates 27 documents were used, and the verification report date is 2026-02-11, which supports some recency. However, extraction confidence is low, and the evidence document list is not actually identified beyond an unspecified entry. Important fields such as the additionality test type, baseline reassessment date, and verified ERR totals are missing, so the documentation package is incomplete.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed but test type not stated
● <b>Permanence</b>	reversal handling not clearly addressed
● <b>Leakage</b>	quantified 5% deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	project baseline without reassessment date
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA-eligible; CCP status not stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled document set that resolves the contradictions on leakage, usage monitoring, reversal status, FNRB, and crediting period.

→ Publish the missing core MRV details, especially the additionality test type, baseline reassessment history, and verified ERR totals.

## Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report\_1st monitoring period\_05-02-2015 to 31-12-2015\_v2.pdf
- Annual\_Report\_Bam\_Loroum\_2025.pdf
- T-PerfCert\_V1.1- MR\_GS1340\_GS2456\_GS3516-GS3524\_MP8\_v4(10).pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report\_4th monitoring period\_01-01-2018 to 31-12-2018\_v2.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER\_MP8\_ v2.0(10).xlsx
- Monitoring Report\_5th monitoring period\_01.01.2019 to 31.12.2019\_v1.pdf
- Monitoring Report\_3rd monitoring period\_01-01-2017 to 31-12-2017\_v3.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report\_2nd monitoring period\_01-01-2016 to 31-12-2016\_v3.pdf
- T-PerfCert\_V1.1- MR\_GS1340\_GS2456\_GS3516-GS3524\_MP6\_v3.0\_clean (1).pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER\_MP6\_ v3.0 (1).xlsx
- GS1340\_GS2456\_GS3516-GS3524\_MR\_MP9\_v7.0\_clean.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER\_MP7\_ v1.0(1).xlsx
- T-PerfCert\_V1.1- MR\_GS1340\_GS2456\_GS3516-GS3524\_MP7\_v2.0\_clean.pdf
- GS Passport\_01-08-2013.pdf
- GS1340\_POA-DD\_CP2\_v8.0\_clean.pdf
- GS 1340 - VPA 01-10 - ER\_MP9\_ v4.0\_.xlsx
- SDG-Impact-tool\_VPA1.xlsx
- PDD\_09.12.2014\_v3.pdf
- PDD\_29-10-2015.pdf
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1398
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1398
- CCIPL1777\_FVR\_v1\_26072023.pdf
- FVR\_GS.VER.24.100\_Burkina Faso\_v1.0\_Final.pdf
- Verification Report\_3rd monitoring period\_01-01-2017 to 31-12-2017.pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- 28052024\_Verification-Report\_GS2456 GS3516-24-MP8-PoA\_GS1340-Burkina-Faso.docx(10).pdf
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form\_PoA GS1340 Verification Vintage 2022\_v3.0\_SC\_FINAL.pdf
- Verification Report\_4th monitoring period\_01-01-2018 to 31-12-2018.pdf
- GS1340\_GS2456\_GS3516-24\_6th M.P\_Verification Report.pdf

---

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-1C7323CC · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)