


QUALITY REPORT

# GS1247 VPA 127 Southern Ethiopia Community Boreholes

GS-1420 · GS · Ethiopia

Report ID: CM-9C18EF13 · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some solid quality signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality, no material findings, and a quantified leakage deduction of 0%. However, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly reassessed, permanence is not well addressed, and the record does not show a verified issuance figure or a clear CORSIA/CCP status. Overall, this looks moderately credible but with meaningful evidence gaps that limit claim confidence.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1420
Sector	industrial
Country	Ethiopia
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	TPDDTEC v1.1
Crediting Period	2017 — 2024
VVB	Gold Standard for the Global Goals
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2020
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	9 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

## Red Flags

- Permanence is weakly documented: reversal events are noted as not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is found.
- Claim safety is limited by missing registry-status clarity: CORSIA eligibility is not found and CCP status is only not mentioned.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 6.8 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB in the verification report, and the project reports no material findings or required corrective actions.

- Permanence is not well evidenced: reversal events are not addressed and no buffer pool percentage is available in the extracted record.

The verification report confirms additionality through the VVB, and it reports no material findings or corrective actions required. Leakage is handled with a quantified deduction of 0%, which supports integrity. The main weakness is permanence: reversal events are not addressed, and no buffer pool percentage is available in the extracted record.

### Transparency — 6.2 / 10

+ The monitoring period is stated, the VVB is named, and the monitoring approach uses annual surveys for usage tracking.

- The record does not provide verified ER issuance, and the baseline was not shown as recently reassessed.

The documentation identifies the VVB, the monitoring period, and the annual survey method used to monitor usage. However, total verified ERRs are not found in the extracted record, and the baseline was not shown as having been reassessed. That leaves the public audit trail incomplete even though the core monitoring framework is visible.

### Claim Safety — 6.0 / 10

+ Leakage is explicitly quantified with a 0% deduction and the justification is described as quantified.

- The project-specific baseline and missing CORSIA/CCP clarity leave some over-crediting and double-claim risk unresolved.

Claim safety is helped by the quantified 0% leakage deduction and the fact that verified usage is reported at 100% versus an assumed 100%. Still, the baseline is project-specific rather than clearly standardized, and the record does not state CORSIA eligibility while CCP status is only not mentioned. Those gaps keep over-crediting and double-claim risk from being fully resolved.

### Documentation — 7.1 / 10

+ The extracted record draws on five documents, including the PDD, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix, with high extraction confidence.

- The crediting period is long relative to the single monitoring period shown, and key fields such as buffer pool and baseline reassessment are not found.

Documentation quality is fairly strong because five source documents were used and extraction confidence is high. The record also includes the PDD, monitoring report, verification report, and appendix, which improves traceability. Even so, several important fields remain absent, including buffer pool coverage and baseline reassessment timing, and the verified issuance total is not available.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed additionality
● <b>Permanence</b>	No buffer pool shown
● <b>Leakage</b>	0% quantified leakage deduction
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline, reassessment not shown
● <b>Safeguards</b>	Grievance mechanism present, FPIC not found
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA/CCP status not clearly stated

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish a clear issuance and registry-status trail, including verified ERR totals and explicit CORSIA/CCP eligibility statements.

→ Document permanence and baseline robustness more fully by showing buffer pool coverage, reversal treatment, and any baseline reassessment.

## Documents Reviewed

- Monitoring Report\_3rd monitoring period\_09.05.2019 to 25.03.2020\_v5.pdf
- Annual Report ETHIOPIA Safe Water SNNPR\_2023\_V2(3).pdf
- Monitoring Report\_1st monitoring period\_22.06.2017 to 08.05.2018\_v3.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report\_2nd monitoring period\_09.05.2018 to 08.05.2019\_v1.pdf
- Transition Annex\_11.06.2017\_v2.1.pdf
- PDD 23.11.2017\_v3.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1420
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1420
- Verification Report\_3rd monitoring period\_09.05.2019 to 25.03.2020.pdf

### Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-9C18EF13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)