

QUALITY REPORT

# 50 MW Kurnool Solar PV Power Project by M/s Prayatna Developers Pvt. Ltd. at Gani, Kurnool, AP.

GS-1437 · GS · India

Report ID: CM-4DE448F0 · Generated: 2026-04-13 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0



Weights: Integrity 35% · Transparency 25% · Claim Safety 25% · Documentation 15%

## Assessment Summary

The project has some positive integrity signals, including VVB-confirmed additionality and no reversal events reported, but key risk controls are weakly documented. Leakage treatment is inconsistent across documents, and the monitoring report shows several corrective actions and data inconsistencies that reduce confidence in the claimed emission reductions.

## Project Details

Registry	Gold Standard
Registry ID	GS-1437
Sector	renewable_energy
Country	India
Vintage	Stale
Project Methodology	ACM0002 Version 20.0
Crediting Period	2018 — 2023
VVB	SustainCert
Monitoring Period	2018 — 2020
Confidence	Medium
Documents Reviewed	15 documents reviewed
Scored	2026-04-13

## Red Flags

- Leakage is not addressed in the monitoring report, while the PDD reportedly treats it as negligible, creating a documentation inconsistency.
- The monitoring report lists multiple corrective actions, including missing generation data and inconsistent emission reduction values, which weakens confidence in the reported results.

## Score Breakdown

### Integrity — 5.4 / 10

+ Additionality was confirmed by the VVB using an investment test, which supports the project's core eligibility case.

- Leakage treatment is weak: the monitoring report says it was not addressed, while the PDD says it was deemed negligible; the monitoring report also lists several CARs and CLs.

The project has a solid starting point because the VVB confirmed additionality through an investment test, and no reversal events are reported in the extracted record. However, leakage is a concern because the monitoring report says it was not addressed, while the PDD says it was deemed negligible, and the report also records 2 CARs and 4 CLs. The absence of a buffer pool figure and any explicit reversal treatment further limits confidence in permanence-related controls.

### Transparency — 4.8 / 10

+ A named VVB, SustainCert, is identified and the monitoring period is stated in the monitoring report.

- The report set contains missing or inconsistent core figures, including no verified or claimed ER totals in the extracted record and several corrective actions on missing data and inconsistent meter counts.

Transparency is mixed. The monitoring report identifies SustainCert as the VVB and provides a monitoring period, but the extracted record does not include verified or claimed emission reduction totals, and several key operational details are missing or inconsistent. The report also notes missing generation data and inconsistent meter counts, which reduces the clarity of the MRV trail.

### Claim Safety — 4.6 / 10

+ The project uses ACM0002 and the baseline was reassessed in 2023, which is better than an unrevised project baseline.

- Claim safety is weakened by unresolved leakage treatment, inconsistent emission reduction values in the monitoring report, and CORSIA eligibility being true while CCP status is not mentioned.

Claim safety is moderate at best. The project uses ACM0002 and the baseline was last reassessed in 2023, which supports methodological credibility, but leakage is inconsistently treated across documents and the monitoring report flags inconsistent ER values. CORSIA eligibility is marked true, while CCP status is not mentioned, so dual-market claim risk is not fully resolved.

### Documentation — 5.0 / 10

+ The record includes both a monitoring report and a PDD, and extraction confidence is high.

- The monitoring report still required multiple corrective actions, including missing generation months, missing calibration details, and inconsistent meter and ER values.

Documentation is reasonably broad because both a PDD and a monitoring report are present and extraction confidence is high. Even so, the monitoring report required multiple corrective actions, including missing months of generation data, missing calibration details, and unresolved ER inconsistencies. The crediting period also appears inconsistent across documents, with the monitoring report and later PDD dates not fully aligned.

## Risk Indicators

● <b>Additionality</b>	VVB-confirmed investment test
● <b>Permanence</b>	No reversal events reported, but buffer treatment not stated
● <b>Leakage</b>	Leakage treatment inconsistent across documents
● <b>Baseline</b>	Project baseline with reassessment timing stated
● <b>Safeguards</b>	FPIC and grievance mechanism documented
● <b>Double-claim</b>	CORSIA eligible; CCP status not mentioned

## What Would Improve This Score

→ Provide a reconciled leakage assessment and explicitly align the monitoring report with the PDD on whether leakage is negligible or deducted.

→ Publish a clean, fully consistent MRV package with verified emission reduction totals, meter calibration evidence, and closure of all CARs and CLs.

## Documents Reviewed

- 7138\_annual report.pdf
- 7138\_deviation request form\_SC\_FINAL.pdf
- 7138\_MR VER 04 -Clean.pdf
- 7138\_TRFv02.pdf
- CDM- VAL report-AP.pdf
- DEV\_262.pdf
- (1)GS PDD PDPL AP ver. 03\_Clean.pdf
- CDM PDPL\_PDD\_AP.pdf
- GSRCP 7138\_50MW\_KURNOOL\_PDD\_V6.0\_CC.pdf
- (1)CDM PDPL\_PDD\_AP.pdf
- Bundled GS PDD V.05\_Clean.pdf
- (1)GS PDD PDPL AP.pdf
- Gold Standard Assurance Platform — GS-1437
- Gold Standard Registry — GS-1437
- 7138\_GS\_FVR\_v05 - clean.pdf

**Disclaimer**

This Quality Report is an independent editorial assessment generated by CarbonMeld's automated analysis pipeline. It is based solely on publicly available registry documents and marketplace metadata at the time of analysis.

CarbonMeld does not have access to non-public project information, internal project documentation, or confidential communications with project developers. The analysis pipeline may not have retrieved all publicly available documents for this project.

This report does not constitute an audit, certification, financial recommendation, investment advice, or guarantee of environmental outcome. It does not replace professional due diligence by the buyer or any party relying on this information.

CarbonMeld is not a registry, certification body, or financial advisor. Scores reflect evidence available at the time of analysis and may change as new documentation becomes available. CarbonMeld shall not be liable for any decision to purchase, sell, trade, or otherwise transact carbon credits based in whole or in part on the scores or content of this report.

Report ID: CM-4DE448F0 · Scoring Methodology: General v2.0 · Scored: 2026-04-13 · Generated: 2026-04-13

[carbonmeld.com](https://carbonmeld.com) · [carbonmeld.com/methodology](https://carbonmeld.com/methodology) · [carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy](https://carbonmeld.com/editorial-policy)