

QUALITY REPORT

GS1340 Efficient cookstoves in Burkina Faso – VPA-12 - tiipaalga F3PA cookstoves in Kourwéogo

GS-1402 · GS · Burkina Faso

Report ID: CM-B071D695 · Generated: 2026-04-19 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.2Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.8
■ Transparency (25%)	5.1
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.9
■ Documentation (15%)	4.2

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and a quantified leakage treatment, but the evidence base is weakened by low extraction confidence and several unresolved or historically inconsistent items. The monitoring record also shows material review comments and contradictions on usage rates, leakage treatment, and crediting period, which reduce confidence in the claimed emission reductions.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1402
Sector	industrial
Country	Burkina Faso
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology for Efficient Cookstoves Version 01, February 2013
Crediting Period	2022 — 2027
VVB	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.
Verified ERs	169,085 tCO ₂ e
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2022
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	18 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-19

Red Flags

- The verification record contains multiple contradictions across versions, including different usage rates, leakage treatment, and crediting period dates.
- Several review comments point to data quality issues, including duplicate household GPS entries and inconsistencies in SDG and monitoring disclosures.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.8 / 10

- + Additionality was confirmed by the VVB, and the project uses the Gold Standard simplified cookstove methodology.
- Leakage and usage assumptions are inconsistent across documents, and reversal risk is not clearly addressed because no buffer pool or reversal handling is stated.

The verification report confirms additionality through VVB review, which is a meaningful positive for integrity. However, permanence is weakly evidenced because reversal events are marked as not addressed and no buffer pool is stated, while leakage treatment and usage assumptions vary across versions in the contradiction log. The project also uses a project baseline, but the last reassessment date is not found in the extracted record.

Transparency — 5.1 / 10

- + A named verifier is provided, the monitoring period is stated, and the report includes a verified issuance figure of 169,085 credits.
- The extracted record has low extraction confidence and only one evidence document is listed, with several review comments indicating incomplete or inconsistent reporting.

Transparency is moderate because the report names Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd., gives the 2022 monitoring period, and reports 169,085 verified credits. Still, the extracted record has low extraction confidence, only one evidence document is listed, and the verification report includes several CARs and CLs about inconsistent dates, duplicated GPS entries, and missing comparisons to prior monitoring periods. That combination limits confidence in the completeness of public MRV disclosure.

Claim Safety — 4.9 / 10

- + The project reports a quantified leakage treatment and a project-specific baseline approach rather than an unstated baseline.
- The verified usage rate and assumed usage rate differ from earlier values in the contradiction log, which raises over-crediting risk.

Claim safety is weakened by contradictions in the record, especially the different usage rates, leakage treatment, and crediting period dates across documents. I privileged the 2025 verification report for the latest values because it is the most recent and appears to reflect the final reviewed position, but the presence of earlier conflicting figures still raises over-crediting risk. The project's quantified leakage treatment helps, yet the lack of clear CORSIA or CCP status leaves some dual-claim uncertainty.

Documentation — 4.2 / 10

- + The record includes a verification report date, methodology version, verifier name, and several corrective actions that were closed.
- Low extraction confidence, one listed evidence document, and multiple unresolved-looking review comments reduce documentation robustness.

Documentation quality is mixed: the record includes a verification report, methodology version, verifier name, and a list of corrective actions that were addressed. On the other hand, min extraction confidence is low, evidence_docs contains only an unknown entry, and several review comments indicate that the reporting package needed revisions. The recency of the monitoring period is good, but the overall documentary completeness remains limited.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed additionality
● Permanence	No buffer pool stated
● Leakage	Quantified but inconsistent leakage treatment
● Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment not stated
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism present
● Double-claim	CORSIA/CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clean, reconciled evidence pack that resolves the contradictions on usage rate, leakage deduction, and crediting period with a clear version history.

→ Disclose explicit permanence and double-claim safeguards, including buffer pool treatment, reversal handling, and confirmed CORSIA/CCP status.

Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_21.01.2019 to 31.12.2019_v1.pdf
- GS1340_VPA11- MP3_VPA12-MP2_VPA13-MP1_MR_v2.0_clean(5).pdf
- GS1340 - VPA-11-12-13-Vintage 2021 - Consolidated ER calculation v2.0(1).xlsx
- GS1340_VPA11-12-13_MR Vintage 2021_v3.0_clean(1).docx
- GS1340_VPA11-12-13_MR5_v5.0_Clean.docx
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report-Form_GS1340-tiipaalga-Vintage 2021 (1)(3).docx
- GS 1340 - VPA 12 - ER_MP5_v3.0.xlsx
- GS 1340 - VPA 12 - ER_MP2_v2.0(1)(1).xlsx
- GS1340 - VPA-11-12-13-Vintage 2022 - Consolidated ER calculation v3.0.xlsx
- GS1340_POA-DD_CP2_v8.0_clean.pdf
- PDD_09.12.2014_v3.pdf
- PDD_22.05.2019_v4.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1402
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1402
- CCIPL1777_FVR_v1.3_12022024_clean(2).pdf
- CCIPL 1325 FVR V.5.0 Clean.pdf
- GS1340_GS6152_GS6419_GS6420_Verification_Report_SC_Approved(1).pdf
- CCIPL_2221_FVR_Final_R1_Clean.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-B071D695 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-19 · Generated: 2026-04-19

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy