

QUALITY REPORT

216 MWac Adani Green Energy (Tamilnadu) Solar Power Project

GS-1429 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-78F5676D · Generated: 2026-04-24 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0

4.2

Overall Score

out of 10

■ Integrity (35%)	5.0
■ Transparency (25%)	4.0
■ Claim Safety (25%)	3.5
■ Documentation (15%)	4.0

Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

Assessment Summary

The project shows some positive integrity signals (additionality confirmed by a VVB and a baseline reassessment in 2023), but numerous verification findings, unresolved data gaps (ERR, leakage deduction, FN/RB), contradictions between documents and low extraction confidence reduce trust. CORSIA eligibility and inconsistent generation/ER reporting raise claim-safety concerns.

Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1429
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Aging
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2023
VVB	VKU Certification Private Limited
Monitoring Period	2021 — 2023
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	27 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-24

Red Flags

- Multiple material findings and corrective actions reported against monitoring data and consistency of generation/baseline numbers
- Key quantitative fields missing (total emissions claimed/verified, leakage deduction, FN/RB values)
- Contradictions across documents (safeguards, reversal events, additionality test type, crediting period) and low extraction confidence

Score Breakdown

Integrity — 5.0 / 10

+ Additionality confirmed by the VVB (VKU Certification) and investment test reported in the verification report (verification report, 2025-08-05).

- Multiple material findings about missing months of generation data and inconsistent net generation/baseline reported; reversal events are not clearly addressed (verification report states 'not_addressed' and prior doc reported 'none reported').

The verification report (2025-08-05) confirms additionality via an investment test performed by VKU Certification. The baseline method is project-specific (ACM0002) and was last reassessed in 2023, which supports baseline validity. However, a large number of material findings were raised about missing months of generation data, inconsistent net generation and baseline emissions, and required corrective actions — these undermine confidence. Reversal treatment is unclear: the latest verification record states reversal events are 'not addressed' despite a prior document reporting none, which raises permanence concerns.

Transparency — 4.0 / 10

+ VVB named (VKU Certification) and monitoring period and baseline reassessment year (2023) are stated in the verification report (2025-08-05).

- Key monitoring outputs and parameters are missing from extracted records (total emissions claimed/verified, leakage deduction, FN/RB, grid EF) and evidence documents are listed as 'unknown'; extraction confidence is low.

Some basic metadata are present: VVB name, monitoring period (2021-01-01 — 2023-11-08), crediting and reassessment dates are provided in the verification report. But critical quantitative outputs are absent from the extracted record: total emissions reported/verified, leakage deduction percent, FN/RB method and values, and grid emission factor are not found. Evidence documents are listed as 'unknown' and the minimum extraction confidence is low, which reduces transparency and verifiability.

Claim Safety — 3.5 / 10

- Project is CORSIA-eligible which raises double-claim risk unless clearly excluded (merged facts: CORSIA eligible = true).

- Missing quantitative safeguards against over-crediting: no FN/RB or usage monitoring values in the extracted record, and prior contradictions in ERR/generation figures suggest elevated over-crediting risk.

The project is marked CORSIA-eligible in the extracted facts, increasing dual-claim risk unless explicitly excluded. Missing FN/RB values, missing usage monitoring data, and noted contradictions and inconsistencies in generation and baseline emissions increase over-crediting risk. Although corrective actions were closed according to the MR appendices, the presence of multiple CARs and data inconsistencies reduces confidence in claim safety.

Documentation — 4.0 / 10

+ A recent verification report exists (doc date 2025-08-05) and 23 documents were used in the extraction.

- Minimum extraction confidence is low and evidence document identifiers are unknown; several corrective actions were required during verification indicating quality issues in the monitoring report.

A recent verification report dated 2025-08-05 and 23 documents used are positive signs. However, minimum extraction confidence is low and the evidence_docs list is unspecified, making independent review difficult. Several corrective actions were required and only described in summary form, and some contradictions across documents persist, which lowers the documentation score.

Risk Indicators

● Additionality	VVB-confirmed investment test
● Permanence	reversal treatment unclear
● Leakage	deemed negligible but unquantified
● Baseline	project baseline, reassessed 2023
● Safeguards	FPIC & grievance reported but contradictions exist
● Double-claim	CORSIA-eligible (dual-channel risk)

What Would Improve This Score

→ Publish or extract the verified total emissions claimed and total emissions verified, the leakage deduction percent (if any) and FN/RB values with source documents to enable numeric checks.

→ Resolve and publish reconciled monitoring data (complete generation records), document closure of CARs with evidence, and explicitly state reversal treatment and buffer/pool arrangements in the verification report.

Documents Reviewed

- SDG-Impact-tool_GSRCP_216MW(1).xlsx
- GSRCP_216MW_TN_ER_Sheet_V1(1).xlsx
- 7078_annual report.pdf
- 7078_deviation request form.pdf
- MR_7078_Adani_Tamilnadu 216 MW.pdf
- Annual Report_GS_7078_2021-22.pdf
- GS ER Sheet_AGETL.xlsx
- Annual-Report_2024-GS7078.pdf
- ER Sheet _7078_Adani_Tamilnadu 216 MW-3.xlsx
- 2. ER Sheet _AGETL Ver03(1).xlsx
- MR_AGETL ver05 - Clean.pdf
- AGETL SCR.pdf
- GCEES1420-CDM- VAL report.pdf
- 7078_TRF_V02.pdf
- GSRCP_216MW_TN_PDD_V3_CC.pdf
- (1)CDM PDD_AGETL.pdf
- SDG-Impact-tool_GS 7078_216 MW Adani Green Energy Tamil Nadu Solar Power Project.xlsx
- GS PDD_AGETL.pdf
- GS PDD_AGETL ver. 3.0.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1429
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1429
- Validation Report-RCP-2_CDM-GS7078_TRR01_TA-V2_CC.pdf
- GS4GGValidation Report_GS7078.pdf
- VKU.F27W.Verification_Report_VKU.VER.49.25_GS_7078.pdf
- 7078_FVR - CLEAN.pdf

Disclaimer

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-78F5676D · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-24 · Generated: 2026-04-24

carbonmeld.com · carbonmeld.com/methodology · carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy