QUALITY REPORT

Chanju I Hydro Electric Project

GS-1425 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-87D01B8B · Generated: 2026-04-14 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

5.0 Overall Score out of 10	Integrity (35%)	5.4
	Transparency (25%)	4.8
	Claim Safety (25%)	4.6
	Documentation (15%)	5.1

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

This is a small hydro project with some positive safeguards and a VVB-confirmed investment test, but the record has several reliability gaps and unresolved findings. The project appears to have limited leakage treatment and no clear reversal management, and the documentation contains contradictions on benefit sharing and the crediting period that reduce confidence in the claims.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1425
Sector	other
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 22.03
Crediting Period	2017 — 2027
VVB	VKU Certification Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2019 — 2019
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	22 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-14

Red Flags

- The monitoring report lists many findings and corrective actions, including unresolved items to be addressed in the next verification.
- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, but CCP status is not stated, and the record contains contradictions on benefit sharing and the crediting period.

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.4 / 10

+ Additionality was tested using an investment test and was confirmed by the VVB in the verification record.

- Reversal risk is not addressed in the extracted record, and the monitoring report does not show a buffer pool or other permanence safeguard.

The verification record confirms additionality through an investment test, which supports the project's core integrity case. However, reversal events are not addressed in the extracted record, and there is no buffer pool information, so permanence is weakly evidenced. The monitoring report also shows a large number of findings and corrective actions, including items deferred to the next verification, which lowers confidence in the robustness of implementation.

Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ The monitoring report names the VVB and provides a defined monitoring period, with safeguards such as FPIC and a grievance mechanism described.

- The extracted record does not state claimed or verified emission reductions, and the monitoring report contains numerous findings and corrective actions.

The monitoring report identifies VKU Certification Private Limited as the VVB and gives a monitoring period from 2019-02-25 to 2019-06-30. FPIC and a grievance mechanism are both described, which helps transparency on safeguards. On the other hand, claimed and verified emission reductions are not stated in the extracted record, and the report contains many findings and requests for clarification, which suggests incomplete disclosure quality.

Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project uses a project baseline and the monitoring report describes leakage as deemed negligible.

- The project is marked CORSIA-eligible, CCP status is not stated, and the record has contradictions on the crediting period and benefit sharing.

The project uses a project baseline, and the monitoring report says leakage is deemed negligible, which is better than an unaddressed leakage case. Still, the project is marked CORSIA-eligible while CCP status is not stated, so the dual-claim risk is not fully resolved from the available record. The contradictions on the crediting period and benefit sharing also weaken confidence in the claim boundary and the consistency of the documentation.

Documentation — 5.1 / 10

+ Multiple document types were used, including the PDD, stakeholder consultation, validation report, and monitoring report.

- The extraction confidence is only medium, and the monitoring report is dated 2020 while the crediting period runs to 2027, leaving recency and completeness concerns.

The evidence set is reasonably broad, with the PDD, stakeholder consultation, validation report, and monitoring report all listed among the source documents. Even so, the extraction confidence is only medium, and several key fields are not found in the available record, including verified emission reductions and buffer pool details. The monitoring report is also older than the current crediting period, so the documentation is not fully current.

Risk Indicators

Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
Permanence	No reversal management stated
Leakage	Negligible leakage claimed, no quantified deduction
Baseline	Project baseline, reassessment timing unclear
Safeguards	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible, CCP status not stated

What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a clear, current reconciliation of the crediting period, benefit-sharing status, and any unresolved findings across the validation and monitoring records.

→ Publish explicit permanence and leakage evidence, including reversal management, any buffer pool treatment, and a quantified leakage assessment.

Documents Reviewed

- GS_7154_Annual report 24022019 to 30062019.pdf
- GS 7154 MR V5 24.09.2025 MP3.pdf
- DEV_563.pdf
- ANNUAL REPORT_7154.PDF
- ANNUAL+REPORT_7154+1+1+1.pdf
- MR_GS 7154_MP2_v8.0_CL.pdf
- MR_GS 7154_v7.0_CL Latest.pdf
- GS 7154-Transition-request-form.docx
- T-V4.0-Deviation-Request-Form-GS 7154 26 May 21.pdf
- Transition request -Form GS7154_clean.pdf
- Chanju I Hydro Electric Project_v5-clean(1).pdf
- Stakeholder-Consultation-Report GS7154.docx
- GS 7154-PDD V6-Clean.pdf
- GS7154_GS4GG_PDD_I A Hydro_UN10153_V02_18012019_Clean.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1425
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1425
- FVR_GS 7154_v4.0_CL.pdf
- FVR GS VER GS_7154 TQC 4425 (Private).pdf
- VKU.F26W.Validation_Report_VKU.VAL.18.24_GS_7154_Clean(1).pdf
- FVR GS VER GS_7154 TQC 10818.pdf
- FVeR_GS 7154_v5.0_TC_(Public).pdf
- FVR_GS_7154-clean(1).pdf

Report ID: CM-87D01B8B · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-14 · Generated: 2026-04-14

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy