

QUALITY REPORT

*Keltepe Wind Farm Project , Turkey

GS-1422 · GS · Turkey

Report ID: CM-A42F2DDE · Generated: 2026-04-23 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.7

Overall Score
out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.6
■ Transparency (25%)	4.5
■ Claim Safety (25%)	4.0
■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project shows several positive integrity elements (VVB-confirmed additionality, baseline reassessed in 2023, named VVB) but is undermined by document contradictions, many corrective actions during validation/verification, missing quantified ERRs and FNRB values, and low extraction confidence. CORSIA eligibility and gaps in leakage/reversal reporting increase claim risk.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1422
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	Turkey
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2016 — 2023
VVB	KBS Certification Services Ltd.
Monitoring Period	2022 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	32 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-23

Red Flags

- Contradictory statements across documents on additionality test type (investment vs barrier)
- Leakage and reversal treatment inconsistent across documents (deemed negligible vs not addressed vs reported)
- Project is CORSIA-eligible, raising double-claim risk and need for registry clarity
- Key numerical fields missing (total emissions claimed/verified, FNRB, buffer pool percentage) and low extraction confidence

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.6 / 10

+ Additionality confirmed by the VVB in the verification report (VVB: KBS Certification Services Ltd., verification report dated 2025-07-25).

- Multiple corrective action requests and validation/verification findings (numerous CARs listed in verification report) and contradictions on reversal and leakage treatment that reduce confidence.

The verification report (2025-07-25) shows additionality confirmed via an investment test and names the VVB (KBS Certification Services Ltd.), and the baseline was reassessed in 2023, which are positive. However, there are many corrective action requests from validation and verification (multiple CARs listed) and contradictions in the record about reversal events and leakage treatment (see contradictions), which reduce confidence in baseline validity, leakage handling and permanence. Leakage deduction is recorded as 0% in the extracted facts, but documents disagree on whether leakage was addressed, so permanence and leakage treatment are uncertain.

Transparency — 4.5 / 10

+ Monitoring period is provided (2022-05-03 — 2023-07-09) and baseline was reassessed in 2023 (documented).

- Key quantified outputs are missing from extracted records (total emissions claimed/verified not stated) and minimum extraction confidence is low.

The project provides a monitoring period (2022-05-03 — 2023-07-09) and methodology details (ACM0002 Ver.20.0) which support transparency. Conversely, key verified quantities such as total emissions reductions claimed and verified are not present in the extracted record, the FNRB method/value is not stated, and evidence documents are listed as 'unknown'. The minimum extraction confidence is low, further weakening transparency.

Claim Safety — 4.0 / 10

+ Project uses an explicit methodology (ACM0002 Version 20.0) and a project-specific baseline is documented.

- Project is indicated as CORSIA-eligible and FNRB/usage rate figures are not provided; leakage reported as 0% but documents contradict this — raising over-crediting/double-claim risk.

There is a tangible risk of claim issues: the project is indicated as CORSIA-eligible which raises double-claim concerns, and baseline is project-specific rather than jurisdictional. The leakage deduction of 0% is asserted but contradicted elsewhere, and no FNRB value or usage monitoring details are provided — all increase over-crediting risk. The named methodology and baseline reassessment mitigate some risk but not enough to offset missing/contradictory data.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ A named VVB and a large number of documents (n_docs_used: 29) are present, and the crediting period and monitoring period are stated.

- Min extraction confidence is low, evidence docs are listed as 'unknown', and many corrective actions were required indicating documentation/clarity issues.

Documentation depth is mixed: 29 documents were used and the VVB is named, with the crediting period and monitoring period provided. Nonetheless, the extracted evidence_docs label is 'unknown', min_extraction_confidence is low, and numerous CARs/clarifications were raised (though many were closed). The combination of low extraction confidence and outstanding clarity required by corrective actions reduces the documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed but contradicted
● Permanence	buffer/reversal treatment unclear
● Leakage	0% stated but justification inconsistent
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessed 2023
● Safeguards	FPIC and grievance present
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible; registry clarity needed

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish the verified total emissions reductions and verification statement in accessible evidence (resolve missing total ERR claimed/verified).

→ Resolve and reconcile contradictions in documentation (additionality test type, leakage and reversal treatment, and crediting period) and provide a clear, dated statement from the VVB/registries.

Documents Reviewed

- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Keltepe WPP_2022.pdf
- SDG Impact Tool_Keltepe Wind_v2.xlsx
- GS437_MR_Keltepe WPP -clean 08 08 2025.pdf
- (1)Monitoring Report_1st monitoring period_10-07-09 to 28-02-10_v1.4.pdf
- Final_ER calculations_Keltepe WPP _v03.xlsx
- (1)Monitoring Report_2nd monitoring period_01-03-10 to 30-04-11_v4.0.pdf
- Keltepe WPP_T-PerfCert_v3.0-Project-Annual-Report.pdf
- Monitoring Report_3rd monitoring period_01-05-11 to 30-04-12-v2.0.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Keltepe WPP_2023_with colour.pdf
- Alize Keltepe WPP-Monitoring-Report_v06_wotc.pdf
- T-PerfCert_V2.0-Project-Annual-Report of Keltepe WPP_2023.pdf
- T-V5.0-Deviation-Request-Form_Demirer 3_Keltepe_approved.pdf
- Final_ER calculations_Keltepe Wind_v0.4.xlsx
- Final_Ver Report_1050 Keltepe Wind_Okyu Yakupoglu _v05_24-11-2023-CC.pdf
- ER calculations_Keltepe WPP_09 05 2025.xlsx
- Final_ER calculations_Keltepe WPP_v0.2.xlsx
- Final_CP Renewal Val Report_1097 Keltepe Wind_Seda Atabek_v04_18-10-2023_clean.pdf
- Final_SDG Impact Tool_Keltepe Wind_v3.xlsx
- GS437_GS4GG Design Renewal Review_Round I_14102022_SC (1).docx
- Keltepe_SDG Impact Tool_v0.2.xlsx
- Final_Val Report_880 Keltepe Wind_Seda Atabek_v04_17-12-2022.pdf
- GS Passport_22-10-07.pdf
- Keltepe Wind Farm Project_PDD_v05_wotc.pdf
- PDD_04-08-09.pdf
- Keltepe Wind Farm Project_PDD_v04_wotc.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1422
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1422
- (1)Verification Report_2nd monitoring period_01-03-10 to 30-04-11_v2.0.pdf
- GS437_FVR_Keltepe WPP - public_clean 08 08 2025.pdf
- (1)Verification Report_1st monitoring period_10-07-09 to 28-02-10_v1.0.pdf
- Verification Report_3rd monitoring period_01-05-11 to 30-04-12-v3.0.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-A42F2DDE · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-23 · Generated: 2026-04-23

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy